

# Math 34600 L (33201)

## - Lectures 03

Ethan Akin  
Office: Marshak 325  
Email: [eakin@ccny.cuny.edu](mailto:eakin@ccny.cuny.edu)

Spring, 2026

# Contents

## Linear Transformations

Matrix of a Linear Transformation

## Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors and Diagonalization

## Euclidean Spaces, Orthogonality and Symmetric Matrices

Orthogonal Matrices

Symmetric Matrices

Singular Values Decomposition

# Linear Transformations

Earlier we define a linear transformation  $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  defined by multiplying the  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ .

In general, a *linear transformation* or *linear map* is a function  $T : V \rightarrow W$  between the vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ . That is, the inputs and outputs are vectors, and  $T$  satisfies *linearity*, which is also called the *superposition property*:

$$T(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) = T(\mathbf{v}_1) + T(\mathbf{v}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad T(a\mathbf{v}) = aT(\mathbf{v}). \quad (6.1)$$

In particular,  $T(\mathbf{0}) = T(0\mathbf{0}) = 0T(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ , and  $T(-\mathbf{v}) = T((-1)\mathbf{v}) = (-1)T(\mathbf{v}) = -T(\mathbf{v})$ .

It follows that  $T$  relates linear combinations:

$$T(c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k) = c_1T(\mathbf{v}_1) + c_2T(\mathbf{v}_2) + \dots + c_kT(\mathbf{v}_k). \quad (6.2)$$

This property of linearity is very special. It is a standard algebra mistake to apply it to functions like the square root function and sin and cos etc. for which it does not hold. On the other hand, these should be familiar properties from calculus. The operator  $D$  associating to a differentiable function  $f$  its derivative  $Df$  is a most important example of a linear operator.

From a linear map we get an important examples of subspaces.

For a linear map  $T : V \rightarrow W$ , the set of vectors  $\{\mathbf{v} \in V : T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}\}$  *solution space of the homogeneous equation*, is a subspace of  $V$  called the *kernel* of  $T$ ,  $\text{Ker}(T)$ . If  $\mathbf{r}$  is not  $\mathbf{0}$  then the solution space of  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{r}$  is not a subspace. For example, it does not contain  $\mathbf{0}$ .

For a linear map  $T : V \rightarrow W$ , the set of vectors  $\{\mathbf{w} \in W : \text{for some } \mathbf{v} \in V, T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}\}$  is called *the image of  $L$* , denoted  $\text{Im}(T)$ .

Check that  $\text{Ker}(T) \subset V$  and  $\text{Im}(T) \subset W$  are subspaces.

For the linear map  $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  associated with the  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,  $\text{Ker}(T_A) = \text{Null}(A)$  and  $\text{Im}(T_A) = \text{Col}(A)$ .

**LINEAR MAP Theorem 1:** (a) If  $T : V \rightarrow W$  and  $S : W \rightarrow U$  are linear maps, then the composition  $S \circ T : V \rightarrow U$  defined by  $S \circ T(\mathbf{v}) = S(T(\mathbf{v}))$  is a linear map.

(b) A linear map  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is one-to-one if and only if  $\text{Ker}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

(c) A linear map  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is onto if and only if  $\text{Im}(T) = W$ .

(d) If a linear map  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is one-to-one and onto, then the inverse map  $T^{-1} : W \rightarrow V$  defined by

$$T^{-1}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w} \quad (6.3)$$

is a linear map.

A one-to-one, onto linear map is called a *linear isomorphism*.

Proof: (a)

$$S(T(c\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2)) = S(cT(\mathbf{v}_1) + T(\mathbf{v}_2)) = cS(T(\mathbf{v}_1)) + S(T(\mathbf{v}_2)).$$

(b)  $T(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$  and so if  $T$  is one-to-one,  $\text{Ker}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

Conversely, if  $T(\mathbf{v}_1) = T(\mathbf{v}_2)$ , then  $T(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{0}$ . So if  $\text{Ker}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , we have  $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{0}$  and so  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_2$ .

(c) This is clear from the definition of  $\text{Im}(T)$ .

$$(d) T^{-1}(c\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2) = c\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad c\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 = T(c\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2).$$

□

**LINEAR MAP Theorem 2:** Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map and  $D = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be a list of vectors in  $V$ . Define  $T(D) = \{T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_n)\}$

- (a) If  $T(D)$  is an li list in  $W$ , then  $D$  is an li list in  $V$ .
- (b) If  $D$  is an li list in  $V$  and  $\text{Ker}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , then  $T(D)$  is an li list in  $W$ .
- (c) If  $D$  spans  $V$  and  $\text{Im}(T) = W$ , then  $T(D)$  spans  $W$ .
- (d) If  $D$  is a basis for  $V$  and  $T$  is a linear isomorphism, then  $T(D)$  is a basis for  $W$ .
- (e) If  $D$  spans  $V$  and  $S : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear map with  $T(\mathbf{v}_1) = S(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_n) = S(\mathbf{v}_n)$ , then  $T = S$ . That is,  $T(\mathbf{v}) = S(\mathbf{v})$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ .

Proof: (a) Assume  $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{0}$ . We must show  $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 0$ .

$\mathbf{0} = T(\mathbf{0}) = T(c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n) = c_1T(\mathbf{v}_1) + \cdots + c_nT(\mathbf{v}_n)$ .  
Because the list  $\{T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_n)\}$  is li, it follows that  $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 0$ .

(b) Assume

$\mathbf{0} = c_1T(\mathbf{v}_1) + \cdots + c_nT(\mathbf{v}_n) = T(c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n)$ .  
Because  $\text{Ker}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ,  $\mathbf{0} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n$ . Because  $D$  is li,  $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 0$ .

(c) For  $\mathbf{w} \in W$ , there exists  $\mathbf{v}$  with  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}$  (Why?). There exist coefficients so that  $\mathbf{v} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n$  (Why?). So  $\mathbf{w} = c_1T(\mathbf{v}_1) + \cdots + c_nT(\mathbf{v}_n)$ .

(d) follows from (b) and (c).

(e) Check that  $\{\mathbf{v} : T(\mathbf{v}) = S(\mathbf{v})\}$  is a subspace of  $V$  because  $S$  and  $T$  are linear. The subspace contains the spanning set  $D$  and so equals all of  $V$ .

□

**LINEAR MAP Theorem 3:** For  $T : V \rightarrow W$  a linear map,

$$\dim V = \dim \text{Ker}(T) + \dim \text{Im}(T). \quad (6.4)$$

Proof: Every vector of  $\text{Im}(T)$  is of the form  $T(\mathbf{v})$  and so we can choose a basis  $\{T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_r)\}$  for  $\text{Im}(T)$ . Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be a basis for  $\text{Ker}(T)$ . We will show that  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r, \mathbf{v}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$  which will show that  $n = \dim V$ .

