Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-E18 (Pipeline ROW) Perennial Spread I Franklin County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope >4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – no habitat
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	√
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream at ROW/LOD looking N upstream, BH



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials :Downstream at ROW/LOD looking SE downstream, BH




Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking W while standing on left bank, BH



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: On thalweg at pipe centerline looking E while standing on right bank, BH



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking NE upstream, BH



Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream at ROW/LOD looking SW downstream, BH

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)	Mountain Valley Pipeline			COORDINATES: simal Degrees)	E: Lat. 37.001271 Lon79.747749			WEATHER:		Sunny	DATE:	August 27, 2021		
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID (watershed size {acreage}			S-E18	3;49.87 ac			MITIGATION STREAM CLASS. (watershed size {acreag			V:			Comments:	
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	94	FORM MITIGAT	RESTORATION (Levels I-III)		OORDINATES: simal Degrees)	Lat.		Lon.		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:			Mitigation Length:	
Column No. 1- Impact Existing	g Condition (De	bit)	Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing	Condition - Base	line (Credit)		Column No. 3- Mitigation Pr Post Completio		ears	Column No. 4- Mitigation Pro Post Completion		ears	Column No. 5- Mitigation Projecte	ed at Maturity (Credit)
Stream Classification:	Pere	nnial	Stream Classification:			Ī	Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:		0	Stream Classification:	0
Percent Stream Channel Si	оре	1.83	Percent Stream Channel S	lope			Percent Stream Channel S	Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel S	Slope	0	Percent Stream Channel SI	ope 0
HGM Score (attach d	ata forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms):			HGM Score (attach	n data forms):		HGM Score (attach	data forms):		HGM Score (attach da	ita forms):
		Average			Average				Average			Average		Average
Hydrology			Hydrology				Hydrology			Hydrology		SS .	Hydrology	
Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling		0		Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling		0	Biogeochemical Cycling	0
Habitat			Habitat				Habitat			Habitat			Habitat	
PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indic	ators	PART I - Physical, Chemical a	nd Biological Ind	icators		PART I - Physical, Chemical a	nd Biological Ind	cators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	d Biological Indi	cators	PART I - Physical, Chemical and	Biological Indicators
	Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score			Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range	Site Score		Points Scale Range Site Score
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)			PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	s classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all stream	ns classifications)		PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams	classifications)
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)				USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)	
Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	15	Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20			Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20		Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20
2. Embeddedness	0-20	16	Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20			2. Embeddedness	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20		2. Embeddedness	0-20
Velocity/ Depth Regime Sediment Deposition	0-20	8	3. Pool Variability	0-20			3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20
Sediment Deposition Channel Flow Status	0-20	13	Sediment Deposition Channel Flow Status	0-20			4. Sediment Deposition 5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		Sediment Deposition Channel Flow Status	0-20		Sediment Deposition Channel Flow Status	0-20
6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1	19	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1		ŀ	6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-20 0-1		6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-20		6. Channel Alteration	0-20 0-1
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20	14	7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		ŀ	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20	7	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		ŀ	8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20	16	9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20			9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20	7	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		ľ	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20
Total RBP Score	Suboptimal	124	Total RBP Score	Poor	0		Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor	0	Total RBP Score	Poor 0
Sub-Total		0.62	Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitten	t and Perennial Str	reams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	nt and Perennial Stre	eams)		CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial Stre	ams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermitte	ent and Perennial S	treams)	CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent	t and Perennial Streams)
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	I)			WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (Genera	al)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General	al)		WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)	
Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity		0		Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity			Specific Conductivity	
<=99 - 90 points	0-90	59.1		0-90				0-90			0-90			0-90
pH		23	pH		0		рН			рН			рН	
	0-80	8.93		5-90 0-1				5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1			5-90 0-1
8.1-9.0 = 45 points		0.00	DO				DO			PO.			PO.	
DO	 		ВО	T			BO			ВО			ВО	
>5.0 = 30 points	10-30	7.24		10-30				10-30			10-30			10-30
Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermit	tent and Perennial	0.825 Streams)	Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermi	ttent and Perennial S	Streams)		Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interr	mittent and Perenni	al Streams)	Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Inter	mittent and Peren	unial Streams)	Sub-Total BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Interm	ittent and Perennial Streams)
```		,			,				,			,		,
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	T		WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)				WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)	
0	0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1				0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1			0-100 0-1
Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0		Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total		0	Sub-Total	0
PART II - Index and U	nit Soors		PART II - Index and	d Unit Coore		п	PART II - Index and	d Unit Coors		PART II - Index and	Unit Coors		PART II - Index and U	nit Soore
PART II - Index and C	iiit Score		PART II - Index and	d Onit Score			PART II - Index and	u Onit Score		PART II - Index and	Onit Score		PART II - INGEX and U	iii Score
Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score		Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet	Unit Score	Index	Linear Feet Unit Score
0.722	0.4	67 045		•	_		•	0	0		_		0	0 0

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION				
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#	AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS					
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE TIME	REASON FOR SURVEY			

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now		Past 24	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes No
CONDITIONS		storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain)	hours	Air Temperature0 C
	%	showers (intermittent) %cloud cover clear/sunny	%	Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map	of the site and indicate th	e areas sam	oled (or attach a photograph)
			WS	LOD
	Lessons Veg	(Hick Land)	Brie	Dense veg.
