BASELINE ASSESSMENT – WETLAND ATTRIBUTES

ATTACHMENT L
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, VIRGINIA

WETLAND SWVM FORMS/WETLAND DELINEATION FORMS/PHOTOS

Wetland ID	Wetland SWVM Form Provided	Delineation Data/Photos
W-IJ46-PEM	✓	✓
W-AD4	✓	✓
W-NN6	✓	✓
W-F9-PFO	N/A – Permanent Conversion	N/A – Permanent Conversion
W-C12-PEM	✓	✓
W-C12	N/A – Permanent Conversion	N/A – Permanent Conversion
W-C11	N/A – Permanent Conversion	N/A – Permanent Conversion
W-C6	✓	✓
W-C5	✓	✓
W-AB7	✓	✓
W-EF5-PFO	N/A – Permanent Conversion	N/A – Permanent Conversion

USACE FILE NO./Project Name:	Mountain Valley Pipeline	COORDINATES:	Lat.	37.296153	Lon.	-80.367508
STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (% stream slope, watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)		W-IJ46-PEM, Pipeline ROW				
FORM OF MITIGATION:						
DATE:	9/28/2021	WEATHER CONDITIONS:		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		

PART I - Wetland Indicators

Impact Wetland ID:	Impact Wetland Classification	Impacts (acreage)	Mitigation Wetland Classification
W-IJ46-PEM	Emergent	0.0294	Emergent
Total Impact		0.0294	

PART II - Unit Scores

Wetland Classification	Replacement Unit(s)
Total Emergent	0.0294
Total Scrub-Shrub	0
Total Forested	0
Total Open Water	0

PART III - Advanced Mitigation

Sustainable Determination Made on Advanced Mitigation (Y or N)	Y

Estimated ILF Costs
$1,764.00

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: MVP City/County: Montgomery Sampling Date: 08/09/2016

Applicant/Owner: MVP State: VA Sampling Point: W-IJ46

Investigator(s): E. Foster, S. Ryan, A. Carrano Section, Township, Range: N/A

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 37.296224 Long: -80.367493 Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: 11B - Duffield-Ernest complex, 2 to 7 percent slopes NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✓ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil ✓, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✓ No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ✓ No _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	

Remarks: Cowardin Code: PEM HGM: Riverine Water Type: RPWWD

Rain in past 24 hours. Very wet summer season. Abuts S-IJ52.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ✓ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ✓ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ✓ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes _____	No ✓	Depth (inches): _____
Water Table Present?	Yes _____	No ✓	Depth (inches): _____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes _____	No ✓	Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✓ No _____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-IJ46

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')			
1. Verbesina alternifolia	30	✓	FAC
2. Dichanthelium clandestinum	30	✓	FAC
3. Impatiens capensis	30	✓	FACW
4. Commelina communis	15		FAC
5. Amphicarpaea bracteata	15		FAC
6. Tussilago farfara	5		FACU
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	125 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 62.5	20% of total cover: 25		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species	x 1 =
FACW species	x 2 =
FAC species	x 3 =
FACU species	x 4 =
UPL species	x 5 =
Column Totals: (A)	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ✓ No ___

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL Sampling Point: W-IJ46

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Redox Features Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-2	10YR 2/2	100					SiL	
2-8	10YR 3/2	30					SiL	
	10YR 4/2	60	10YR 3/6	10	C	M/PL	SiL	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) **(LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7)
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ✓ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- ___ Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 136, 122)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- ___ Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: Coarse fragments, gravel

Depth (inches): 8

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** ✓ **No** ___

Remarks:

Disturbed soils. Compacted, high gravel content

Wetland Photograph Page

Wetland ID W-IJ46 Date 08/09/2016

Photograph Direction North

Comments:

USACE FILE NO./Project Name:	Mountain Valley Pipeline	COORDINATES:	Lat.	37.286984	Lon.	-80.330124
STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (% stream slope, watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)		W-AD4, Temporary Access Road				
FORM OF MITIGATION:						
DATE:	9/28/2021	WEATHER CONDITIONS:		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		

PART I - Wetland Indicators

Impact Wetland ID:	Impact Wetland Classification	Impacts (acreage)	Mitigation Wetland Classification
W-AD4	Emergent	0.0069	Emergent
Total Impact		0.0069	