Assume  $\mathbf{0} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_r \mathbf{v}_r + c_{r+1} \mathbf{v}_{r+1} + \dots + c_n \mathbf{v}_n$ . We must show  $c_1 = \dots = c_r = c_{r+1} = \dots = c_n = 0$ .

Apply  $T$  and note the  $\mathbf{v}_i \in \text{Ker}(T)$  for  $r < i \leq n$  implies  $\mathbf{0} = c_1 T(\mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + c_r T(\mathbf{v}_r)$ . So  $c_1 = \dots = c_r = 0$  (Why?)

This implies that  $\mathbf{0} = c_{r+1} \mathbf{v}_{r+1} + \dots + c_n \mathbf{v}_n$ . So  $c_{r+1} = \dots = c_n = 0$  (Why?)

Thus,  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r, \mathbf{v}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is li.

Now let  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . We must find coefficients so that

$$\mathbf{v} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_r \mathbf{v}_r + c_{r+1} \mathbf{v}_{r+1} + \cdots + c_n \mathbf{v}_n.$$

There exist coefficients so that

$$T(\mathbf{v}) = c_1 T(\mathbf{v}_1) + \cdots + c_r T(\mathbf{v}_r) \text{ (Why?)}$$

$$T(\mathbf{v} - c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_r \mathbf{v}_r) = T(\mathbf{v}) - (c_1 T(\mathbf{v}_1) + \cdots + c_r T(\mathbf{v}_r)) = \mathbf{0}$$

and so there exist coefficients such that

$$\mathbf{v} - c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_r \mathbf{v}_r = c_{r+1} \mathbf{v}_{r+1} + \cdots + c_n \mathbf{v}_n.$$

Thus,  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r, \mathbf{v}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  spans  $V$ .

□

**LINEAR MAP Theorem 4:** Let  $D = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be a list of vectors in  $V$ . The map  $T_D : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V$  defined by

$$T_D(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbf{v}_i = x_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + x_n \mathbf{v}_n \quad (6.5)$$

is a linear map.

If  $D$  is a basis, then  $T_D$  is a linear isomorphism and inverse map  $T_D^{-1} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by  $T_D^{-1}(v) = [\mathbf{v}]_D$ , the coordinate vector of  $\mathbf{v}$  with respect to the basis  $D$ .

Proof: We saw above that the sum of two linear combinations on a list is the linear combination obtained by adding the corresponding coefficients. Similarly,

$T_D(cx_1, \dots, cx_n) = cT_D(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Thus,  $T_D$  is linear.

If  $D$  is a basis then for any  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  the equation  $\mathbf{v} = x_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + x_n \mathbf{v}_n$  uniquely defines the coefficients and the list of coefficients is the coordinate vector  $[\mathbf{v}]_D$ .

□

**LINEAR ISOMORPHISM Theorem:** For finite dimensional vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , there is a linear isomorphism  $T : V \rightarrow W$  if and only if  $\dim V = \dim W$ . In particular, if  $\dim V = n$ , then there is a linear isomorphism  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V$ .

Proof: If  $D = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$ , then  $T_D : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V$  is a linear isomorphism and such a basis exists exactly when  $\dim V = n$ .

Thus, if  $\dim V = \dim W = n$  then there exist linear isomorphisms  $T : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $S : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and so the composition  $S^{-1} \circ T : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear isomorphism.

On the other hand, if  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear isomorphism and  $D$  is a basis for  $V$ , then by LINEAR MAP Theorem 2(d)  $T(D)$  is a basis for  $W$ . Therefore,  $\dim V = \#D = \#T(D) = \dim W$ .  
 $\square$

Let us look at Exercise 7.2/ 1b, page 385.

## Matrix of a Linear Transformation

Recall that for  $A$  an  $m \times n$  matrix the linear map  $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is defined by  $T_A(X) = AX$ . By using bases we can represent every linear map between finite dimensional vector spaces in this way.

If  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear map and  $B = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ ,  $D = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m\}$  are bases for  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively, then the matrix  $[T]_{DB}$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix given by

$$[T]_{DB} = [ [T(\mathbf{v}_1)]_D \dots [T(\mathbf{v}_n)]_D ]. \quad (6.6)$$

That is, we form the matrix by applying  $T$  to each of the domain basis vectors from  $B$  in  $V$ . We list them in order, thinking of them as a matrix but with vectors in  $W$  instead of columns of numbers. We convert each vector to an actual column of numbers by replacing each by its column of  $D$  coordinates. Thus, we obtain the  $m \times n$  matrix  $[T]_{DB}$ .

**MAP MATRIX Theorem 1:** Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map with  $B = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ ,  $D = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m\}$  bases for  $V$  and  $W$ . Let  $[T]_{DB}$  be the  $m \times n$  matrix associated to the linear map by the choice of bases. If  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , then

$$[T(\mathbf{v})]_D = [T]_{DB}[\mathbf{v}]_B. \quad (6.7)$$

That is, the  $D$  coordinate vector of  $\mathbf{w} = T(\mathbf{v})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is obtained by multiplying the  $B$  coordinate vector of  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by the  $m \times n$  matrix  $[T]_{DB}$ .

Proof: By definition  $[\mathbf{v}]_B = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$  means  $\mathbf{v} = x_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots x_n\mathbf{v}_n$ ,

and so  $\mathbf{w} = T(\mathbf{v}) = x_1T(\mathbf{v}_1) + \dots x_nT(\mathbf{v}_n)$ . By LINEAR MAP Theorem 4, the coordinate map  $\mathbf{w} \mapsto [\mathbf{w}]_D$  is linear and so

$$[\mathbf{w}]_D = x_1[T(\mathbf{v}_1)]_D + \dots x_n[T(\mathbf{v}_n)]_D.$$

This is, the linear combination of the columns of  $[T]_{DB}$  with coefficients  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . That is exactly

$$[T]_{DB} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = [T]_{DB}[\mathbf{v}]_B.$$



**MAP MATRIX Theorem 2:** Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  and  $S : W \rightarrow U$  be linear maps with  $B, D, E$  bases for  $V, W$  and  $U$ .

$$[S \circ T]_{EB} = [S]_{ED}[T]_{DB}. \quad (6.8)$$

That is, the matrix of the composed linear map  $S \circ T$  is the product of the matrices of  $S$  and  $T$  provided that the same basis  $D$  is used for  $W$  as the range of  $T$  and as the domain of  $S$ .

Proof: Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be an arbitrary vector in  $V$ . By MAP MATRIX Theorem 1 applied first to  $S \circ T$ , then to  $S$  and then to  $T$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [S \circ T]_{EB}[\mathbf{v}]_B &= [(S \circ T)(\mathbf{v})]_E = \\ [(S(T(\mathbf{v})))_E &= [S]_{ED}[T(\mathbf{v})]_D = [S]_{ED}[T]_{DB}[\mathbf{v}]_B. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

The result follows because if  $A$  and  $B$  are  $m \times n$  matrices such that  $AX = BX$  for all  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $A = B$ . (Hint: let  $X$  vary over the columns of  $I_n$ , which list is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

An important special case lets us change the coordinates from one basis to another. We use the identity map  $I$  on the vector space  $V$ , so that  $I(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}$  for all  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $V$ , but we use different bases on the domain and range.