	LOD	"		
			D	5
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsy Perennial	ystem Intermittent Tid	al	Stream Type Coldwater Warmwater
	Stream Origi Glacial Non-glacial Swamp and	montane Spring-fer Mixture of	d of origins	Catchment Areakm²

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		Fores Field/ Agric	Pasture Industr	ercial	No evidence Sor Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosi None Moderate	me potential sources
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	ΓION	Trees	e the dominant type an	Shrubs		erbaceous
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	km² (m²x1000)  ed Stream Depth  Velocity	m m² km² m	High Water Mark  Proportion of Reach R  Morphology Types  Riffle Pool  Channelized  Yes	Run%
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		m² of LWD	m ² /km ² (LWD/	reach area)	
AQUATIO VEGETA		Roote Floati <b>Domin</b> a	ed emergent Fing Algae A	Rooted submerge Attached Algae		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
WATER (	QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH Turbidi	cature0 C Conductance ed Oxygen ty ttrument Used	_	Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Fishy  Water Surface Oils Slick Sheen None Other  Turbidity (if not measu Clear □ Slightly tu Opaque Stained	Chemical Other Globs Flecks
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Odors Norm Chem Other	ical Anaerobic		are the undersides blac	Othereh are not deeply embedded,
		Ausci	n Siigin Model	ate Floiu	se res no	
INC		STRATE ( dd up to 1	COMPONENTS 00%)		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C (does not necessarily add	
Substrate Type	Diamet	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock				Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")				materiais (CrOWI)	
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5	"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2	2.5")			(ITOM)	

Sand

Silt

Clay

0.06-2mm (gritty)

< 0.004 mm (slick)

0.004-0.06 mm

grey, shell fragments

Marl

## HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.				
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).				
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				

## HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat							
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
oling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.			
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion	areas of erosion; high erosion potential during	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.			
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potentia to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.			
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.			
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			
1	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0			

Total	Caama	
i otai	Score	

#### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION				
STATION #	_ RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS				
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN				
STORET#		AGENCY				
INVESTIGATORS			LOT NUMBER			
FORM COMPLETED BY		DATE REASON FOR SURVEY TIME				
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of	each habitat type present				

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Cobble% Snags% Vegetated Banks% Sand%  Submerged Macrophytes% Other ( )%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other
	How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat
	Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type.  Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand
	Cobble Snags Vegetated Banks Sand Submerged Macrophytes Other ( )
GENERAL COMMENTS	

#### QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

#### FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

## WOLMAN PEBBLE COUNT FORM

County: Franklin County Stream ID: S-E18

Stream Name: UNT to

HUC Code: 03010101 Basin: Upper Roanoke

Survey Date: 8/27/2021 Surveyors: CB BH

Type: Representative /Riffle

I1	DADTICLE		LE COUNT	D4:-1-	T-4-14	T4 0/	0/ C
Inches	PARTICLE	Millimeters		Particle Count	Total #	Item %	% Cun
	Silt/Clay	< .062	S/C	<b>A</b>	14	14.00	14.00
	Very Fine	.062125		<b>4</b>	9	9.00	23.00
	Fine	.12525		•	3	3.00	26.00
	Medium	.255	SAND	<b>A</b>	5	5.00	31.00
	Coarse	.50-1.0		<b>A</b>	5	5.00	36.00
.0408	Very Coarse	1.0-2		•	7	7.00	43.00
.0816	Very Fine	2 -4		<b>A</b>	12	12.00	55.00
.1622	Fine	4 -5.7		<b>A</b>	8	8.00	63.00
.2231	Fine	5.7 - 8		<b>-</b>	7	7.00	70.00
.3144	Medium	8 -11.3		<b>A</b>	3	3.00	73.00
.4463	Medium	11.3 - 16	GRAVEL	<b>A</b>	3	3.00	76.00
.6389	Coarse	16 -22.6		<b>A</b>	1	1.00	77.00
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32		<b>A</b>	1	1.00	78.00
1.26 - 1.77	Vry Coarse	32 - 45		<b>A</b>	2	2.00	80.00
1.77 -2.5	Vry Coarse	45 - 64		<b>A</b>	6	6.00	86.00
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90		<b>A</b>	5	5.00	91.00
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	CORRIE	<b>A</b>	9	9.00	100.0