PART II - Unit Scores

Wetland Classification	Replacement Unit(s)
Total Emergent	0.0069
Total Scrub-Shrub	0
Total Forested	0
Total Open Water	0

PART III - Advanced Mitigation

Sustainable Determination Made on Advanced Mitigation (Y or N)	Y

Estimated ILF Costs
$414.00

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: MVP City/County: Montgomery Sampling Date: 06/15/2017

Applicant/Owner: MVP State: VA Sampling Point: W-AD4

Investigator(s): L.Canty, K. Gracie, R. Sparhawk Section, Township, Range: N/A

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 1

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 37.286987 Long: -80.330144 Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: Caneyvill-Opequon-Rock outcrop complex, 25 to 60 percent slopes NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✓ No ____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ____, Soil ____, or Hydrology ____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✓ No ____

Are Vegetation ____, Soil ____, or Hydrology ____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ✓	No ____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ✓ No ____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ✓	No ____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ✓	No ____	

Remarks: Cowardin Code: PEM HGM: Slope Water Type: NRPWW

Site occurs on gravel road, topsoil has been removed. Source of wetland is from seep that begins outside of survey area then flows overland onto road where wetland features develop.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ✓ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ✓ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ✓	No ____	Depth (inches): 0.5
Water Table Present?	Yes ____	No ✓	Depth (inches): ____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ✓	No ____	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✓ No ____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Wetland is spring fed and adjacent to gravel road

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-AD4

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')			
1. Scirpus atrovirens	15	✓	OBL
2. Tussilago farfara	10		FACU
3. Carex vulpinoidea	20	✓	OBL
4. Juncus tenuis	25	✓	FAC
5. Carex lurida	3		OBL
6. Sphagnum sp.	10		FACW
7. Alisma subcordatum	10		OBL
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	93 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 46.5	20% of total cover: 18.6		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species	x 1 =
FACW species	x 2 =
FAC species	x 3 =
FACU species	x 4 =
UPL species	x 5 =
Column Totals: (A)	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ✓ No ___

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W-AD4

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Redox Features Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	5YR 4/4	80	10YR 5/6	10	C	M	C	
			10YR 5/1	10	D	M		

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7)
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)
- ___ Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)
- ✓ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ✓ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: High coarse frag content

Depth (inches): 5

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ✓ No ___

Remarks:

Soil is >60% clay. Poorly drained. Wetland soil pit occurs on edge of gravel road. Compacted soils with gravel road material present.

Wetland Photograph Page

Wetland ID _____ Date _____

Photograph Direction West

Comments:

USACE FILE NO./Project Name:	Mountain Valley Pipeline	COORDINATES:	Lat.	37.268174	Lon.	-80.316468
STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (% stream slope, watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)		W-NN6, Timber Mat Crossing				
FORM OF MITIGATION:						
DATE:	9/28/2021	WEATHER CONDITIONS:		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		

PART I - Wetland Indicators

Impact Wetland ID:	Impact Wetland Classification	Impacts (acreage)	Mitigation Wetland Classification
W-NN6	Emergent	0.0083	Emergent
Total Impact		0.0083	

PART II - Unit Scores

Wetland Classification	Replacement Unit(s)
Total Emergent	0.0083
Total Scrub-Shrub	0
Total Forested	0
Total Open Water	0

PART III - Advanced Mitigation

Sustainable Determination Made on Advanced Mitigation (Y or N)	Y

Estimated ILF Costs
$498.00

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: MVP City/County: Montgomery Sampling Date: 08/25/2015

Applicant/Owner: MVP State: VA Sampling Point: W-NN06

Investigator(s): D. Mccullough, D Hadersbeck, K. Larsen, J Section, Township, Range:

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): 1

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRRN Lat: 37.268328 Long: -80.316494 Datum:

Soil Map Unit Name: McGary and Purdy soils NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✓ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✓ No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ✓ No _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	

Remarks:

Cowardin Code:PEM; HGM: slope; WT:RPWWN

The wetland was revisited on 10/29/2019. Presence of wetland hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, and hydric soils was confirmed using the USACE EMP Regional Supplement delineation methodology.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ✓ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes _____	No ✓	Depth (inches): _____
Water Table Present?	Yes _____	No ✓	Depth (inches): _____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes _____	No ✓	Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✓ No _____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Corresponds/overlaps partially with NWI PEM wetland.

