

# NIST 800–53 Moderate

## Pentesting Requirements

A practical guide for IT leaders, MSPs, and SaaS teams navigating FedRAMP Moderate, U.S. federal systems, and regulated environments.

[Updated August 2026](#) — reflects the FedRAMP Consolidated Rules for 2026 (CR26) and the FedRAMP 20x certification pipeline.

## Contents

|                    |                                                                   |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <a href="#">01</a> | <a href="#">Quick Summary</a>                                     |
| <a href="#">02</a> | <a href="#">What Is FedRAMP Moderate?</a>                         |
| <a href="#">03</a> | <a href="#">Required Controls</a>                                 |
| <a href="#">04</a> | <a href="#">What Should Be In Scope?</a>                          |
| <a href="#">05</a> | <a href="#">What Auditors Expect in Practice</a>                  |
| <a href="#">06</a> | <a href="#">FedRAMP 20x: What's Changing?</a>                     |
| <a href="#">07</a> | <a href="#">Do Pentesters Need to Be U.S.-Based?</a>              |
| <a href="#">08</a> | <a href="#">Resources for MSPs: CMMC &amp; FedRAMP Compliance</a> |

## 01 Quick Summary

### WHAT IT LEADERS NEED TO KNOW

If your organization is pursuing FedRAMP Moderate authorization or supporting federal customers, penetration testing is not optional. Here is the simplified version:

#### AT A GLANCE

- Annual independent penetration testing is expected.
- Vulnerability scanning alone is not enough.
- Both internal and external attack surfaces must be tested.
- Web applications, APIs, cloud infrastructure, and boundary protections are typically in scope.
- Retesting after remediation is expected before assessments are considered complete.
- Under FedRAMP Rev 5, annual red team exercises are now also required for Moderate environments.
- Under the FedRAMP 20x Consolidated Rules for 2026 (CR26), pentesting is now framed as one input into a continuous vulnerability detection obligation — not a standalone annual box to check.

### What Is FedRAMP Moderate?

#### BACKGROUND & CONTEXT

FedRAMP Moderate is a U.S. federal security baseline used for cloud service providers (CSPs) handling sensitive but unclassified government information. The framework is built on NIST SP 800-53 security controls and is commonly required for:

- SaaS providers selling to government agencies.
- MSPs supporting federal contractors.
- Organizations handling Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI).
- Cloud platforms pursuing federal authorization.

As of August 2026, FedRAMP Moderate is represented by two parallel paths: the legacy Rev5 baseline (325 controls) and the new FedRAMP 20x Class C certification, which replaces the Moderate impact level under the Consolidated Rules for 2026. For many IT leaders, the biggest challenge is translating abstract compliance controls into practical technical requirements. This guide focuses specifically on the penetration testing and security validation expectations behind FedRAMP Moderate.

### Key Terms

| TERM                   | PLAIN ENGLISH MEANING                                                                                            |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Authorization Boundary | The systems, applications, cloud infrastructure, and services included in your FedRAMP assessment.               |
| CUI                    | Controlled Unclassified Information – sensitive government-related information requiring protection.             |
| CSP                    | Cloud Service Provider.                                                                                          |
| 3PAO                   | Third-Party Assessment Organization authorized to perform FedRAMP assessments.                                   |
| CA-8                   | The NIST control covering penetration testing.                                                                   |
| RA-5                   | The NIST control covering vulnerability scanning.                                                                |
| Red Team Exercise      | A simulated adversary exercise focused on testing detection and response capabilities – distinct from a pentest. |
| Class C                | The FedRAMP 20x certification class that replaces the Moderate impact level under CR26.                          |

## 03

## Required Controls

WHAT PENTESTING IS REQUIRED FOR NIST 800-53 MODERATE?

NIST 800-53 Moderate does not mandate a specific annual pentest on a fixed schedule. What it requires is penetration testing and vulnerability assessments as part of a continuous monitoring program. Three controls directly drive this. A fourth set indirectly requires testing as part of broader system assurance obligations.

### CA-8: Penetration Testing (Core Requirement)

CA-8 is the primary pentesting control. A compliant pentest under CA-8 must:

- Be conducted at least annually.
- Cover both internal and external attack surfaces.
- Be performed by independent testers – not your internal team.
- Validate exploitability, not just identify vulnerabilities.
- Produce a formal report including proof of exploitation, attack paths, business impact per finding, risk ratings, remediation guidance, and retest confirmation.

### RA-5: Vulnerability Monitoring & Scanning (Also Required)

RA-5 governs ongoing vulnerability scanning – a distinct activity from pentesting, but the baseline it establishes matters.

- Regular vulnerability scans (typically monthly or quarterly).
- Coverage of all internal and external components.
- Severity-based remediation timelines.
- Validation rescans after fixes are applied.

#### Scanning is not Pentesting

Vulnerability scanning identifies potential weaknesses automatically. Penetration testing actively validates whether vulnerabilities can be exploited in real-world attack scenarios. Scans alone do not satisfy the CA-8 requirement – and FedRAMP assessors know the difference.

### CA-2: Security Assessments (Pentest Evidence Supports This)

CA-2 requires broader technical evaluation and control validation activities. A pentest report directly contributes evidence for CA-2 compliance, including controls testing, technical evaluation of implemented safeguards, and continuous monitoring evidence.

### SI-2, SI-4, SC-7: Controls That Indirectly Trigger Testing

| CONTROL | WHY IT MATTERS                                                                                   |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SI-4    | Validates monitoring and detection effectiveness - pentest results confirm detection capability. |
| SI-2    | Significant infrastructure changes often require a new pentest.                                  |
| SC-7    | Segmentation and boundary protections must be validated through testing.                         |

## 04

## Pentest Scope

### WHAT SHOULD BE IN SCOPE?

The authorization boundary determines pentest scope. In practice, any system that stores, processes, or transmits Federal Contract Information (FCI) or Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) should generally be included.

| COMPONENT               | TYPICAL TESTING FOCUS                                                        |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| External Perimeter      | Public-facing assets, IP ranges, DNS infrastructure.                         |
| Internal Network        | Segmentation, lateral movement paths.                                        |
| Web Applications & APIs | Authentication, authorization, business logic, and any app handling FCI/CUI. |
| Cloud Infrastructure    | AWS, Azure, GCP – configuration review and exploitation testing.             |
| Boundary Protections    | WAF, VPN, SSO, IAM – tested for bypass and misconfiguration.                 |
| Configuration Reviews   | Key systems assessed for hardening gaps.                                     |

### What FedRAMP Moderate Does Not Explicitly Require

The following are not directly mandated by the standard, though assessors may expect them depending on system complexity or agency requirements:

- Mobile application pentesting.
- Source code or white-box reviews.
- Social engineering campaigns.
- OWASP Top 10-specific reporting formats.

#### **Important Update: Red Team Exercises (CA-8(2)) Now Required for Moderate**

Under NIST 800-53 Rev 5 and the FedRAMP Rev 5 baseline (finalized May 2023), CA-8(2) red team exercises have been added to both the Moderate and High baselines. In addition to the standard annual penetration test, Moderate CSPs must now conduct annual red team exercises that simulate real adversary attempts to compromise organizational systems.

Red team exercises differ from pentests in focus: rather than finding and exploiting as many vulnerabilities as possible, red teams assess detection, defense, and response capabilities. For many IT leaders this represents a major shift — FedRAMP is moving beyond vulnerability discovery toward validating defensive maturity.

### Pentesting vs. Red Teaming

| PENTESTING                                              | RED TEAMING                                                   |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Focuses on finding and exploiting vulnerabilities       | Focuses on testing detection and response capabilities        |
| Usually time-boxed and scoped to specific systems       | Simulates realistic adversary behavior across the environment |
| Prioritizes exploit validation and remediation evidence | Prioritizes operational resilience and defensive maturity     |

## 05

## Assessor Expectations

### WHAT AUDITORS COMMONLY EXPECT IN PRACTICE

Although FedRAMP guidance allows flexibility, most 3PAOs and assessors conducting FedRAMP Moderate reviews expect a practical annual testing package covering the following:

#### TYPICALLY EXPECTED

- External network penetration test.
- Internal network penetration test.
- Web application / API pentest.
- Cloud environment review (AWS / Azure / GCP).
- Credentialed testing where applicable.
- Remediation retest before report is finalized.
- Annual red team exercise (CA-8(2) - Rev 5).

#### COMMONLY RECOMMENDED

- Regular vulnerability scanning program (RA-5).
- Segmentation validation testing.
- Detection and response validation exercises.
- Cloud infrastructure configuration review.
- Machine-readable evidence mapped to Key Security Indicators (KSIs), if pursuing 20x Class C.

06

## FedRAMP 20x

WHAT'S CHANGING FOR MODERATE AUTHORIZATION

UPDATED AUGUST 2026

On June 25, 2026, FedRAMP finalized the Consolidated Rules for 2026 (CR26) — a single ruleset that formally establishes FedRAMP 20x as the path forward for Low and Moderate authorizations, replacing the FIPS 199 impact levels with four Certification Classes. For MSPs helping clients pursue or maintain FedRAMP Moderate authorization, this is the most significant change to understand right now.

### The New Certification Classes

CR26 replaces "FedRAMP Low / Moderate / High" with four Certification Classes:

| CLASS              | WHAT IT MEANS                                                                                                                               |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Class A (Pilot)    | Entry-level Marketplace listing via SOC 2 Type II; no agency sponsor required. On-ramp only – CSPs have 2 years to reach Class B or higher. |
| Class B (Low)      | Replaces the FedRAMP Low impact level.                                                                                                      |
| Class C (Moderate) | Replaces the FedRAMP Moderate impact level – the class most commercial SaaS providers land in.                                              |
| Class D (High)     | Remains on the Rev5 path for now; a 20x Class D pilot is targeted for FY27.                                                                 |

### 2026 Pipeline Dates

| DATE         | MILESTONE                                                                                            |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Aug 3, 2026  | FedRAMP 20x Class A pipeline opens.                                                                  |
| Aug 10, 2026 | Temporary Rev5 conversion pipelines open for eligible Class B/C providers without an agency sponsor. |
| Aug 31, 2026 | FedRAMP 20x Class B and Class C pipelines open – the major milestone for commercial SaaS.            |
| Jan 1, 2027  | CR26 becomes mandatory for all stakeholders; existing Rev5 certifications must adopt the new rules.  |
| Jun 11, 2027 | FedRAMP stops accepting new Rev5 certification applications.                                         |

### What FedRAMP 20x / CR26 Changes

- Replaces static, documentation-heavy assessments with continuous, machine-verifiable validation.

- Introduces Key Security Indicators (KSIs) in place of narrative control-by-control documentation – 56 KSIs for Class B (Low) and 61 for Class C (Moderate).
- Folds penetration testing into a broader, ongoing Vulnerability Detection and Response obligation: providers must systematically and persistently identify vulnerabilities using a mix of scanning, threat intelligence, bug bounties, penetration testing, and automated control testing – rather than treating a pentest as a single annual compliance artifact.
- Requires risk-based remediation timelines – critical vulnerabilities with known exploits must be closed faster; lower-risk findings get more flexibility.

#### What FedRAMP 20x Does Not Change

- CA-8 (penetration testing) remains a requirement – human-led pentests are not replaced by automation.
- CA-8(2) (red team exercises) also remains required for Moderate/Class C systems.
- Pentester independence requirements remain in place.
- Annual pentest cadence is still the expected baseline, now sitting inside a continuous detection program rather than replacing it.
- FedRAMP has never accepted a staging or dev environment as a valid substitute for testing production – that expectation predates CR26 and still applies.

#### Key Takeaway for IT Leaders & MSPs

Automation is increasing – but manual offensive security validation is not disappearing. CR26 makes the path to Class B/C authorization faster and more automated, but the pentesting requirement is not going away; if anything, folding it into a continuous vulnerability detection obligation raises the bar. Organizations preparing for the Class C pipeline opening August 31, 2026 should treat pentesting as part of an ongoing program – not a once-a-year deliverable – and work with a partner whose reports are detailed, remediation-focused, and retest-confirmed.

## 07 Common Question

### DO PENTESTERS NEED TO BE U.S.-BASED?

This is one of the most frequent questions MSPs ask when evaluating pentesting partners for FedRAMP-scoped work.

Short answer: No. FedRAMP itself has no U.S. citizenship or residency requirement for pentesters. The FedRAMP Program Management Office has directly confirmed there is no government-wide citizenship requirement.

What the framework does require:

- Testers are independent from the organization being tested.
- Personnel undergo appropriate background screening as described in the System Security Plan.
- The CSP discloses its personnel screening approach to the sponsoring agency.

### Agency-Level Exception

Individual agencies may impose their own citizenship or CONUS-location requirements as part of their Authorization to Operate (ATO) contract. This is especially relevant for DoD environments, ITAR/EAR-regulated systems, or agency-specific contracts. Always confirm agency-specific requirements before scoping an engagement.

## 08

## Resources for MSPs

### CMMC & FEDRAMP COMPLIANCE

#### Software Secured: NIST SP 800-115 & Pentesting

[softwaresecured.com](https://softwaresecured.com)

Explains how NIST SP 800-115 (the how-to-test standard) relates to 800-53 (the controls standard). Useful framing for client conversations about why pentesting is required and what it should cover.

#### DoD CMMC Official FAQs (Q33-Q37)

[dodcio.defense.gov/CMMC](https://dodcio.defense.gov/CMMC)

Primary source for MSP and ESP classification under the CMMC final rule. Pentesters and IR firms are explicitly carved out of ESP definitions.

#### Secureframe: CMMC Compliance Guide

[secureframe.com/blog/cmmc](https://secureframe.com/blog/cmmc)

Covers the CMMC program rule, enforcement timeline, Level 2 certification requirements, and the 110 NIST 800-171 controls underlying CMMC. Regularly updated as the program evolves.

#### Secureframe: Pocket Guide to CMMC for MSPs

[secureframe.com/msp-resources/cmmc-guide-for-msps](https://secureframe.com/msp-resources/cmmc-guide-for-msps)

MSP-specific guide to navigating CMMC for clients in the Defense Industrial Base. Covers scope, certification levels, and what MSPs need to have ready before their clients' audits.

#### FedRAMP.gov: Consolidated Rules for 2026

[fedramp.gov/2026](https://fedramp.gov/2026)

Official GSA source for CR26, the FedRAMP 20x Certification Classes, and the live rules for Vulnerability Detection and Response, Boundary, and Assurance rulesets.

#### FedRAMP.gov: 20x Program Overview

[fedramp.gov/20x](https://fedramp.gov/20x)

Official GSA roadmap for the FedRAMP 20x modernization, phase history, and the Class A-D certification system.

#### Secureframe: FedRAMP 20x Roadmap

[secureframe.com/blog/fedramp-20x](https://secureframe.com/blog/fedramp-20x)

Practitioner-level breakdown of CR26, the 46+ Key Security Indicators, and the deadlines retiring Rev5.