Let  $B = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ ,  $D = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$  be two different bases on a vector space  $V$ . They have the same number  $n$  of elements when  $\dim V = n$ . The transition matrix from  $B$  to  $D$  is given by:

$$[I]_{DB} = [ [\mathbf{v}_1]_D \dots [\mathbf{v}_n]_D ]. \quad (6.10)$$

That is, the columns are the  $D$  coordinates of the  $B$  vectors listed in order.

**MAP MATRIX Theorem 3:** Let  $B$  and  $D$  be bases for a vector space  $V$  of dimension  $n$ .

- (a)  $[I]_{BB} = I_n$ . That is, the transition matrix from a basis to itself is the identity matrix.
- (b)  $[I]_{BD} = ([I]_{DB})^{-1}$ . That is, the transition matrix from  $D$  to  $B$  is the inverse matrix of the transition matrix from  $B$  to  $D$ .
- (c) For any vector  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ ,

$$[\mathbf{v}]_D = [I]_{DB}[\mathbf{v}]_B. \quad (6.11)$$

Proof: (a) is easy to check, e.g.  $\mathbf{v}_1 = 1\mathbf{v}_1 + 0\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + 0\mathbf{v}_n$ . Then (b) follows from MAP MATRIX Theorem 2.

Finally, (c) is a special case of MAP MATRIX Theorem 1.

□

As we have seen, many of the spaces we look at have a standard basis  $S$  whose coordinate vectors are easy to read off. If  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear map with  $B$  is a basis for  $V$  and  $S$  is a standard basis for  $W$ , then it is easy to compute  $[T]_{SB}$ .

For example, if  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix and  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then with respect to the standard bases  $S_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $S_m$  on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , just as the coordinate vector  $[X]_{S_n}$  is  $X$  itself, so too  $[T_A]_{S_m S_n} = A$ .

If  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear map with  $B = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ ,  $D = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m\}$  bases for  $V$  and  $W$  and  $S$  is a standard basis for  $W$ , then usually  $[T]_{SB}$  and  $[I]_{SD}$  are easy to read directly. It is then sometimes easiest to use the following application of MAP MATRIX Theorems 2 and 3:

$$[T]_{DB} = [I]_{DS}[T]_{SB} = ([I]_{SD})^{-1}[T]_{SB}. \quad (6.12)$$

Let us look at Exercises 9.1/1ad, page 501.

## Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors

Suppose  $T : V \rightarrow V$  is a linear map on an  $n$  dimensional vector space  $V$ . Since the domain and range are the same space, we usually choose the same basis for the domain and range. If  $B$  and  $D$  are bases for  $V$  then from MAP MATRIX Theorems 2 and 3 we have

$$[T]_{DD} = [I]_{DB}[T]_{BB}[I]_{BD} = ([I]_{BD})^{-1}[T]_{BB}[I]_{BD}. \quad (7.1)$$

All of these matrices are square  $n \times n$  matrices.

Again if  $B$  is a standard basis, then  $[T]_{BB}$  and  $[I]_{BD}$  are usually easy to compute.

The question arises, if there is a standard basis, why use any other? The answer is that for a particular problem or particular matrix an alternative basis may be more useful.

If you have taken elementary Physics, then one of the first class of problems you saw concerned motion on an inclined plane. To solve these problems you resolved the vectors associated with the weight and the friction force into component parallel and perpendicular (or normal) to the plane. In effect, you replaced the standard basis  $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}\}$  by  $\{\mathbf{e}_P, \mathbf{e}_N\}$  unit vectors parallel to and normal to the inclined plane.

Given a linear map  $T : V \rightarrow V$  or associated matrix  $A$  we will look for a basis of eigenvectors.

A nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v}$  is an *eigenvector* for  $L$  with *eigenvalue*  $\lambda$  when  $L(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ . That is,  $L(\mathbf{v})$  is just a multiple of  $\mathbf{v}$ . Of course, if  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $L(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda\mathbf{v}$  for any  $\lambda$ , but if  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then the eigenvalue is uniquely determined by the eigenvector.

Proof: If  $L(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda_1\mathbf{v} = \lambda_2\mathbf{v}$ , then  $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  and since  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  this means  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$  and so  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .  $\square$

A nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\lambda = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{v}$  is in the kernel of  $L$ .

For an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  an eigenvector is a nonzero  $n \times 1$  column vector  $X$  such that  $AX = \lambda X$  or, equivalently,  $(\lambda I - A)X = \mathbf{0}$ . Thus, an eigenvector for the matrix  $A$  is exactly an eigenvector for the linear map  $T_A$ .

For a linear map  $L$  on  $V$  or an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ , the *eigenspace*  $E_\lambda(L)$  or  $E_\lambda(A)$  is the subspace defined by

$$E_\lambda(L) = \{\mathbf{v} \in V : L(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda\mathbf{v}\}.$$

$$E_\lambda(A) = E_\lambda(T_A) = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^n : AX = \lambda X\} = \text{Null}(\lambda I - A). \quad (7.2)$$

So  $E_\lambda(A)$  consists of the eigenvectors of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  (if any) together with the zero vector.

In particular,  $E_0(A) = \text{Null}(A)$  and  $E_0(L)$  is the kernel of  $L$ .

INDEPENDENT EIGENVECTOR Theorem- If  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  is a list of eigenvectors for a linear map  $L : V \rightarrow V$  with distinct eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ , that is, if  $i \neq j$  then  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ , then the list  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  is li.

Proof: Notice that if  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector for  $L$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and  $a$  is another scalar, then with  $I$  the identity map,  $(L - aI)(\mathbf{v}) = (\lambda - a)\mathbf{v}$  and  $\lambda - a$  is not 0 if  $\lambda \neq a$ .

We will illustrate the general proof by using the case with  $k = 3$ .

To show that  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  is li, we begin with the equation.  
 $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{0}$ .

We have to show that  $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$ .

Begin with

$$EQ1 : \mathbf{0} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + c_3 \mathbf{v}_3$$

Apply  $L - \lambda_1 I$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} EQ2 : \mathbf{0} &= c_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_3 \\ &= c_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Apply  $L - \lambda_2 I$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} EQ3 : \mathbf{0} &= c_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_2)\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)\mathbf{v}_3 \\ &= c_3(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)\mathbf{v}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\mathbf{v}_3$  is not the zero vector and  $(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2) \neq 0$ ,  
 $EQ3 : \mathbf{0} = c_3(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)\mathbf{v}_3$  implies  $c_3 = 0$ .

So EQ 2 becomes  $EQ2 : \mathbf{0} = c_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_2$ . So as before  
 $c_2 = 0$ .

