

# FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

WHEN FILLED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE INFORMATION IAW FAR 2.101 & 3.104

## PAST/PRESENT PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

### SECTION A: CONTRACTOR INFORMATION

1. **Contractor's Name and Address:** Music Ministry International  
3144 Wilkinson RD SW  
Albuquerque, NM 87105  
\_\_\_\_\_
2. **Point of Contact:** Dr. Bill Bailey President
3. **Phone Number:** (619) 804-0475
4. **Contract Number:** M6740023P0067 **Contract Type:** Firm Fixed Price
5. **Period of Performance:** August 15, 2023 - Ongoing - Consolidated Chapel Services for MCIPAC, Okinawa

### SECTION B: RESPONDENT INFORMATION (To Be Completed By Evaluation Respondent)

1. **Respondent's Company Name & Address:** Religious Support Office  
Fort Moore, GA  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
2. **Respondent's Name:** CH (MAJ) James Souza
3. **Project Title:** Music Coordinator Contract **Project Number:** \_\_\_\_\_
4. **Brief Project Description:** \_\_\_\_\_  
Provide musicians/worship leaders for the Religious Service on Fort Moore  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
5. **Period of Performance:**  
**Start Date:** 16 NOV 2023 **End Date:** 15 NOV 2024

### SECTION C: EMAIL COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE FORM TO:

# FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

WHEN FILLED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE INFORMATION IAW FAR 2.101 & 3.104

## PAST/PRESENT PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

**Contract Specialist:** SrA Kristin Robbins  
**Email:** kristin.robbs@us.af.mil  
**Contracting Officer:** Ashley Williams  
**Email:** ashley.williams.85@us.af.mil

**THIS FORM MUST BE RETURNED NO LATER THAN:** The closing date and time of solicitation.

**SECTION D: PERFORMANCE INFORMATION:** Choose letter on the scale that most accurately describes the contractor's performance or situation. **PLEASE PROVIDE A NARRATIVE EXPLANATION FOR ANY UNSATISFACTORY OR MARGINAL RATINGS.**

| E  | V  | S  | M  | U  | N  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Exceptional<br>(Outstanding)   | Very Good (Above<br>Average)   | Satisfactory   | Marginal   | Unsatisfactory   | Not Available  |
| Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor were highly effective | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor were effective | Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor appear, or were, satisfactory. | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractor's performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the Contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The Contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective, or were not fully implemented | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovers in a not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the Contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective | No record of past performance or the record is inconclusive. |

# FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

WHEN FILLED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE INFORMATION IAW FAR 2.101 & 3.104

## PAST/PRESENT PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

1. **QUALITY**: Performance adhered to contract requirements.

☐ Exceptional ☒ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ Not Available

### COMMENTS/REMARKS:

The quality of our musicians is excellent. We are quite pleased.

2. **MANAGEMENT**: Provided experienced, managers, and supervisors, with the technical and administrative abilities to meet requirements. Adequately coordinate and controlled performance of subcontractors. Identified problems as they occurred. Suggested approaches to resolving problems. Displayed initiative and cooperation in solving problems.

☒ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ Not Available

### COMMENTS/REMARKS:

August 2024: 73 services /50 rehearsals

We have never had an issue with such a robust schedule of services.

### 3. REGULATORY COMPLIANCE:

Contractor complied with Financial, Environmental, Safety, and Reporting Requirements. Contractor was compliant with labor standards, posting of EEO, labor rates, minimum wage. Payrolls were completed properly and received in a timely matter. No safety discrepancies were noted.

☒ Exceptional ☐ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ Not Available

### COMMENTS/REMARKS: No Concerns

# FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

WHEN FILLED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE INFORMATION IAW FAR 2.101 & 3.104

## PAST/PRESENT PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

**4. RELIABILITY:** Contractor provided all deliverables by the date and time established in the contract.

☐ Exceptional ☒ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ Not Available

### COMMENTS/REMARKS:

We are very happy with services provided!

Contractor makes effort to provide best Customer Service.

**I Recommend!!**

**5. Based on the contractor's overall performance, would you rehire this contractor?** ☒ YES ☐ NO

### OVERALL PERFORMANCE RATING:

☐ Exceptional ☒ Very Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Marginal ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ Not Available

---

**Resource Manager  
Religious Support Office  
Fort Moore, GA**