



GLI®

GLI Europe BV

Diakenhuisweg 29-35
2033 AP Haarlem
The Netherlands

Tel +31 (0)88 220 6600
www.gaminglabs.com

Chamber of Commerce
Leiden nr. 28117769
VAT Identification number
NL 8184.73.393.B.01

This report is only intended for authorized recipients. Please visit our website to view the applicable terms and conditions and Product Certification Scheme. If the recipient does not agree to all of such terms and conditions or Product Certification Scheme, we withdraw the certification or analysis established by this report and the recipient must immediately return all copies of this report and make no reference to this report for any purpose at any time.

Worldwide Locations

World Headquarters

Lakewood, New Jersey

International Offices

GLI Africa
GLI Asia
GLI Australia Pty Ltd
GLI Austria GmbH
GLI Europe BV
GLI Italy
GLI South America

U.S. Regional Offices

Colorado
Nevada

Report Type Evaluation

Report Date 08 September 2025

Issuing Laboratory GLI Europe B.V.

Evaluating Laboratory GLI Europe B.V.
Diakenhuisweg 29-35
2033 AP Haarlem
The Netherlands

Recipient APS Technologia MCHJ
64-A, Labzak Kochasi str
Tashkent, 126600
Uzbekistan

Tested against Requirements Law of Georgia on Organizing Lotteries, Games of Chance and Other Prize Games Order of the Minister of Finance N243 October 1, 2020 (with amendments up until 29/03/2024).

Jurisdiction Republic of Georgia Land Based Gaming

Manufacturer APS Technologia MCHJ
64-A, Labzak Kochasi str
Tashkent, 126600
Uzbekistan

Submitter APS Technologia MCHJ
64-A, Labzak Kochasi str
Tashkent, 126600
Uzbekistan

Product Name BAAS Games RNG

Description of the Product Tested AcceleronEngine.Randoms.dll
As requested per submitter's letter received 05 September 2025.

Evaluation Period 08 September 2025 / 03 October 2025

Internal Reference RN-120-APZ-25-01-654

Result Pass (See Comments and Conditions on the following pages)

Internal methods used reference Random Number Generator (RNG) Analysis
WI-MA-006
PC-TC-001

Technical Evaluation authorized by:

James Boje
Managing Director EMEA
Executive



RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE BAAS GAMES RNG

The intent of this report is to indicate that GLI has completed its evaluation of the BAAS Games random number generator (RNG), 1.0.1, provided by APS Technologia MCHJ.

SECTION I — SCOPE OF TESTING

GLI was provided the required materials to conduct a randomness evaluation on the BAAS Games RNG. The scope of this evaluation was limited to software verification, source code review, and data analysis. The RNG was tested for its ability to randomly produce outcomes for the ranges provided in Section IV.

The BAAS Games RNG was evaluated against the RNG-specific requirements of the technical standards listed on the first page of the report.

SECTION II — SOFTWARE VERIFICATION

Verify+ by Kobetron™ signatures for the BAAS Games RNG are as follows:

File	Version	Type	Signature
AcceleronEngine.Randoms.dll	1.0.1	Kobe4	8P76
		MD5	B2F055EC6D7C8A7B6145B510A95F9B59
		SHA-1	9C4EC33D651473DA1A1ED59215268E3A7B4A835A

Table 1. Digital Signatures

SECTION III — SOURCE CODE REVIEW

GLI received the appropriate documentation and full source code which pertains to the generation of random numbers. GLI reviewed the source code provided by tracing the path of the RNG application from the initiation of the draw to the selected output of random numbers. GLI inspected the source code, where practicable, in an attempt to find any undisclosed switches or parameters having a possible influence on randomness and fair play. GLI assessed the ability of the RNG to produce all numbers within the desired range.



RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE BAAS GAMES RNG

SECTION IV — STATISTICAL TESTING

The RNG parameters tested are listed in Table 2. GLI performed a data format check on each data set listed in order to confirm that these parameters were correctly represented in the data analyzed.