Now EQ 1 becomes  $EQ1 : \mathbf{0} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1$ . So, finally,  $c_1 = 0$ .

For each of these we needed that the eigenvectors were not themselves  $\mathbf{0}$ .

You might think that we find the eigenvectors of the matrix  $A$  and then for each one multiply by  $A$  to get the associated eigenvalue. In fact, we do the reverse finding the eigenvalues first.

For most values of  $\lambda$  the nullspace  $Null(\lambda I - A)$  equals  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$  and so there are no eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

We know exactly when the nullspace is nontrivial. It is when the system  $(\lambda I - A)X = \mathbf{0}$  has nontrivial solutions and so when the rank of  $\lambda I - A$  is less than  $n$ . This occurs exactly when  $\lambda I - A$  is singular, i.e. noninvertible, and so when  $\det(\lambda I - A) = 0$ . So  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for  $A$  when  $x = \lambda$  is a root of the *characteristic equation*  $c_A(x) = 0$  where  $c_A(x)$  is the *characteristic polynomial* given by

$$c_A(x) = \det(xI - A). \quad (7.3)$$

**CHARACTERISTIC EQUATION:** For an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,  $c_A(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  with

$$c_A(x) = x^n - \operatorname{tr}(A)x^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n \det(A). \quad (7.4)$$

where the trace of  $A$ ,  $\operatorname{tr}(A) = a_{11} + a_{22} + \cdots + a_{nn}$ .

We will omit the proof.

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra says that a polynomial of degree  $n$  has  $n$  roots (counting multiplicity, so that  $x^2 - 2x + 1 = (x - 1)^2$  has the root 1 repeated twice because there are two factors of  $(x - 1)$ ). However, we are only interested in real roots and there may be none of these.

Let  $R_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$ , rotation in the plane through the angle  $\theta$ .  $\det(xI - R_\theta) = x^2 - (2 \cos \theta)x + 1$  with roots the complex conjugate pair  $\cos \theta \pm i \sin \theta$ . It is clear that for  $\theta$  not an integer multiple of  $\pi$ , the rotated vector  $R_\theta(x, y)$  is not a real multiple of  $(x, y)$  when  $(x, y) \neq \mathbf{0}$ .

# Diagonalization

What we look for is a basis of eigenvectors. When there is a basis of eigenvectors of  $T$  then we call  $T$  *diagonalizable*.

If  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  is a list of numbers in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  denotes the diagonal matrix  $\Delta$  with  $\Delta_{ii} = \lambda_i$  and  $\Delta_{ij} = 0$  when  $i \neq j$ . That is, the numbers  $\lambda_i$  occur on the diagonal of  $\Delta$  and the off-diagonal entries are all zero.

**DIAGONALIZATION Theorem 1:** Let  $D = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be a basis for  $V$  and  $T : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear map. The list  $D$  consists of eigenvectors with  $\lambda_i$  the eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{v}_i$  for all  $i$  if and only if

$$[L]_{DD} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Proof: The  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of  $[L]_{DD}$  is the  $D$  coordinate vector for  $L(\mathbf{v}_i)$ . This coordinate vector  $[L(\mathbf{v}_i)]_D$  has a  $\lambda_i$  in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  place and 0's elsewhere if and only if  $L(\mathbf{v}_i) = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i$ . As they are elements of a basis, no  $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}$ .

Therefore,  $[L]_{DD} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  if and only if for each  $i$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ .

□

We call the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  *diagonalizable* when the linear map  $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is diagonalizable.

Recall that with  $S$  the standard basis on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $[T_A]_{SS} = A$ . If  $D$  is a basis of eigenvectors, then the equation  $I_{DS}[T_A]_{SS}I_{SD} = [T_A]_{DD}$  says, with  $P = [I]_{SD}$ ,

$$P^{-1}AP = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \quad (7.5)$$

Now we describe how to get the basis of eigenvectors when it exists and so how to compute the diagonalizing matrix  $P$ .

**DIAGONALIZATION Theorem 2:** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ . If  $D_i$  is a basis for the eigenspace  $E_{\lambda_i}(A)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , then the combined list  $D = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$  is an li list in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable if and only if  $D$  is a list consisting of  $n$  vectors in total.

Proof: This is an extension of the INDEPENDENT EIGENVECTORS Theorem. While you are not responsible for it, I illustrate the proof here.

We will illustrate the proof by looking at a special case.

Suppose that  $k = 3$ ,  $D_1 = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$ ,  
 $D_2 = \{\mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{w}_5\}$ ,  $D_3 = \{\mathbf{v}_6, \mathbf{v}_7\}$ .

Given

$$(1) \quad c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + c_3 \mathbf{v}_3 + c_4 \mathbf{v}_4 + c_5 \mathbf{v}_5 + c_6 \mathbf{v}_6 + c_7 \mathbf{v}_7 = \mathbf{0}.$$

We must show all the  $c_i$  equal 0.

Multiply by the matrix  $\lambda_3 I - A$ . Because  $A\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $A\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_2 \mathbf{v}_i$  for  $i = 4, 5$  and  $A\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_3 \mathbf{v}_i$  for  $i = 6, 7$ , we get

$$(2) \quad c_1(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_3 \\ + c_4(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)\mathbf{v}_4 + c_5(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)\mathbf{v}_5 = \mathbf{0}.$$

Multiply by  $\lambda_2 I - A$  to get

$$(3) \quad c_1(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_2 \\ + c_3(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_3 = 0.$$

Because  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$  is an li list and  $(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \neq 0$ , we have  $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$ .

Because  $\{\mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_5\}$  is li, and  $(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2) \neq 0$ , equation (2) implies  $c_4 = c_5 = 0$ .

Finally, equation (1) implies  $c_6 = c_7 = 0$  because  $\{\mathbf{v}_6, \mathbf{v}_7\}$  is li.

Generalizing this argument we get that the list  $D$  is li. Furthermore every eigenvector is a linear combination of one of the  $D_i$ 's since  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$  lists all the eigenvalues. In particular, the span of  $D$  contains all of the eigenvectors.

If  $D$  contains fewer than  $n$  vectors then its span has dimension less than  $n$  and so is a proper subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This means there is no basis of eigenvectors.

On the other hand, if the li list  $D$  contains  $n = \dim \mathbb{R}^n$  vectors, then it is a basis by the TWO OUT OF THREE Theorem.

□

**DIAGONALIZATION Theorem 3:** If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix with  $n$  distinct eigenvalues, then  $A$  is diagonalizable.

Proof: If  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is an eigenvector for  $\lambda_i$ , then  $D = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a list of eigenvectors which is li by the DIAGONALIZATION Theorem 2.

Since it consists of  $n$  vectors,  $D$  is a basis.