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	COBBLE	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256		<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362		<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	1	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	BOULDER	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
40 - 80	Large	1024 -2048	1	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
80 - 160	Vry Large	2048 -4096	1	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
	Bedrock		BDRK	<b>A</b>		0.00	100.0
				Totals:	100		

#### RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

UNT to Blackwater River

River Name: Reach Name: Sample Name: S-E18 Representative 08/27/2021 Sample Name: Survey Date:

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062 0.062 - 0.125 0.125 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.0 1.0 - 2.0 2.0 - 4.0 4.0 - 5.7 5.7 - 8.0 8.0 - 11.3 11.3 - 16.0 16.0 - 22.6 22.6 - 32.0 32 - 45 45 - 64 64 - 90 90 - 128 128 - 180 180 - 256 256 - 362 362 - 512 512 - 1024 1024 - 2048 Bedrock	14 9 3 5 7 12 8 7 3 3 1 1 2 6 5 9 0 0 0 0	14.00 9.00 3.00 5.00 7.00 12.00 8.00 7.00 3.00 3.00 1.00 2.00 6.00 5.00 9.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	14.00 23.00 26.00 31.00 36.00 43.00 55.00 63.00 70.00 73.00 76.00 77.00 78.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
D16 (mm) D35 (mm) D50 (mm) D84 (mm) D95 (mm) D100 (mm) Silt/Clay (%) Sand (%) Gravel (%) Cobble (%) Boulder (%) Bedrock (%)	0.08 0.9 3.17 57.67 106.89 128 14 29 43 14		

Total Particles = 100.

#### **Stream Assessment Form (Form 1)** Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia or use in wadeable channels classified as intermittent or perennial Cowardin Impact Impact Project # Project Name (Applicant) Locality HUC Date SAR# Class .ength Factor Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Franklin 22865.06 R3 or R4 03010101 8/27/2021 S-E18 94 1 Valley Pipeline, LLC) County Stream Name and Information SAR Length Name(s) of Evaluator(s) **UNT to Blackwater River** 94 **CB BH** 1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation) Conditional Category Optimal Suboptimal Poor Severe Marginal ery little incision or active erosion; 80 Slightly incised, few areas of active Deeply incised (or excavated), ened/incised. 100% stable banks. Vegetative sion or unprotected banks. Majorit Poor, Banks more stable than Severe laterally unstable. Likely to widen vertical/lateral instability. Severe of banks are stable (60-80%). or Poor due to lower bank slopes further. Majority of both banks are ncision, flow contained within the Channel prominent (80-100%). AND/OR Stable Vegetative protection or natural rock Erosion may be present on 40-60% of near vertical. Erosion present on 60 banks. Streambed below average Condition both banks. Vegetative protection on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less pankfull benches are present. Acces to their original floodplain or fully prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Depositional features contribute to banks. Vegetative protection presen on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficier stability. The bankfull and low flow channels are well defined. Stream likely has access to bankfull be vertical or undercut. AND/OR 40-60% Sediment may be temporary transient, contribute instability. than 20% of banks, is not preventing eveloped wide bankfull benches. Mic to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% channel bars and transverse bars few Transient sediment deposition covers the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in erosion. Obvious bank sloughing present. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100%. AND/OR Aggrading channel. than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. less than 10% of bottom. benches,or newly developed Deposition that contribute to stability nature, and contributing to instability portions of the reach. Transient sediment covers 10-40% of the may be forming/present. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection is present on > stream hottom protection on > 40% of the banks and 10% of the banks and stable sedimer Multiple thread channels and/or depositional features which contribute deposition is absent subterranean flow CI to stability. 3 2.4 **Scores** 2 1.6 1.60 NOTES>> 2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable) **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Marginal Optimal Suboptimal Poor Low Marginal: High Poor: Lawns ow Suboptimal Non-maintained High Suboptima mowed, and Riparian areas with tree stratum **High Marginal** nse herbaceoi aintained area Low Poor: Riparian areas Non-maintained, vegetation, with tree stratum nurseries: no-till Impervious (dbh > 3 inches) lense herbaceou riparian areas cropland: actively (dbh > 3 inches) surfaces mine esent, with 30% to 60% tree vegetation with acking shrub and ree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) presen present, with 309 grazed pasture, spoil lands, Riparian either a shrub tree stratum, hav with > 60% tree canopy cover. to 60% tree parsely vegetate lenuded surfaces anopy cover an a