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-NN06

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')			
1. Carex lurida	40	✓	FACW
2. Juncus effusus	30	✓	FACW
3. Vernonia noveborensis	5		FACW
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	75 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 37.5	20% of total cover: 15		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species	x 1 =
FACW species	x 2 =
FAC species	x 3 =
FACU species	x 4 =
UPL species	x 5 =
Column Totals: (A)	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ✓ No ___

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W-NN06

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Redox Features Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-4	10 YR 3/2	96	5 YR 5/8	4	C	M	SiL	
4-10	10 YR 3/1	90	5 YR 4/6	10	C	M	SiL	
10-18	10 YR 3/1	93	5 YR 5/8	7	C	M	SiL	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7)
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ✓ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)
- ___ Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)
- ___ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ✓ No ___

Remarks:

Wetland Photograph Page

Wetland ID W-NN06

Photograph Direction South

Date: 08/25/2015

Comments: 2015 wetland delineation.

Photograph Direction South

Date: 10/29/19

Comments: 2019 wetland delineation confirmation.

USACE FILE NO./Project Name:	Mountain Valley Pipeline	COORDINATES:	Lat.	37.257265	Lon.	-80.281667
STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (% stream slope, watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)		W-C12-PEM, Pipeline ROW				
FORM OF MITIGATION:						
DATE:	9/28/2021	WEATHER CONDITIONS:		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		

PART I - Wetland Indicators

Impact Wetland ID:	Impact Wetland Classification	Impacts (acreage)	Mitigation Wetland Classification
W-C12-PEM	Emergent	0.2066	Emergent
Total Impact		0.2066	

PART II - Unit Scores

Wetland Classification	Replacement Unit(s)
Total Emergent	0.2066
Total Scrub-Shrub	0
Total Forested	0
Total Open Water	0

PART III - Advanced Mitigation

Sustainable Determination Made on Advanced Mitigation (Y or N)	Y

Estimated ILF Costs
$12,396.00

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: MVP City/County: Montgomery Sampling Date: 11/01/19

Applicant/Owner: MVP State: VA Sampling Point: W-C12-PEM

Investigator(s): R. Sparhawk, W. Jackson Section, Township, Range: N/A

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley bottom Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRRN Lat: 37.257205 Long: -80.281473 Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: McGary and Purdy soils NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✓ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✓ No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ✓ No _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	

Remarks: Cowardin Code: PEM HGM: Riverine Water Type: RPWWD

Additional areas of wetland abutting wetlands W-C11 and W-C12 were observed during 2019 Delineation Confirmation surveys. W-C11 and W-C12 wetlands were previously confirmed by USACE Norfolk District during 2015 field reviews, at which time thearea now delineated as W-C12-PEM did not meet all 3 wetland criteria. The observation of wetland criteria in this area in 2019 is likely due to tree clearing and other habitat alterations in the vicinity.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ✓ Surface Water (A1)
- ✓ High Water Table (A2)
- ✓ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ✓ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ✓ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ✓ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 5
Water Table Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 7
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✓ No _____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-C12-PEM

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1. Platanus occidentalis	10	✓	FACW
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
	10 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 5	20% of total cover: 2		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')			
1. Eupatorium perfoliatum	10	✓	FACW
2. Scirpus atrovirens	10	✓	OBL
3. Dicanthelium acuminatum	15	✓	FAC
4. Scirpus plyphyllus	5		OBL
5. Dicanthelium clandestinum	15	✓	FAC
6. Ludwigia alternifolia	3		FACW
7. Solidago rugosa	10	✓	FAC
8. Agrostis capillaris	10	✓	FAC
9. Carex lurida	15	✓	OBL
10. Prunella vulgaris	2		FACU
11. Andropogon virginicus	5		FACU
	100 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 50	20% of total cover: 20		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 8 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species	x 1 =
FACW species	x 2 =
FAC species	x 3 =
FACU species	x 4 =
UPL species	x 5 =
Column Totals: (A)	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ✓ No ___

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W-C12-PEM

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-2	10YR 4/2	100					L	
2-20	10YR 4/2	90	10YR 5/6	10	D	M	L	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7)
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ✓ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)
- ___ Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)
- ___ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: N/A

Depth (inches): ___

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ✓ No ___

Remarks:

Wetland Photograph Page

Wetland ID W-C12-PEM Cowardin Code PEM Date 11/01/2019

Photograph Number 1

Photograph Direction North

Comments:

Photograph Number 2

Photograph Direction ENE

Comments:

USACE FILE NO./Project Name:	Mountain Valley Pipeline	COORDINATES:	Lat.	37.25586	Lon.	-80.275715
STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (% stream slope, watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)		W-C6, Timber Mat Crossing				
FORM OF MITIGATION:						
DATE:	9/28/2021	WEATHER CONDITIONS:		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		

PART I - Wetland Indicators

Impact Wetland ID:	Impact Wetland Classification	Impacts (acreage)	Mitigation Wetland Classification
W-C6	Emergent	0.0139	Emergent
Total Impact		0.0139	

PART II - Unit Scores

Wetland Classification	Replacement Unit(s)
Total Emergent	0.0139
Total Scrub-Shrub	0
Total Forested	0
Total Open Water	0

PART III - Advanced Mitigation

Sustainable Determination Made on Advanced Mitigation (Y or N)	Y

Estimated ILF Costs
$834.00

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: MVP City/County: Montgomery Sampling Date: 04/08/2015

Applicant/Owner: MVP State: VA Sampling Point: W-C6

Investigator(s): L.Harloe, K.Lamontagne, L. Summers Section, Township, Range: N/A

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Toe Slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRRN Lat: 37.255364 Long: -80.276305 Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: Berks-Clymer complex, 7 to 15 percent slopes NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✓ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ✓, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✓ No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ✓ No _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	

Remarks:

Cowardin Code: PEM; HGM: Depressional; WT: NRPWW

The wetland was revisited on 11/1/2019. The presence of wetland hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, and hydric soils was unable to be confirmed because the wetland was obstructed by timber matting.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ✓ Surface Water (A1)
- ✓ High Water Table (A2)
- ✓ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ✓ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 0.5
Water Table Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 8
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 8

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✓ No _____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Area gets bush hogged annually by landowner, per discussion with landowner.

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-C6

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')			
1. Carex stricta	10	✓	OBL
2. Scirpus atrovirens	10	✓	OBL
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	20 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 10	20% of total cover: 4		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species	x 1 =
FACW species	x 2 =
FAC species	x 3 =
FACU species	x 4 =
UPL species	x 5 =
Column Totals: (A)	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

✓ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

✓ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ✓ No ___

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Unknown grasses with no flowering heads not utilized for dominance test. Other species present but not in sample plot include Juncus effusus (FACW) and Toxicodendron vernix (OBL).

SOIL

Sampling Point: W-C6

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Redox Features Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-12"	10 YR 3/1	90	7.5 YR 6/8	10	C	M/PL	SiLo	Fe Mn Masses
12-20"	10 YR 4/1	90	7.5 YR 5/8	10	C	M	SiLo	C M

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7)
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ✓ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ✓ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)
- ___ Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)
- ___ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ✓ No ____

Remarks:

Wetland Photograph Page

Wetland ID W-C6

Photograph Direction NE

Date: 04/08/2015

Comments: 2015 wetland delineation.

Photograph Direction ENE

Date: 11/01/19

Comments: 2019 wetland delineation confirmation.

USACE FILE NO./Project Name:	Mountain Valley Pipeline	COORDINATES:	Lat.	37.255606	Lon.	-80.274237
STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (% stream slope, watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)		W-C5, Pipeline ROW				
FORM OF MITIGATION:						
DATE:	9/28/2021	WEATHER CONDITIONS:		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		

PART I - Wetland Indicators

Impact Wetland ID:	Impact Wetland Classification	Impacts (acreage)	Mitigation Wetland Classification
W-C5	Emergent	0.0454	Emergent
Total Impact		0.0454	

PART II - Unit Scores

Wetland Classification	Replacement Unit(s)
Total Emergent	0.0454
Total Scrub-Shrub	0
Total Forested	0
Total Open Water	0

PART III - Advanced Mitigation

Sustainable Determination Made on Advanced Mitigation (Y or N)	Y

Estimated ILF Costs
$2,724.00

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: MVP City/County: Montgomery Sampling Date: 04/08/2015

Applicant/Owner: MVP State: VA Sampling Point: W-C5

Investigator(s): L.Harloe, K.Lamontagne, L. Summers Section, Township, Range: N/A

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Toeslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 5

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRRN Lat: 37.2555 Long: -80.274193 Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: Berks-Weikert complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✓ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ✓, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✓ No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ✓ No _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	

Remarks:
Cowardin Code:PEM
HGM:slope
WT:NRPWW

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ✓ Surface Water (A1)
- ✓ High Water Table (A2)
- ✓ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 0.5
Water Table Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✓ No _____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Spring and ephemeral creek upslope, though creek is covered in debris. Area gets bush hogged annually by landowner, per discussion with landowner. Wetland follows what looks like depression of drainage pattern and extends beyond ROW.