Our procedure to diagonalize  $A$  is as follows

- ▶ Compute the real roots of the characteristic polynomial  $c_A(x) = \det(xI - A)$ . These are the eigenvalues of  $A$ .
- ▶ For each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  compute a basis of the solution space for the homogeneous system  $(\lambda I - A)X = \mathbf{0}$ .
- ▶ Put these bases together. If we have a list  $D$  of  $n$  vectors then it is the required basis of eigenvectors, and the transition matrix  $P = [I]_{SD}$ , with columns the coordinates of the vectors of  $D$ , is the transition matrix so that  $P^{-1}AP$  is diagonal. If  $D$  has fewer than  $n$  vectors, then  $A$  is not diagonalizable.

Example: Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 8 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$  so that the determinant of  $xI - A$  is

$$(x+1)\det\begin{pmatrix} x-1 & -2 \\ -8 & x-7 \end{pmatrix} = (x+1)(x^2-8x-9) = (x+1)^2(x-9).$$

So the eigenvalues are  $-1$  and  $9$ .

For  $\lambda = -1$ ,  $-I - A$  is row equivalent to  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  with

solution  $x_3 = r, x_2 = -r, x_1 = s$ . So

$$D_{-1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

For  $\lambda = 9$ ,  $9I - A$  is row equivalent to  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/4 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  with solution  $x_3 = r, x_2 = x_1 = r/4$ . Using  $r = 4$  we get

$$D_9 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

This is the transition matrix such that  $P^{-1}AP = \text{diag}(-1, -1, 9)$ .

Let us consider what happens when we use  $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 8 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$

which has the same characteristic polynomial.

# Projections

An important example is the following:

**PROJECTION Theorem 1:** For a linear map  $P$  on the  $n$  dimensional vector space  $V$ , the following are equivalent. When they hold, we call  $P$  a *projection*.

- (i)  $P \circ P = P$ .
- (ii) For all  $\mathbf{v}$  in the image of  $P$ ,  $P(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}$ .
- (iii)  $E_1(P) = \text{Im}(P)$ .
- (iv)  $\dim E_0(P) + \dim E_1(P) = n$ .
- (v)  $P$  is diagonalizable with each eigenvalue either 0 or 1.

Proof: (i), (ii) and (iii) are all saying the same thing.

For any linear map  $P$  on  $V$ , LINEAR MAP Theorem 3 says  $\dim E_0(P) + \dim \text{Im}(P) = n$ . Clearly,  $E_1(P) \subset \text{Im}(P)$ . So  $\dim E_0(P) + \dim E_1(P) = n$  if and only if  $\dim E_1(P) = \dim \text{Im}(P)$  and so if and only if  $E_1(P) = \text{Im}(P)$ . Thus, (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv).

By the DIAGONALIZATION Theorem 2 (iv) is equivalent to (v).  
 $\square$

Notice that for a projection  $P$

$$(I - P) \circ (I - P) = I - 2P + P \circ P = I - P.$$

Thus,  $I - P$  is a projection which we call the *projection complementary to  $P$* .

## Systems of Differential Equations

Just as we can represent a system of linear equations using a single matrix equation, we can do the same for a system of linear differential equations:

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = AX. \quad (7.6)$$

Suppose that the coefficient matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable, so that  $P^{-1}AP = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  with  $P$  the invertible matrix whose columns form a basis of eigenvectors for  $A$ .

We change variables, defining  $Y = P^{-1}X$  and so  $X = PY$ . Because  $P^{-1}$  is a constant matrix,

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = P^{-1} \frac{dX}{dt} = P^{-1}AX = P^{-1}APY = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)Y. \quad (7.7)$$

That is, we have the system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy_1}{dt} &= \lambda_1 y_1 \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} &= \lambda_2 y_2 \\ &\cdot \\ \frac{dy_n}{dt} &= \lambda_n y_n\end{aligned}\tag{7.8}$$

The solution of  $\frac{dy_i}{dt} = \lambda_i y_i$  is  $y_i(0)e^{\lambda_i t}$ . So the solution of the system can be written in matrix form as

$$\begin{aligned}Y &= \text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n t})Y(0), \\ X &= PY = P\text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n t})P^{-1}X(0).\end{aligned}\tag{7.9}$$

If  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is the basis of eigenvectors for  $A$  with eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ , then the columns of  $P$  are the vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ . That is,

$$P = [v_1 \dots v_n] \quad \text{and so} \quad (7.10)$$
$$P \text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n t}) = [e^{\lambda_1 t} v_1 \dots e^{\lambda_n t} v_n].$$

The general solution is  $X = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} v_1 + \dots + c_n e^{\lambda_n t} v_n$  with

$$Y(0) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we are given initial conditions  $X(0)$  then we solve for the constants  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  using  $Y(0) = P^{-1}X(0)$ . So we solve:

$$P \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(0) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n(0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.11)$$

and write  $X = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} v_1 + \dots + c_n e^{\lambda_n t} v_n$ .

Let us look at Exercise 3.5/ 1b, page 201.

# Euclidean Spaces and Orthogonality

A Euclidean Space is a vector space  $V$  equipped with an inner product.

A function associating a real number  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$  to every pair of vectors  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$  is called an *inner product* when it satisfies the following properties

- ▶ Symmetry:  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ .
- ▶ Bilinearity:  $\mathbf{v} \cdot (c\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2) = c(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_1) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_2$ .
- ▶ Positivity: If  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} > 0$ .

From Bilinearity, we have  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{0} = 0$  for any vector  $\mathbf{v}$  and so, in particular,  $\mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{0} = 0$ .

For  $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$X \cdot Y = X^T Y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \quad (8.1)$$

is the usual dot product which motivates our definition.

For  $A, B \in M_{mn}$  we define

$$A \cdot B = \text{trace}(A^T B) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} b_{ij} \quad (8.2)$$

is an inner product.

For continuous functions  $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we can define the inner product

$$f \cdot g = \int_0^1 f(t)g(t) dt. \quad (8.3)$$

In a Euclidean space  $V$  we define the length of the vector  $\mathbf{v}$  by

$$\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}}. \quad (8.4)$$

Thus, any nonzero vector has a positive length.

We call  $\mathbf{v}$  a unit vector when it has length 1. If  $\mathbf{v}$  is any nonzero vector, then  $(1/\|\mathbf{v}\|)\mathbf{v}$  is a unit vector.

We call two vectors  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  *perpendicular* or *orthogonal* when

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0, \quad (8.5)$$

in which case we write  $\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{w}$ .

There is some geometry which is useful in a Euclidean space.

**PYTHAGOREAN Theorem:** Let  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$  be vectors in a Euclidean space.

$$\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{w} \implies \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}\|^2. \quad (8.6)$$

Proof:  $\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|^2 = (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w})$ . Expanding out, this equals

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} - 2\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + 0 + \|\mathbf{w}\|^2.$$

A list  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  of nonzero vectors is an *orthogonal list*, when  $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  from 1 to  $k$ . It is an *orthonormal list*, when, in addition,  $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = 1$  for all  $i$ . Thus, an orthogonal list consists of mutually perpendicular nonzero vectors and it is orthonormal when all of the vectors are unit vectors.