Floating Point data has been tested by collecting floating point numbers between 0 and 1, then the first 12 digits after the decimal point were taken to perform testing on numbers between 0 and 999,999,999,999 included.

Data Set	Range	Positions
Floating Point Numbers	0-1	1

Table 2. RNG Parameters

In addition to final outcome data, GLI tested raw outcomes consisting of binary output from the main RNG algorithm prior to the application of any scaling algorithms. For a summary of the statistical tests applied to each data set, see *Appendix A*. For a description of the overall test methodology and a description of each test used, see *Appendix B*.

Overall, the RNG passed the battery of tests for each configuration at the 95%, 98%, and 99% confidence levels.

SECTION V — SUMMARY

Overall Evaluation of the Random Number Generator

GLI's conclusion based upon the tests applied to the BAAS Games RNG data is that this random number generator has exhibited random behavior and is suitable for the applications as described herein. If a game utilizes different RNG parameters than the ones listed in this report, the RNG should be resubmitted to test that set of parameters.



Appendix A: Statistical Test Summary

Data Set	Range	Positions	Replacement	Draws	Test Names												
					Runs	Serial Corr.	Interplay Corr.	Adj. Max-Min	Adj. High-Low	Coupon	Duplicates	Overlaps	Tot. Dist.	Tot. Dist. by Pos.	Count of Counts	Diehard	
Floating Point Numbers	0-1	1	N/A	500,000,000	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Binary	Not applicable																X

Table A 1. Tests Applied

Appendix B: Test Descriptions

B.1 Definitions. The following terms apply to the below test descriptions. Randomness Device or Random Number Generator (RNG) output may be collected multiple numbers at a time. Each set of numbers is called a draw. Each individual number has a particular order within the *draw*. This is referred to as the number *position*.

B.2 Distribution Comparisons. Many of the tests compare an observed numerical distribution with an expected distribution. Unless otherwise specified, this is done by means of a statistical chi-square goodness-of-fit test. The value chi-square is computed in the standard way. If k is a possible value, o_k is the observed count of that value, and e_k is the expected count:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_k \frac{(o_k - e_k)^2}{e_k}$$

In the case where expected counts are too small for accurate use of the above formula, values are 'binned' together to ensure an appropriate minimum expected count. The resultant value for chi-square is compared against the distribution for the appropriate number of degrees of freedom. Unusually high (distribution mismatch) or unusually low (insufficient randomness) chi-square values can be causes for data failure.

B.3 Meta-testing. Evaluation of groups of p -values may include a meta-test for extremity of high or low p -values, a meta-test for frequency of high or low p -values, and a meta-test for uniformity of p -values, as appropriate.

B.4 Confidence Level. The statistical tests conducted by GLI are done at a particular *confidence level*. Common confidence levels used include 95%, 98%, and 99%, depending on jurisdictional requirements, and intended use of the RNG. High confidence level testing has low risk of mistakenly failing a good RNG, but higher risk of passing a bad RNG. Lower confidence level testing has increased power of detecting bad RNGs, while also increasing the risk of false failures of good RNGs. Specifically, the confidence level represents the probability that an ideal source of randomness would pass the testing. If an RNG passes statistical tests at a given confidence level, passage at all *higher* confidence levels is implied.

B.5 Tests. Some tests are only applicable to certain types of data. Some tests may be applied only to a portion of the data. Some tests may require that the data be parsed, binned, or otherwise transformed, as necessitated by data format.



Appendix B: Test Descriptions

Adjacency High-Low:

For each draw, the number of local extrema ('highs' and 'lows') in the data is recorded and compared with the expected distribution. These are also referred to as 'turning points'. For example, if a draw consists of the numbers

1, 3, 5, 7, 2, 9

there would be one local maximum (7) and one local minimum (2). The resulting statistic would be 2.

