A CASE STUDY IN REAL-TIME PATTERN RECOGNITION

Breadcrumbs to Peace

Choosing Authenticity Over Performance

Jim Edgett, MBA | Former VP Customer Experience, GameStop | IBM | Salesforce | Omnicom

---

THE CASE STUDY

Written During. Not After.

Most documented accounts of narcissistic abuse are retrospective. Written after leaving. After therapy. After the clinical language has been acquired and the pattern named.

This one is different.

I began journaling in September 2022 — inside the marriage, before I had a single framework for what I was experiencing. Three journals. Nearly 30,000 words. Written during, not after. Supplemented by individual and couples therapy notes from the same therapist, a full psychiatric evaluation, and clinical documentation from TMS treatment and medication management.

What follows is not a victim narrative. It is a documented arc — the progression of systematic reality denial as experienced in real time by a functioning professional, husband, and father of two. I was a VP at Fortune 500 companies while simultaneously being systematically gaslit into losing the ability to trust my own perceptions at home.

That duality is the case study.

THE DENIAL ARC: HOW GASLIGHTING ACCUMULATES

How Gaslighting Accumulates

Gaslighting is rarely a single dramatic event. It is a system — deployed incrementally, each instance building on the last, until the target can no longer distinguish his or her own observations from "the problem."

Years 1–5: Observation Denied

Early in the marriage, I began noticing small asymmetries. My feelings about certain interactions were consistently reframed as my problem. "That's your fault." "You're too sensitive." "That never happened." Each instance felt isolated — a misunderstanding, a bad day, a communication failure. I adjusted. I tried harder. I assumed the gap between what I observed and what she confirmed was mine to close.

Years 5–15: Reality Becomes Negotiable

By the middle years, I had stopped trusting individual observations and begun questioning entire categories of perception. Was I too emotional? Too reactive? Too needy? The answer — delivered consistently through comparison, dismissal, and blame — was yes. Always yes.

She compared me constantly to men in our church small group. Gary never yelled. Marshall was kind. Dave rode shotgun. I scheduled lunches with all three to understand what I was supposedly lacking. They were normal men with normal struggles. When I reported this back, her response was: "It doesn't matter. You need to learn from them."

So I learned. Drank less. Cussed less. Became quieter. Less emotive. Basically less me — performing to a standard she constructed while building a completely different relationship portfolio elsewhere. CEO startup founders. Basketball coaches. Men who offered power, access, and the validation she needed but would not accept from me.

I was the cover story. They were the supply chain.

Years 15–21: The Weaponization of Mental Health

By the late years, help-seeking itself had become a liability. In August 2023, following years of being told my observations were delusional, I sought a psychiatric evaluation. Her position: I was imagining things. Making up patterns. Seeing a reality that wasn't there.

The Salience Health evaluation confirmed Generalized Anxiety Disorder — environmental in origin. Not delusional disorder. Not psychosis. Not fabrication.

> *Clinical notes: "Sent here because his wife told him he was delusional."*

The diagnosis came back GAD — due to the environment. She caused my anxiety, forced the evaluation expecting it to validate her narrative, and it backfired completely. Her response was to reframe herself as the victim of my mental health.

Her exact statement: "I'm gonna write a book about what it's like to live with a guy with anxiety disorder."

Examples I shared in heart-wrenching honesty — feelings of being disrespected when she would turn her head to look at men, lean into conversations with them, touch men on the shoulder — were met with a single response: "Why do you care?" "Why do you care if I carpooled to the event with a guy?" "Why do you care if I changed in the CEO's hotel room at the conference?"

The mechanism clinical literature identifies as DARVO — Deny, Attack, Reverse Victim and Offender — does not announce itself. It operates through accumulated incidents exactly like these.

The Physical Culmination: February 2024

Four months after the psychiatric evaluation, following a lifetime of running half marathons and regular exercise, I had a cardiac event. Stress test. Cardiologist. Scheduled angiogram. I carried nitroglycerin in my pocket for weeks.

One night I walked into our bedroom — I had been sleeping in the guest room — and said: *"Hey honey. I'm actually afraid of dying."* I wanted a hug.

She looked at me and said: "Well, sounds like you need to read your Bible."

The angiogram came back clear. My heart was healthy — the cardiologist called it remarkable. But something had ended in that bedroom. Not with anger. Not with a fight. Just with the quiet understanding that I was facing the possibility of death, and the person I had shared twenty-one years with could not offer me a hug.

I filed for divorce in January 2025. Finalized July 2025. The documentation spans September 2022 through present. Nearly four years of real-time record.

OUR WORK IS COMPLEMENTARY

An Ecosystem, Not Competition

Most people find Dr. Ramani's frameworks after they have already left. After the breakdown. After years of wondering what was wrong with them. Those frameworks are extraordinarily effective at naming what happened — retrospectively.

