ADD TO THE PLATE, DON'T TAKE IT AWAY

Safe Foods · Understanding · Foundations

PART 1 OF 2

Understanding why safe foods matter before making any change to the plate.

By Maggie Learoyd MNCPS
Psychotherapist | ADHD, Autism & ARFID Specialist

Space to Breathe Therapy

Begin with what is already working

When someone has a limited range of foods, the first response is often to focus on what is missing. Lists are made, foods are removed and pressure builds around what the person “should” be eating. Yet the foods they already manage may be doing something very important: keeping them nourished, regulated and able to eat at all.

Safe foods are foods a person can usually approach with greater predictability. Their taste, texture, smell, temperature, appearance and brand may feel known. That familiarity reduces the amount of sensory information the brain must process.

A safe food is not always a favourite food, and it is not necessarily easy every day. Capacity changes with stress, tiredness, illness, hormones, environment and sensory overload. Safety is about what feels manageable now.

> Safe foods are not the obstacle to progress.
> They are often the foundation from which progress becomes possible.

Why taking food away can increase risk

Removing familiar food in the hope that hunger will force someone to eat something else can increase distress. A person with sensory sensitivities or ARFID may remain unable to eat the replacement, even when hungry. Hunger does not automatically override fear, disgust, pain, nausea or sensory overwhelm.

The result may be less food, less energy, greater anxiety and reduced trust. Children and adults can learn that the people around them may remove the few foods their body accepts.

A safer starting point

- protect reliable foods and drinks
- notice patterns without criticism
- check allergies, intolerances and medical concerns
- understand the sensory qualities that make food manageable
- seek appropriate nutritional support when intake or health is unsafe

The goal is not to leave someone unsupported. It is to begin from safety rather than deprivation.

What makes a food feel safe?

Food safety in this context is sensory and emotional as well as physical. A change that appears tiny to someone else may alter several parts of the experience at once.

Area	Questions to notice
Taste	Is it mild, sweet, salty or always the same?
Texture	Smooth, dry, crunchy, soft, separate or free from mixed textures?
Appearance	Does shape, colour, size or presentation need to be predictable?
Temperature	Is the food easier cold, room temperature or very warm?
Smell	Are strong cooking smells difficult before the meal begins?
Brand/preparation	Does packaging, recipe, appliance or cooking time change the result?

Predictability reduces demand

Many foods vary naturally. Fruit can be sweet one day and sour the next. Meat may contain unexpected fat. Sauces can separate. A restaurant meal may look different every time. Packaged or simply prepared foods often provide more consistency, which can be deeply reassuring.

Reliance on a brand or exact preparation is not stubbornness. It may be a practical way of reducing uncertainty enough to eat.

Safe-food mapping

Food or drink _____	What makes it predictable? _____
Preferred brand/preparation _____	What changes make it difficult? _____
Best setting or time _____	Signs capacity is lower _____

Mapping is about understanding, not grading foods as good or bad.

The nervous system at mealtimes

Eating asks the brain to coordinate smell, taste, touch, sound, movement, digestion and internal body signals. For a sensitive or already overloaded nervous system, this can be a demanding task.

Pressure adds another layer. Being watched, questioned, hurried or praised for each bite can make the meal feel like a performance. The body may move into fight, flight, freeze or shutdown: muscles tense, nausea rises, appetite disappears and swallowing becomes harder.

From behaviour to meaning

What others may see	What may be happening underneath
Refusing a meal	The smell, texture or uncertainty feels unsafe.
Eating the same food	Predictability reduces sensory and emotional demand.
Leaving the table	The environment or attention has become overwhelming.
Gagging or spitting out	An involuntary protective response, not bad manners.
Not noticing hunger	Interoceptive signals may be unclear or stress may suppress appetite.

Co-regulation before nutrition education

A regulated adult can help reduce the emotional temperature of a meal. This does not mean hiding genuine concern. It means discussing support calmly, away from the plate, and avoiding urgent negotiations while the person is already overwhelmed.

Helpful conditions may include:

- a familiar place and predictable routine
- safe food available without being earned
- new or different foods served separately
- less noise, smell, bright light or conversation
- permission to pause, move or finish
- neutral language about how much was eaten

> The nervous system cannot learn safety while it is being asked to defend itself.

The emotional meaning of “healthy eating”

Health messages often present food as a matter of discipline and good choices. For someone whose body rejects many foods, these messages can create shame. They may hear that their safe foods are unhealthy, childish, processed or wrong, while receiving little help to understand why other foods feel impossible.

Nutrition matters, but shame does not improve nutrition. It can lead to hiding, skipped meals, avoidance of appointments and fear of being honest about what is actually eaten.

Language that protects trust

Instead of “You cannot live on that.”	Try “Let’s understand what you can manage and what support you need.”
Instead of “That food is unhealthy.”	Try “This food is helping you eat. We can explore nutrition around it.”
Instead of “If you are hungry, you will eat.”	Try “Hunger may not remove the sensory barrier. Your safe option remains available.”