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-C5

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1. Toxicodendron vernix	10	✓	OBL
2. Rosa multiflora	5	✓	FACU
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
	15 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 7.5	20% of total cover: 3		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')			
1. Arthraxon hispidus	15	✓	FAC
2. Microstegium vimineum	5		FAC
3. Juncus effusus	5		FACW
4. Carex lurida	5		OBL
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	30 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 15	20% of total cover: 6		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 67 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species	x 1 =
FACW species	x 2 =
FAC species	x 3 =
FACU species	x 4 =
UPL species	x 5 =
Column Totals: (A)	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ✓ No ___

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W-C5

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Redox Features Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6"	10YR4/2	90	7.5YR6/8	10	C	M/PL	Silty loam	FeMn masses
6-8"	10YR7/3	90	10YR5/8	10	C	M/PL	Sandy loa	
8-20"	10YR5/1	80	7.5YR6/8	20	C	M/PL	Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7)
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ✓ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ✓ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)
- ___ Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)
- ___ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: NA

Depth (inches): ___

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ✓ No ___

Remarks:

Wetland Photograph Page

Wetland ID W-C5 Date 04/08/2015

Photograph Direction SE

Comments:

USACE FILE NO./Project Name:	Mountain Valley Pipeline	COORDINATES:	Lat.	37.231426	Lon.	-80.198615
STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (% stream slope, watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)		W-AB7, Timber Mat Crossing				
FORM OF MITIGATION:						
DATE:	9/28/2021	WEATHER CONDITIONS:		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		

PART I - Wetland Indicators

Impact Wetland ID:	Impact Wetland Classification	Impacts (acreage)	Mitigation Wetland Classification
W-AB7	Emergent	0.004	Emergent
Total Impact		0.004	

PART II - Unit Scores

Wetland Classification	Replacement Unit(s)
Total Emergent	0.004
Total Scrub-Shrub	0
Total Forested	0
Total Open Water	0

PART III - Advanced Mitigation

Sustainable Determination Made on Advanced Mitigation (Y or N)	Y

Estimated ILF Costs
$240.00

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: MVP City/County: Montgomery Sampling Date: 04/11/2016

Applicant/Owner: MVP State: VA Sampling Point: W-AB7

Investigator(s): J. Hart, A. Larson, T. Woods Section, Township, Range: N/A

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Flat Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 1

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 37.231334 Long: -80.198782 Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: 29 - Udorthents and Urban Land NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✓ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✓ No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ✓ No _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	

Remarks: Cowardin Code: PEM HGM: Slope Water Type: RPWWD

Wetland functions as a roadside ditch but appears to receive significant groundwater contributions from upslope. Likely contributes flows to Roanoke River.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ✓ Surface Water (A1)
- ✓ High Water Table (A2)
- ✓ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ✓ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): +1
Water Table Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✓ No _____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-AB7

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')			
1. Typha angustifolia	35	✓	OBL
2. Equisetum arvense	20	✓	FAC
3. Cyperus esculentus	15		FACW
4. Eleocharis acicularis	10		OBL
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	80 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 40	20% of total cover: 16		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species	x 1 =
FACW species	x 2 =
FAC species	x 3 =
FACU species	x 4 =
UPL species	x 5 =
Column Totals: (A)	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

✓ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** ✓ **No** ___

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Remaining cover in herb stratum is thatch

SOIL Sampling Point: W-AB7

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Redox Features Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-2	10YR 2/2	90	10YR 4/1	10	D	M	SiL	
2-10	10YR 4/2	65	10YR 6/6	5	C	M	SiL	
			10YR 5/1	30	D	M	SiL	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- ✓ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) **(LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7)
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- ___ Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 136, 122)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- ___ Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** ✓ **No** ____

Remarks:

Wetland Photograph Page

Wetland ID W-AB7 Date 04/11/2016

Photograph Direction NE

Comments: