

The Words Children Carry Into Adulthood

How early messages can shape self-belief, relationships and mental health — and how to rewrite the story

Children learn about themselves partly through relationships. Long before they can critically evaluate what adults say, they are noticing tone, repetition, comparison, praise, criticism and what happens when they make mistakes. For neurodivergent children, whose behaviour may already be frequently corrected or misunderstood, these messages can become particularly powerful.

This does not mean that one frustrated sentence permanently damages a child. Families are human. Parents lose patience, children become angry, and relationships contain rupture. What matters more is the wider pattern, whether there is repair, and whether the child experiences themselves as fundamentally accepted.

Messages become beliefs

A child repeatedly described as lazy may eventually stop seeing executive-function difficulty and start seeing a character flaw. A child called too sensitive may learn to distrust sensory or emotional signals. A child who hears 'Why can't you be like your brother?' may conclude that belonging depends on becoming somebody else.

Sometimes the message is indirect. Constantly taking over may communicate 'you cannot manage'. Speaking about a child in front of them as though they are absent may communicate 'your voice is not important'. Praising only compliance may communicate 'you are easiest to love when you do not have needs'. These interpretations are not inevitable, but they illustrate why impact can differ from intention.

Intent and impact can both be true

A parent may say 'I'm only trying to help' and genuinely mean it. The child may simultaneously experience the help as criticism or control. Repair becomes possible when families do not have to choose one truth and erase the other.

Understanding impact is not the same as declaring a parent bad. Many parents were themselves raised with criticism, shame, emotional silence or rigid expectations and may be repeating language they were taught was normal.

Neurodivergent children may receive more correction

ADHD and autistic children can attract frequent corrective feedback because their behaviour does not always fit adult expectations: movement, interrupting, forgetting, direct language, sensory avoidance, intense interests, emotional dysregulation, difficulty changing task, inconsistent performance or a need to do things differently.

When a child is capable one day and unable the next, adults can assume unwillingness. Yet neurodivergent capacity can vary with sleep, sensory load, stress, interest, executive demand, environment and emotional state. 'You did it yesterday' may be factually true while still missing what has changed today.

Masking and the lesson to hide

Some neurodivergent people learn that acceptance is easier when they suppress natural movement, rehearse social responses, tolerate painful sensory environments, copy peers, force eye contact or conceal confusion. Masking can sometimes be a strategic choice, but chronic masking has also been associated in autism research with poorer mental-health outcomes. It should not be presented as the price of belonging.

The inner critic

Repeated external messages can become internal language: 'I'm difficult.' 'I always ruin things.' 'I'm too much.' 'I'm lazy.' 'I can't trust myself.' 'Everyone else can cope.' In adulthood, a parent may no longer need to say these words because the adult says them internally.

This is one reason compassionate reframing matters. Executive dysfunction is not a moral failure. Sensory sensitivity is not weakness. Needing clarity is not stupidity. Strong emotion is not automatically manipulation. Difference is not deficiency.

Rejection and sensitivity

People with ADHD frequently describe intense sensitivity to criticism or perceived rejection. 'Rejection sensitive dysphoria' is widely used informally, but it is not a formal DSM diagnosis and the evidence base for that specific construct remains developing. It is safer to discuss emotional dysregulation, rejection experiences and individual sensitivity without presenting RSD as an established diagnostic condition.

How childhood messages can appear in adult relationships

An adult who learned that disagreement causes conflict may become a people-pleaser. Someone who was repeatedly corrected may hear neutral feedback as evidence of failure. A person whose privacy was not respected may struggle to know where healthy boundaries begin. Someone whose emotions were dismissed may either suppress feelings or need repeated reassurance that their experience is legitimate.

Possible patterns include apologising excessively, perfectionism, fear of disappointing others, difficulty making decisions without reassurance, avoiding asking for help, tolerating unhealthy relationships, becoming highly defensive around criticism, or assuming that love must be earned through usefulness.

These patterns have many possible causes. A responsible resource should never infer a person's childhood simply from an adult behaviour.

When parents still use childhood labels

Labels can persist long after the behaviour has changed. 'She's always been dramatic.' 'He's the difficult one.' 'You know what she's like.' Family stories can freeze somebody at an earlier developmental stage and make growth invisible.

For a neurodivergent adult, this may be especially painful if diagnosis came later. Behaviour once understood as stubborn, lazy, antisocial or over-sensitive may finally make sense through a neurodevelopmental lens, while family members continue to use the old interpretation.

A late diagnosis can invite a family to revisit the story: not to rewrite every difficult event as harmless, but to ask whether everyone had the information they needed at the time.