**ORTHOGONALITY Theorem 1:** An orthogonal list  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  of nonzero vectors is linearly independent.

Proof: Assume  $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$ . Take the dot product with  $\mathbf{v}_i$ .

From bilinearity and orthogonality we get

$c_i(\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i) = \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{0} = 0$ . Because  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is nonzero,  $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i > 0$  and so  $c_i = 0$ .

□

Recall that a projection  $P$  is a linear map on  $V$  such that  $P \circ P = P$  with  $I - P$  the complementary projection map onto  $\text{Ker}(P)$ .

For  $S = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\}$  an orthogonal list, define  $P_S$  by

$$P_S(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i)}{\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{w}_i} \mathbf{w}_i. \quad (8.7)$$

**PROJECTION Theorem 2:**  $P_S$  is a projection with image  $\text{span}(S)$  and the complementary projection  $I - P_S$  onto  $\text{Ker}(P_S)$  is given by

$$(I - P_S)(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i)}{\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{w}_i} \mathbf{w}_i. \quad (8.8)$$

Any  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Ker}(P_S)$  and any  $\mathbf{w} \in \text{span}(S)$  are perpendicular.  
That is,  $\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{w}$ .

Proof: It is clear from (8.7) that every  $P_S(\mathbf{v})$  is in  $\text{span}(S)$ . So  $\text{Im}(P_S) \subset \text{span}(S)$ .

On the other hand, for any  $\mathbf{w}_j \in S$ ,  $(\mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{w}_i) = 0$  unless  $j = i$  because the list is orthogonal. It follows that  $P_S(\mathbf{w}_j) = \mathbf{w}_j$  for all  $\mathbf{w}_j \in S$  and so  $P_S(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}$  for all  $\mathbf{w} \in \text{span}(S)$ . That is,  $\text{Im}(P_S) = \text{span}(S)$  and by PROJECTION Theorem 1,  $P_S$  is a projection onto  $\text{span}(S)$ .

It is also clear from (8.7) that if  $\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{w}_i$  for all  $i$ , then  $P_S(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$ . So every vector perpendicular to  $\text{span}(S)$  is contained in  $\text{Ker}(P_S)$ .

On the other hand, if  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Ker}(P_S)$ , then since  $P_S(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$  and the list  $S$  is li by ORTHOGONALITY Theorem 1, all of coefficients of the  $\mathbf{w}_i$ 's equal 0. That is,  $(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i) = 0$  for all  $i$  and so every vector in  $\text{Ker}(P_S)$  is perpendicular to the vectors in  $\text{span}(S)$ .

□

**PROJECTION Theorem 2a:** The dimension of  $\text{Ker}(P_S)$  equals  $\dim V - k = \dim V - \dim \text{span}(S)$ .

Proof: If  $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_p\}$  is a basis for  $\text{Ker}(P_S)$ , then

$B = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_p, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  is a basis for  $V$ .

Observe that if  $v$  is any vector in  $V$ , then

$v = (I - P_S)(v) + P_S(v)$ . Since  $(I - P_S)(v)$  is in the kernel of  $P_S$  it is a linear combination of the  $\mathbf{w}_i$ 's. Also,  $P_S(v)$  is a linear combination of the  $\mathbf{v}_j$ 's.

**PROJECTION Theorem 2a:** The dimension of  $\text{Ker}(P_S)$  equals  $\dim V - k = \dim V - \dim \text{span}(S)$ .

Proof: If  $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_p\}$  is a basis for  $\text{Ker}(P_S)$ , then

$B = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_p, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  is a basis for  $V$ .

Observe that if  $v$  is any vector in  $V$ , then

$v = (I - P_S)(v) + P_S(v)$ . Since  $(I - P_S)(v)$  is in the kernel of  $P_S$  it is a linear combination of the  $\mathbf{w}_i$ 's. Also,  $P_S(v)$  is a linear combination of the  $\mathbf{v}_j$ 's. So  $B$  certainly spans  $V$ .

If  $c_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + c_p\mathbf{w}_p + d_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + d_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$ , then

$$c_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + c_p\mathbf{w}_p = -(d_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + d_k\mathbf{v}_k).$$

But the only vector in both  $\text{Ker}(P_S)$  and  $\text{Span}(S)$  is  $\mathbf{0}$ . So

$$c_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + c_p\mathbf{w}_p = \mathbf{0} = -(d_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + d_k\mathbf{v}_k).$$

Because the  $\mathbf{w}_i$ 's are li, the  $c_i$ 's equal 0. Because the  $\mathbf{v}_j$ 's are li, the  $d_j$ 's equal 0. So  $B$  is li and thus is a basis for  $V$ .

□

For any vector  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , the projection  $P_S(\mathbf{v})$  is best approximation of  $\mathbf{v}$  by a vector in  $\text{span}(S)$ . That is,

$$\mathbf{w} \in \text{span}(S), \text{ and } \mathbf{w} \neq P_S(\mathbf{v}) \implies \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\| > \|\mathbf{v} - P_S(\mathbf{v})\|. \quad (8.9)$$

Proof:  $\mathbf{w} - P_S(\mathbf{v}) \in \text{span}(S)$  and so is perpendicular to  $\mathbf{v} - P_S(\mathbf{v})$ .

Since  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{v} - P_S(\mathbf{v})) - (\mathbf{w} - P_S(\mathbf{v}))$ , the Pythagorean Theorem implies

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{v} - P_S(\mathbf{v})\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w} - P_S(\mathbf{v})\|^2.$$

ORTHOGONALITY Theorem 2: For an  $n$  dimensional Euclidean space, there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ .

Proof: Begin with an arbitrary basis  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ . The *Gram-Schmidt procedure* constructs an orthogonal list  $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$  such that for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\text{span}(\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\}) = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}). \quad (8.10)$$

To begin with, let  $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$ .

Now assume that for some  $k < n$  the orthogonal list  $S_k = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\}$  which satisfies (8.10) has been constructed.

Define

$$\mathbf{w}_{k+1} = \mathbf{v}_{k+1} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\mathbf{v}_{k+1} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i)}{\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{w}_i} \mathbf{w}_i = (I - P_{S_k})(\mathbf{v}_{k+1}). \quad (8.11)$$

(To get rid of fractions, you can multiply  $\mathbf{w}_{k+1}$  by any nonzero constant.) By PROJECTION Theorem 2,  $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \perp \mathbf{w}_i$  for

$i = 1, \dots, k$ . Because  $\mathbf{v}_{k+1}$  is not in  $\text{span}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}) = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\})$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \neq \mathbf{0}$ .

Thus  $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k, \mathbf{w}_{k+1}\}$  is an orthogonal list.