Adjacency Max-Min:

For each draw, the difference between the maximum and minimum values is calculated and recorded. This is compared with the expected theoretical distribution. For example, if a draw consists of the numbers

2, 3, 6, 7, 4

the resulting statistic would be 5, the difference between the maximum value (7) and the minimum value (2).

Count of Counts:

The Count of Counts test first counts the occurrences of each value in each position of the data. These counts are then tallied and compared with the expected distribution of counts for the draw size and range of values.

Coupon Collector's:

The Coupon Collector's Test is applied positionally. The data is parsed until all possible values have been observed, then the number of values checked is recorded and the count is restarted. This is compared with the expected distribution. For example, if the set of all possible values is {0, 1, 2} and the first position of each draw is

1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 2, ...

then all values are observed in the first position by the fifth draw. All values are then observed within the next 3 draws, so the first two statistics for the first position would be 5 and 3.

DieHard:

The DieHard Battery of Tests is a standard assessment of the randomness in raw outcomes generated from an RNG. The collection, designed by George Marsaglia, tests for a variety of patterns in the individual binary bits of RNG output. GLI uses a custom implementation to conduct DieHard testing.



Appendix B: Test Descriptions

Duplicates:

The Duplicates Test counts the number of times a draw is exactly duplicated in the data. In the case that a particular draw is repeated more than twice, every possible way to generate a duplicate is counted. This is compared against the theoretical distribution to verify that the number of duplicate draws falls within expected bounds. For example, consider the dataset consisting of the following draws of two numbers each.

- a) 1, 3
- b) 4, 1
- c) 1, 3
- d) 1, 3
- e) 4, 1
- f) 3, 1

The duplicate pairs are (a, c) , (a, d) , (c, d) , and (b, e) , for a total of 4 duplicates. (f) is not counted as a duplicate since the draw must match in order as well as values.

Interplay Correlation:

The Interplay Correlation Test measures statistical correlation between different positions of the same draw. For each pair of positions, statistical correlation is calculated as in the Serial Correlation Test. In the case of without replacement data, an adjustment is made to account for the expected resulting negative correlation.

Overlaps:

The Overlaps Test compares consecutive draws for overlapping values. The number of overlapping values is recorded for each pair of draws. This observed distribution of overlaps is then compared against the expected distribution. For example, if the following draws are observed consecutively,

- a) 1, 4, 5, 6
- b) 4, 1, 7, 6

the number of overlaps would be 3, representing the values 1, 4, and 6.

Runs:

The Wald-Wolfowitz Runs Test is applied to each position within the draw. A center is established, typically the data median, and the number of 'runs' above and below the center are tallied. Values exactly equal to the center are discarded. This is compared to the expected distribution, which depends on the number of values above and below the center. For example, if the numbers drawn at a particular position were

2, 3, 1, 5, 4, 7, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 6, 7, 3, 5

and the established center were the data median of 3, the data would be parsed for runs above 3 and runs below 3.

2, 3, 1, 5, 4, 7, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 6, 7, 3, 5

This would be counted as 4 runs.



Appendix B: Test Descriptions

Serial Correlation:

The Serial Correlation Test measures statistical correlation between consecutive draws of the same position. For each position, the sample Pearson correlation coefficient is calculated. If X represents the first number, and Y the number that follows, then the coefficient is

$$r = \frac{cov(X, Y)}{s_X s_Y}$$

where s denotes the sample standard deviation. The coefficients are used to generate a p -value for each position.

Total Distribution:

The Total Distribution Test is a simple tally of all observed values throughout the data. This is compared with the expected distribution. Typically the expected distribution is a uniform distribution. In the case of unequal weighting of values, an appropriate discrete distribution is used.

Total Distribution by Position:

The Total Distribution by Position Test tallies the observed distribution of values for each position within the draw. Each of these distributions is then compared with the expected.



Comments

This Report relates only to the product(s) listed.