Becoming a parent yourself

Adults often hear childhood language return unexpectedly when they become parents. Under stress, a sentence can emerge that sounds exactly like one's own mother or father. Recognising this is not failure; it is an opportunity to interrupt an inherited pattern.

A useful pause is: 'Is this what I believe, or is this what I learned?' Then choose language that describes what is happening without defining the child: 'Your room needs attention' rather than 'You're disgusting'; 'We're both overwhelmed' rather than 'You're impossible'.

What repair can look like — even years later

Parents sometimes fear that acknowledging past mistakes means accepting a version of events they do not recognise. Repair does not require agreement on every memory. It can begin with willingness to understand impact.

'I remember that differently, but I can hear that it hurt you.'
'I used to call you lazy. I understand now that you were struggling with things we didn't recognise.'
'I thought comparing you would motivate you. I can see that it made you feel less than.'
'You don't have to tell me it was okay just because I didn't intend to hurt you.'

Avoid apologies that ask the injured person to remove the parent's discomfort. 'I'm sorry I'm such a terrible mother then' shifts the emotional work back to the child. A stronger apology names the behaviour, recognises impact, avoids excuses and explains what will change.

Rewriting the internal story

Adults can begin noticing inherited statements and testing them against present evidence. The goal is not forced positive thinking but greater accuracy.

Old message: I'm lazy.

More accurate: Starting and organising tasks can be genuinely difficult for my brain. I can use support without turning difficulty into a character judgement.

Old message: I'm too sensitive.

More accurate: I experience some sensations and emotions intensely. I can respect that information and still develop ways to regulate.

Old message: I'm difficult to love.

More accurate: Relationships may require understanding and accommodation. Having needs does not make me unworthy of connection.

Boundaries around old language

An adult child can ask family members to stop using childhood nicknames, jokes, diagnostic stereotypes or stories that feel humiliating. A boundary might be: 'I don't want that story told about me anymore. If it comes up, I'll leave the conversation.' The boundary describes the adult's action; it does not depend on forcing another person to agree.

Reflection: whose voice is this?

A negative sentence I often say to myself:

When do I remember first hearing or believing something similar?

What evidence supports it?

What evidence challenges it?

How would I describe the same difficulty to somebody I cared about?

A more accurate sentence I want to practise:

For parents: changing the message now

Notice identity language. Describe the behaviour rather than defining the person. Name effort as well as outcome. Ask what support is needed before assuming. Avoid sibling comparisons. Make room for disagreement. Let children see adults apologise. Talk about nervous-system and executive-function needs without turning a diagnosis into the child's whole identity.

Most importantly, communicate that connection does not depend on performance. Children need boundaries and guidance, but guidance works differently from shame.

A message from Space to Breathe Therapy

Some words stay with us because they were spoken at a time when we did not yet know how to separate another person's frustration from the truth about who we were.

If you are a parent reading this and recognising things you wish you had done differently, awareness gives you somewhere to begin. You cannot change the words that were spoken years ago, but you can change the words and actions that come next.

If you are the adult child, you are allowed to question the labels you inherited. A description repeated for years does not automatically become a fact. You can understand where a story came from without continuing to live inside it.

References & evidence notes

Bretherton, I., & Munholland, K. A. (2008). Internal working models in attachment relationships: elaborating a central construct in attachment theory. In J. Cassidy & P. R. Shaver (Eds.), *Handbook of Attachment*.

Sibley, M. H. et al. Research literature on ADHD across development and family functioning. ADHD-related impairment should be understood developmentally and contextually rather than as moral failure.

Hull, L. et al. (2017). 'Putting on My Best Normal': Social camouflaging in adults with autism spectrum conditions. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 47, 2519–2534. doi:10.1007/s10803-017-3166-5.

Hull, L. et al. (2021). Evidence concerning autistic camouflaging and mental health. *Autism* and related peer-reviewed literature.

Milton, D. E. M. (2012). On the ontological status of autism: the 'double empathy problem'. *Disability & Society*, 27(6), 883–887.

American Psychiatric Association. (2022). *DSM-5-TR*. ADHD and autism diagnostic criteria and associated features.

Evidence note: Research supports associations among parenting/family processes, self-concept, attachment, neurodevelopment and mental health, but these relationships are complex and bidirectional. This guide does not claim that particular parental words inevitably produce particular adult outcomes. Genetics, temperament, peers, school, trauma, socioeconomic circumstances, culture and later relationships can all contribute. 'Rejection sensitive dysphoria' is not a formal DSM-5-TR diagnosis and is therefore not presented here as an established clinical disorder.

Space to Breathe Therapy