Since each of the elements of the list is in  $\text{span}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}\})$  it follows that

$$\text{span}(\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k, \mathbf{w}_{k+1}\}) \subset \text{span}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}\}).$$

Each of the subspaces has dimension  $k + 1$  and so they are equal.

Continue the process to reach  $k = n$ .

We can then convert each  $\mathbf{w}_i$  to the unit vector

$$\mathbf{u}_i = (1/\|\mathbf{w}_i\|)\mathbf{w}_i.$$

Clearly, for  $k = 1, \dots, n$

$$\text{span}(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}) = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\}) = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}).$$

Thus,  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis.



Let us look at Exercise 8.1/ 1c, page 416.

## Best Approximation of a Solution

Now consider the system  $AX = B$  with  $A$  an  $n \times m$  matrix. The system is inconsistent when it has no solution which means that  $B$  is not a linear combination of the columns of  $A$ , that is,  $B$  is not in the column space  $Col(A)$ .

We can ask what element of the column space is the best approximation of  $B$ . We know the answer already from (8.9) which implies that  $B_1 = P_{Col(A)}(B)$  is the unique element of  $Col(A)$  which is closest to  $B$ .

$B_1 = P_{\text{Col}(A)}(B)$  is characterized by the conditions  $B_1 \in \text{Col}(A)$  and  $B - B_1$  is perpendicular to every column of  $A$ .

The first condition says that there exists a solution  $Z$  of  $AZ = B_1$ . To say that  $B - B_1 = B - AZ$  is perpendicular to every column of  $A$  is equivalent to  $A^T(B - AZ) = \mathbf{0}$ . Therefore to obtain the best approximation  $B_1 = AZ$  we solve the *normal equation*

$$(A^T A)Z = A^T B. \quad (8.12)$$

The solution  $Z$  of (8.12) always exists but is not unique when the columns are not li. However  $B_1 = AZ$  is unique.

To see this directly, suppose that  $(A^T A)Z_1 = (A^T A)Z$  and so  $(A^T A)(Z - Z_1) = \mathbf{0}$ . Our next result shows that this means that  $A(Z - Z_1) = \mathbf{0}$  and so  $AZ = AZ_1$ .

**SYMMETRY Theorem 1:** The  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  and the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A^T A$  have the same null space and the same rank.

Proof: If  $AX = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $A^T AX = \mathbf{0}$ . If  $A^T AX = \mathbf{0}$ , then

$$0 = X^T A^T AX = (AX) \cdot (AX) = \|AX\|^2.$$

Therefore,  $AX = \mathbf{0}$ .

For both  $A$  and  $A^T A$  the rank  $r$  equals  $n$  minus the dimension of the null space.

□

To see why the normal equation always has a solution, notice that if  $X$  is in the null space of  $A$ , then for any  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$X^T A^T B = (AX)^T B = \mathbf{0}.$$

So every vector in the null space of  $A$  is perpendicular to any vector of the form  $A^T B$ .

In particular, the vectors  $X$  of the null space of  $A^T A$ , which is the same as the null space of  $A$ , are perpendicular to every vector  $A^T A Z$  and these are the vectors of the column space of  $A^T A$ .

The matrix  $A^T A$  is symmetric and we will see later that for a symmetric matrix  $S$ , a vector is in the column space of  $S$  if and only if it is perpendicular to every vector in the null space of  $S$ .

So  $A^T B$  is in the column space of  $A^T A$ , which means that  $A^T A Z = A^T B$  has a solution.



## Least Squares Approximation

For an  $n \times 1$  column vector  $X$  and a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we let  $f(X)$  be the  $n \times 1$  column vector  $\begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) \\ \cdot \\ f(x_n) \end{pmatrix}$ .

Now suppose we are given  $n$  data pairs  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  which we can regard as a pair  $X, Y$  of  $n \times 1$  column vectors. We want to choose coefficients  $z_1, \dots, z_k$  so that with  $f(x) = z_1 + z_2x + \dots + z_kx^{k-1}$ ,  $f(X)$  is the best approximation to  $Y$ . That is, we want to choose the coefficients so that  $\|Y - f(X)\|^2$  is as small as possible.

We use the  $n \times k$  matrix

$$A = [\mathbf{1} \ X \ X^2 \ \dots \ X^{k-1}] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdot & \cdot & x_1^{k-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdot & \cdot & x_2^{k-1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdot & \cdot & x_n^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and we solve the normal equation  $A^T A Z = A^T Y$ .

Let us look at Exercise 5.6/ 1a page 318.

# Orthogonal Matrices

*Theorem 8.06:* For an  $n \times n$  matrix  $U$  the following conditions are equivalent. When they hold we call  $U$  an *orthogonal matrix*.

- (i)  $U$  is invertible and  $U^{-1} = U^T$ .
- (ii)  $U^T U = I_n$ .
- (iii) The columns of  $U$  form an orthonormal list and so provide an orthonormal basis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (iv) The rows of  $U$  form an orthonormal list and so provide an orthonormal basis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

If  $U$  is orthogonal, then  $U^T$  is orthogonal.

Proof: It suffices to check cancellation on one side and so (i) is equivalent to (ii). Multiplying out we see that (ii) is equivalent to (iii).

If  $U^{-1} = U^T$ , then  $(U^T)^{-1} = (U^{-1})^T = (U^T)^T$  and so  $U^T$  is orthogonal. Condition (iii) for  $U^T$  is the same as condition (iv) for  $U$ .

□

If  $V$  is a Euclidean vector space, then a linear map  $T : V \rightarrow V$  preserves the inner product when

$$T(\mathbf{v}) \cdot T(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$$

for all vectors  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$  in  $V$ . With  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}$  we see that  $\|T(\mathbf{v})\| = \|\mathbf{v}\|$ .

If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then the map  $T_A$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  preserves the inner product exactly when  $A$  is an orthogonal matrix.

Proof:  $T_A(X) \cdot T_A(Y) = (AX)^T AY = X^T A^T AY$ . So  $T_A$  preserves inner products when  $X^T A^T AY = X^T Y = X^T I_n Y$ .

Two  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are equal when  $X^T AY = X^T BY$  for all  $X, Y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Notice that  $\mathbf{e}_i^T A \mathbf{e}_j = a_{ij}$ . So  $T_A$  preserves inner products when  $A^T A = I_n$ .

□

# Symmetric Matrices

An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is called a *symmetric matrix* when  $A^T = A$ .

Remember that we saw that if  $S$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of dimension  $k$ , and  $P_S$  is the perpendicular projection onto  $S$ , then the kernel of  $P_S$  is the set of all vectors perpendicular to  $S$  and it has dimension  $n - k$ . We call it the perpendicular complement of  $S$ .

**SYMMETRY Theorem 2:** If  $A$  is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix, then the perpendicular complement of the null space of  $A$  is the column space  $Col(A)$ .

