



KPMG LLP
205 5th Avenue SW
Suite 3100
Calgary AB T2P 4B9
Tel 403-691-8000
Fax 403-691-8008
www.kpmg.ca

INDEPENDENT PRACTITIONER'S LIMITED ASSURANCE REPORT

To the management of Tamarack Valley Energy Ltd.

We have undertaken a limited assurance engagement on certain key performance indicators of Tamarack Valley Energy Ltd. ("the Entity"), included in the accompanying 2023 Sustainability Report (the "Report") and as described below, as at and for the year ended December 31, 2022.

Subject matter information and applicable criteria

The scope of our limited assurance engagement, as agreed with management, comprises the following performance information (collectively, the "subject matter information"):

Subject Matter Information	Results	Applicable Criteria
Net ARO Spend (Entity funded)	\$7.976 million CAD	Internally developed criteria
Percentage of ARO Spending	204%	Internally developed criteria
Indigenous Workforce Participation	7.85%	Internally developed criteria
Scope 1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	499,152 tonnes CO ₂ e	The World Resources Institute/World Business Council for Sustainable Development GHG Protocol – A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard ("GHG Protocol")
Scope 2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	35,128 tonnes CO ₂ e	GHG Protocol & GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance (<i>Supplement to the GHG Protocol</i>)
Scope 1 & Scope 2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Intensity	30.32 kg CO ₂ e/boe	GHG Protocol & Internally developed criteria
Methane Emissions	4,664 tonnes	GHG Protocol

Other than as described in the preceding paragraph, we did not perform assurance procedures on the remaining information included in the Report, and accordingly, we do not express a conclusion on this information.



Management's Responsibilities

Management is responsible for the preparation and presentation of the subject matter information in accordance with the applicable criteria.

There are no mandatory requirements for the preparation or presentation of key performance indicators included in the Report. As such, the Entity applies the applicable criteria, including its own internal reporting guidelines and definitions for sustainability reporting, which is presented in the footnotes on page 33 of the Report. Management is responsible for the development of such criteria.

Management is responsible for determining the appropriateness of the use of the applicable criteria.

Management is also responsible for determining the Entity's objectives in respect of sustainability performance and reporting, including the identification of stakeholders and material issues.

Management is also responsible for such internal control as management determines necessary to enable the preparation and presentation of the subject matter information that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Practitioner's Responsibilities

Our responsibility is to express a limited assurance conclusion on the subject matter information based on evidence we have obtained. We conducted our limited assurance engagement in accordance with International Standards on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000, *Attestation Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information* and ISAE 3410, *Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements*, issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. These standards require that we plan and perform our engagement to obtain limited assurance about whether based on the procedures performed and evidence obtained, any matter(s) has come to our attention to cause us to believe that the subject matter information is materially misstated.

The procedures performed in a limited assurance engagement vary in nature and timing from and are less in extent than for a reasonable assurance engagement. Consequently, the level of assurance obtained in a limited assurance engagement is substantially lower than the assurance that would have been obtained had a reasonable assurance engagement been performed. Accordingly, it is not a guarantee that a limited assurance engagement conducted in accordance with this standard will always detect a matter that causes the practitioner to believe that the subject matter information is materially misstated.

Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the decisions of users of our report.

The nature, timing and extent of procedures performed depends on our professional judgment, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and involves obtaining evidence about the subject matter information.

Our engagement included: assessing the appropriateness of the underlying subject matter, the suitability of the criteria used by the Entity in preparing the subject matter information in the circumstances of the engagement and evaluating the appropriateness of the: methods, policies and procedures, and models used in the preparation of subject matter information and the reasonableness of estimates made by the Entity.



Our engagement included, amongst others, the following procedures:

- Inquiries of management to gain an understanding of the Entity's processes for identifying relevant transactions and preparing the subject matter information;
- Inquiries with relevant staff to understand the data collection and reporting processes for the subject matter information;
- Interviews with relevant staff at the corporate and business unit level responsible for providing the information;
- Where relevant, performing walkthroughs of data collection and reporting processes for the subject matter information;
- Assessment of the suitability and application of the criteria in respect of the subject matter information;
- Comparing a sample of the reported data for the subject matter information to underlying data sources;
- Completion of a site tour of the Meanook oil battery facility, including walkthroughs of data collection and reporting processes, and interviews with senior management and relevant staff;
- Inquiries of management regarding key assumptions and, where relevant, the re-performance of calculations on a sample basis; and,
- Reviewing the subject matter information presented in the Report to determine whether it is consistent with our overall knowledge of, and experience with, the sustainability performance of the Entity.

The engagement was conducted by a multidisciplinary team which included professionals with suitable skills and experience in both assurance and in the applicable subject matter, including environmental, social and governance aspects.

Practitioner's Independence and Quality Management

We have complied with the relevant rules of professional conduct/code of ethics applicable to the practice of public accounting and related to assurance engagements, issued by various professional accounting bodies, which are founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behaviour.

The firm applies Canadian Standard on Quality Management 1, *Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits or Reviews of Financial Statements, or Other Assurance or Related Services Engagements* which requires the firm to design, implement and operate a system of quality management, including policies or procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Significant Inherent Limitations

Historical non-financial information, such as that contained in the Report, is subject to more inherent limitations than historical financial information, given the qualitative characteristics of the underlying subject matter and methods used for determining this information. The absence of a significant body of established practice on which to draw allows for the selection of different but acceptable evaluation techniques, which can result in materially different measurements and can impact comparability. The nature and methods used to determine such information, as described in the applicable criteria, may change over time, and it is important to read the Entity's reporting methodology available in the footnotes on page 33 of the Report.



Emphasis of Matter

We draw attention to the methodology for calculating emissions intensity available in the footnotes on page 33 of the Report. As described in the footnotes to the Report, Scope 1 and 2 emissions are determined using the operational control approach to consolidation and annual production is determined using a method consistent with the Entity's financial statement disclosure which utilizes accrual based net working interest production sales. Differences in consolidation approach between the numerator and the denominator can lead to changes in reported intensities between periods for reasons other than improvements or deteriorations in emissions per barrel of oil equivalent at the operational level. As a result, it is important to be aware of this element of the methodology when reading the related emissions intensity.

Our conclusion is not modified in respect of this matter.

Conclusion

Our conclusion has been formed on the basis of, and is subject to, the matters outlined in this report. We believe that the evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our conclusion. Based on the procedures performed and evidence obtained, no matters have come to our attention to cause us to believe that the Entity's subject matter information for the year ended December 31, 2022, is not prepared and presented, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable criteria.

Specific Purpose of Subject Matter Information

The subject matter information has been prepared in accordance with the applicable criteria and as a result may not be suitable for another purpose.

KPMG LLP

Chartered Professional Accountants

Calgary, Canada

June 29, 2023