maintained layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 roduction, pond open water. If **Buffers** Wetlands located within the riparian anopy cover ar row crops, active areas. containing both area, recently feed lots, trails, or understory. Recent cutover inches) present with <30% tree present, tree herbaceous and seeded and other comparable conditions. stratum (dbh >3 shrub layers or a abilized, or othe canopy cover (dense inches) present non-maintained comparable vegetation). with <30% tree understory. condition. canopy cover with maintained High Low High Low High Low 1.5 1.2 0.85 0.6 0.5 Scores 1.1 0.75 Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors Ensure the sums Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you of % Riparian pelow Blocks equal 100 . Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below % Riparian Area> 30% 10% 60% 100% Right Bank 0.85 0.75 Score > 0.5 CI= (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2 % Riparian Area> 30% 25% 45% 100% Rt Bank CI > 0.69 CI Left Bank Score > 0.85 0.75 Lt Bank CI > 0.70 0.69 3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embededness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; ffle/pool complexes, stable features **Conditional Category** NOTES>> Instream Optimal Suboptimal Marginal Poor Habitat/ Stable habitat elements are typically Stable habitat elements are typically Habitat elements listed above are **Available** present in 30-50% of the reach and Habitat elements are typically preser present in 10-30% of the reach and lacking or are unstable. Habitat in greater than 50% of the reach are adequate for maintenance of are adequate for maintenance of elements are typically present in less Cover than 10% of the reach. populations populations Stream Gradient

Scores

1.5

0.9

0.5

1.2

High / Low

1 20

	St	ream In	npact A	ssessn	nent Fo	rm Page	<b>2</b>		
Project #	Project Name (Applicant)		cant) Locality Coward Class.		нис	Date	SAR#	Impact length	Impact Factor
22865.06	· ·	Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC)		R3 or R4 03010101		8/27/2021 S-E18		94	1
CHANNE	L ALTERATION: Stream cross	ings, riprap, concr		oncrete blocks, st	traightening of cha	annel, channelization		s, spoil piles, constr	ictions, livestock
	Negligible	Minor		Moderate		Severe		NOTES >>	
Channel Alteration	Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	of the channel	20-40% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.	the parameter guidelines. If	ou - 50% of Headm is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not	Greater than 80% o by any of the chann in the parameter gr 80% of banks sho riprap, or	el alterations listed uidelines AND/OR ored with gabion,		
Scores	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.	5		
	DEACH C	CNDITION	NDEY and 9	STREAM CO	NDITION UN	IITS EOD TU	S DEACH		
	REACH		NDEX and S	TINEAW CO	NDITION ON	III3 FOR III	3 KEACH		

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >> 0.92

RCI= (Sum of all Cl's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian Cl/2)

COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >>


CR = RCI X L_i X IF


#### INSERT PHOTOS:




DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER





CL STAKEOUT POINTS: S-E18 CROSS SECTION B (PIPE CL)									
	PR	POST-C	ROSSING						
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT. DIFF.	HORZ. DIFF.				
TS-L	13437436.32	2005971.86	869.75						
BS-L	13437436.03	2005975.67	866.67						
THW	13437435.63	2005977.48	866.47						
BS-R	13437435.56	2005979.09	866.67						
TS-R	13437435.13	2005981.49	867.42						





# LEGEND STUDY AREA (EASEMENT) EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED EDGE OF WATER (AS NECESSARY) EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MAJOR) EXISTING CONTOUR LINE (MINOR) EXISTING SURVEYED GROUND SHOT ELEVATION 868.6 +BENCHMARK POINT (WSSI)

## SURVEY NOTES:

- 1. This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on November 7, 2018.
- 2. Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- 3. Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- 4. WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- 5. All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- 6. Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).

# S-E18 BASELINE CROSS SECTION A WATER SURFACE EL. =867.0' (NOV 2018) EX. THALWEG EL.: 866.7' $0+10 \quad 0+20$ 0 + 300+90 $0+40 \quad 0+50$ 0+60 $0+70 \quad 0+80$ DISTANCE ALONG CROSS-SECTION (FT)





CROSS SECTION LEGEND EXISTING GRADE

NOTE: ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

CROSS SECTION H: 1"=10' V: 1"=5'



Wetland



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM ON 03/07/2018



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING AT CENTERLINE




PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING AT CENTERLINE FROM RIGHT BANK ON 03/07/2018

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING



WSSI 2' C.I. Topo Approved NAS PFS SIH Sheet # 1 of 1

Computer File Name: :\Survey\22000s\22800\22865.03\Spread I Work Dwgs 2865_03 S-I MP 268-278 Sheets.dwg