Supporting children

Adults decide what foods are available and when meals happen; children need genuine control over whether and how much they can manage. This division reduces battles and makes body signals easier to notice.

A child does not need to taste a food to begin learning about it. Shopping, unpacking, watching preparation, serving someone else or having food nearby can all build familiarity when participation is optional.

Supporting teenagers and adults

Teenagers and adults may have years of criticism behind them. Ask what helps, respect privacy and do not make their plate public conversation. Practical support may include checking a menu in advance, bringing a safe food, eating before an event or choosing connection that is not centred on food.

Dignity is part of nourishment. People are more likely to seek help when they expect to be believed.

In my therapy room

Many clients arrive expecting me to focus immediately on everything they do not eat. Some apologise before they describe their food. Others minimise how frightening or physically difficult eating has become because they have learned that people may not believe them.

I begin somewhere different. We look at what is already helping them survive and function. We ask which foods remain possible, what sensory qualities they share, how capacity changes, and what has happened during earlier attempts to force change.

> “Before we ask your nervous system to try something new, we need to understand what helps it feel safe now.”

That shift can bring visible relief. The person is no longer being treated as the problem. Their experience becomes information we can work with.

Maggie's reflection

My own experience of autism, ADHD and ARFID taught me how quickly a plate can become a place of judgement. I knew when people were watching. I knew when my food made me different. I also knew that wanting to eat did not make an overwhelming texture possible.

For years, I believed I should push harder and hide the difficulty better. Understanding my nervous system changed the question from “Why can’t I eat normally?” to “What does my body need in order to feel safe?”

That is not an excuse to give up on health. It is a more honest and sustainable starting point.

A support conversation

What I want others to understand _____
What makes meals harder _____
What helps without pressure _____
How I will say “not today” _____

Protecting nutrition and physical health

Respecting safe foods does not mean ignoring nutritional or medical risk. Limited intake can affect energy, growth, digestion, concentration, immunity and emotional wellbeing. The response should be careful assessment and collaborative support, not blame.

Seek timely professional help if there is significant weight change, dehydration, fainting, weakness, pain, repeated vomiting, choking, swallowing difficulty, nutritional deficiency, reduced growth, or a rapid loss of previously safe foods. Urgent symptoms require urgent medical care.

A joined-up approach

Depending on need, support may involve a GP or paediatrician, dietitian, occupational therapist, speech and language therapist, dentist, gastroenterology service or an ARFID-informed mental-health professional. The most helpful care recognises that medical, nutritional, sensory and emotional factors can interact.

Preparing for an appointment

- record foods and drinks that are currently possible
- note brand, preparation and sensory details
- describe changes in energy, weight, growth, digestion or hydration
- list allergies, intolerances, medication and relevant health conditions
- explain gagging, choking fears, pain or swallowing difficulty
- say what previous approaches increased or reduced distress

Daily capacity check

Green day Usual safe foods feel manageable; curiosity may be possible.	Amber day Stress or sensory load is higher; keep meals especially predictable.
Red day Eating or drinking is very difficult; protect essentials and use the support plan.	Help needed Know who to contact if hydration, nutrition or physical safety is at risk.

A flexible plan prevents a difficult day from being treated as a failure.

Myth versus reality

Myth “Removing safe foods will make someone hungry enough to eat a wider range.”
Reality A sensory or fear barrier may remain even when someone is hungry. Removing reliable foods can reduce intake and trust. A safer approach protects nourishment, assesses risk and builds from what is already possible.

Research snapshot

ARFID and sensory eating research highlights the importance of individual assessment. Restriction may be related to sensory sensitivity, fear of adverse consequences, low interest in eating, or a combination. Autism, ADHD, anxiety, gastrointestinal symptoms and previous difficult experiences may also shape the picture.

Evidence-informed care increasingly favours collaborative, person-centred approaches that address nutrition, physical health, sensory experience and emotional safety together. No single strategy suits everyone.

Key takeaways

- safe foods provide nourishment, predictability and regulation
- hunger may not override sensory distress, fear, pain or nausea
- brand and preparation preferences can reduce uncertainty
- pressure may suppress appetite and damage trust
- support begins by understanding patterns, not judging them
- medical and nutritional concerns deserve assessment without shame
- safety is the foundation for the gentle additions explored in Part 2

Before you go

If your plate looks different from somebody else’s, that does not make you difficult. If familiar foods are what allow you to eat today, they are serving an important purpose.

> We don't build confidence by taking safety away.
>
> We build confidence by protecting what already feels safe and gently expanding from there.

A gentle reminder

This resource offers general information and supportive reflection. It is not a substitute for individual medical, nutritional or therapeutic advice. If eating, hydration or physical health is becoming unsafe, seek help from an appropriately qualified professional.

Maggie Learoyd MNCPS
Psychotherapist | ADHD, Autism & ARFID Specialist
Founder of Space to Breathe Therapy