What I did not have access to was a tool for recognizing the pattern while still inside it. Before I had clinical vocabulary. Before I knew what gaslighting was. Before I understood that "I didn't mean to hurt you" is categorically different from "I hurt you and I'm sorry."

Breadcrumbs to Peace is designed to reach people at that earlier stage. Not the clinical education — that space is owned and done better than anyone by established experts. But the real-time pattern recognition for people still inside the fog. People who will eventually find that work, but need something to hold onto first.

The frameworks below are built on established clinical research. They are designed to get people to expert practitioners earlier. Before another decade passes.

SELECTED FRAMEWORKS

Tools for Real-Time Pattern Recognition

The following frameworks were developed from documented lived experience and informed by clinical research on narcissistic abuse, Dr. Daniel Kahneman's work on cognitive asymmetry, and CBT literature on thought restructuring.

1. Asymmetric Accountability

In healthy relationships, accountability rotates based on actual responsibility. In systematically abusive relationships, this assignment is fixed: shared successes are collective, individual failures are always yours.

The diagnostic tool is not a scorecard — scorekeeping is ego-driven. It is a single question: *"Why does my increased effort not change the outcome of this system?"* When effort and outcome are structurally decoupled, the architecture is broken. No amount of personal growth repairs broken architecture.

This framework helps people make safety decisions without requiring clinical diagnosis. The question is not "is this person a narcissist?" The question is: "Is this system repairable?"

2. The Authenticity Trap

For twenty-one years, being authentic was dangerous. Sharing a feeling was treated as an attack. Demonstrating kindness was reframed as manipulation — exact words: "You use kindness to manipulate me." Expressing insecurity about observable behavior was met with: "That's your own fault."

The pattern: Authenticity → Perceived Threat to False Self → Reframing as Attack → Punishment. What this produces over time is not just emotional suppression. It produces a nervous system trained to justify its own existence.

3. The On/Off Spectrum Determination

A safety assessment — not a clinical diagnosis. The question is not "Is this person bad?" The question is: "Is the capacity for empathy and accountability accessible in this relationship, or is it perpetually

unreachable?"

This distinction — accessible vs. perpetually inaccessible — changes the entire decision-making framework. It moves the question from "am I doing enough?" to "is what I need structurally available here?" Grounded in clinical research on the narcissistic personality's inability to access affective empathy.

> *"Inaccessible = functionally not there."*

4. The Confusion System

Six mechanisms deployed simultaneously that make self-trust functionally impossible:

1. **Lying by Omission** — Information withheld. Bad outcomes blamed on the uninformed party.
2. **Intermittent Reinforcement** — Validation appears and disappears unpredictably, creating anxious attachment to its return.
3. **Preemptive Framing** — Reality shaped before the target experiences it. In September 2024, three months before her company's Christmas party, my ex-wife told me: *"You can't come to the Christmas party because you'll feel threatened by my relationship with Jeff."* She deployed the frame before the event, before I had a reaction. Any response — upset or not — became proof I was the problem. Jeff was the CEO she later admitted an affair with during the divorce process.
4. **Proximity Management** — Emotional, physical, and informational access controlled by the dominant partner.
5. **Narrative Rewriting** — Actual harm disappears. The target's response to harm becomes the documented event.
6. **Strategic Vagueness** — Contradictory, incomplete communication that prevents the target from ever achieving clarity.

When these six mechanisms operate simultaneously, the result is not occasional self-doubt. The result is a person who cannot extend themselves basic compassion — because they can no longer trust their own perception of events.

> *"Documentation is not vilification. It is survival."*

THE DELIVERY

Book + Video Course

Breadcrumbs to Peace is being developed in two formats: a narrative-driven book with four-tradition wisdom integration (Buddhist Right View, Christian faith and works, Yogic inner engineering, CBT),

targeted at the 100M+ adults where ego is driving outcomes they cannot explain; and a video course delivering each framework as a standalone module for people still inside the system, not yet at the retrospective stage.

The male voice is intentional. Not because this experience is male — it is not. But because a documented male perspective in this space is genuinely rare, and the audience that currently has the least access to established clinical frameworks is men who have been systematically conditioned to doubt the validity of their own emotional experience.

THE ASK

Is there room in this space for a documented case study that begins before the diagnosis — someone recognizing narcissistic abuse patterns in real time, from inside them, with clinical documentation spanning nearly four years?

This is not a request for endorsement. It is an inquiry: does the work built here — grounded in established clinical frameworks, extending into territory those frameworks do not currently cover, designed to reach people earlier in their journey — represent something of value?

Full chapter manuscripts, the complete documentation timeline, and framework development detail are available upon request.

Jim Edgett, MBA

Works and Wisdom | www.worksandwisdom.com | Jim@worksandwisdom.com

Breadcrumbs to Peace: Choosing Authenticity Over Performance — February 2026