Proof: If  $Z$  is in the null space, then  $AZ = \mathbf{0}$ . Since  $A = A^T$ ,

$$Z^T AX = Z^T A^T X = (AZ)^T X = 0.$$

So  $Z$  is perpendicular to all the  $AX$ 's, but this is the column space. Thus, the column space is a subspace of the perpendicular complement of the null space.

If  $A$  has rank  $r$  then the dimension of the null space is  $n - r$  and the dimension of the column space is  $r$ .

The perpendicular complement of the null space has dimension  $n - (n - r) = r$ . So the perpendicular complement and the column space contained in it have the same dimension and so they are equal.



If  $V$  is a Euclidean vector space, then a linear map  $T : V \rightarrow V$  is called symmetric when

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot T(\mathbf{w}) = T(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w}$$

for all vectors  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$  in  $V$ .

If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then the map  $T_A$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is symmetric exactly when  $A$  is a symmetric matrix.

Proof:  $Y \cdot T_A(X) = Y^T A X$  and  
 $T_A(Y) \cdot X = (A Y)^T X = Y^T A^T X$ .

So  $Y \cdot T_A(X) = T_A(Y) \cdot X$  for all  $X$  and  $Y$  when  
 $Y^T A X = Y^T A^T X$ ,

If  $V$  is a Euclidean vector space, then a linear map  $T : V \rightarrow V$  is called symmetric when

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot T(\mathbf{w}) = T(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w}$$

for all vectors  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$  in  $V$ .

If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then the map  $T_A$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is symmetric exactly when  $A$  is a symmetric matrix.

Proof:  $Y \cdot T_A(X) = Y^T A X$  and  
 $T_A(Y) \cdot X = (A Y)^T X = Y^T A^T X$ .

So  $Y \cdot T_A(X) = T_A(Y) \cdot X$  for all  $X$  and  $Y$  when  
 $Y^T A X = Y^T A^T X$ , and so when  $A = A^T$ .

□

It will be our final task to show that any symmetric map has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors and to apply this result. From the DIAGONALIZATION Theorems 2 and 3 we saw that a list of eigenvectors associated with distinct eigenvalues is necessarily li. For a symmetric matrix we have a stronger result.

**SYMMETRY Theorem 3:** If  $A$  is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix with  $AX_1 = \lambda_1 X_1$ ,  $AX_2 = \lambda_2 X_2$  and  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , then the dot product  $X_1^T X_2$  equals zero.

Proof: From symmetry we have

$$\lambda_1 X_1^T X_2 = (AX_1)^T X_2 = X_1^T A^T X_2 = X_1^T A X_2 = \lambda_2 X_1^T X_2.$$

So  $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)X_1^T X_2 = 0$ . Since  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , it follows that  $X_1^T X_2 = 0$ .

□

When we look at rotations in the plane we saw that it is possible to have a linear map with no eigenvectors at all. This occurs when the characteristic polynomial  $c_A(x) = \det(xI - A)$  of the associated matrix has no real roots.

However, for a symmetric matrix we have

**SYMMETRY Theorem 4:** If  $A$  is a symmetric matrix, then the roots of the characteristic polynomial  $c_A(x)$  are all real numbers. In particular, any complex eigenvalue is in fact real.

We will omit the proof of this. It is given on page 305 of the book and requires a digression using matrices with complex entries.

**PRINCIPAL AXIS Theorem:** If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $A$  has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.
- (ii)  $A$  is orthogonally diagonalizable. That is, there exists an orthogonal matrix  $P$  and a diagonal matrix  $D$  such that  $A = P^{-1}DP = P^TDP$ .
- (iii)  $A$  is symmetric.

Proof: (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) and (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) are clear.

For (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) we will skip the proof which is on page 420 of the book, but we will sketch the proof that (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

From the SYMMETRY THEOREM 4, we see that the symmetric matrix  $A$  has a real eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ .

We can choose an orthonormal basis for the null space of  $A - \lambda_1 I$ . These are all eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ .

From SYMMETRY THEOREM 2, the perpendicular complement of this null space is the column space of  $A - \lambda_1 I$  and on it the linear mapping  $T_A$  is still symmetric and so there is an eigenvalue  $\lambda_2$  for  $T_A$  on the subspace. Also  $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_1$  because all the  $\lambda_1$  eigenvectors are in the null space of  $A - \lambda_1 I$ . In the column space of  $A - \lambda_1 I$  we can choose an orthonormal basis for the null space of  $A - \lambda_2 I$ . Then restrict to the next perpendicular complement and continue in this manner.

□

Let us look at Exercises 8.2/ 5be, page 425.

# Singular Values Decomposition

Throughout we fix an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ . We will study it by looking at the  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix  $A^T A$ . Recall SYMMETRY Theorem 1 which says:

The null spaces of  $A$  and  $A^T A$  are the same. That is,  $AX = \mathbf{0}$  if and only if  $A^T AX = \mathbf{0}$ .

The rank of  $A$  equals the rank of  $A^T A$ .

Because the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A^T A$  is symmetric, it has an orthonormal basis  $B_n$  of eigenvectors  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  with eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ . For  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$

$$(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_i) \cdot (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_j) = \mathbf{u}_i^T A^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_j = \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{u}_j = \lambda_j (\mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_j). \quad (8.13)$$

Because  $B_n = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is orthonormal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_i) \cdot (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_j) &= 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq j, \\ \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 &= \lambda_i \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 = \lambda_i. \end{aligned} \quad (8.14)$$

Therefore all the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  are non-negative. We define the *singular values*

$$\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i} = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_i\|. \quad (8.15)$$

We can choose the order of  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  so that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_r > 0, \lambda_{r+1} = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$ .

Because  $A^T A$  is similar to the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  (and so has the same rank), it follows that the rank of  $A$  equals the rank of  $A^T A$  equals the number  $r$  of positive eigenvalues. For  $i = 1, \dots, r$  define

$$\mathbf{v}_i = (1/\sigma_i)A\mathbf{u}_i. \quad (8.16)$$

From (8.14) we see that  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r\}$  is an orthonormal list of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . pause Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} A\mathbf{u}_i &= \sigma_i \mathbf{v}_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r, \\ A\mathbf{u}_i &= \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for } i = r + 1, \dots, n \end{aligned} \quad (8.17)$$

because  $\sigma_i = 0$  for  $i > r$ .

Extend the list  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r\}$  to obtain

$D_m = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r, \mathbf{v}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$  an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

For the linear map  $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , the matrix  $[T_A]_{D_m B_n}$  is obtained by applying  $T_A$  to the vectors of the basis  $B_n$  and then computing the  $D_m$  coordinates to obtain the columns.

$$[A\mathbf{u}_1 \dots A\mathbf{u}_r \ A\mathbf{u}_{r+1} \dots A\mathbf{u}_n] = [\sigma_1 \mathbf{v}_1 \dots \sigma_r \mathbf{v}_r \ \mathbf{0} \dots \mathbf{0}]. \quad (8.18)$$

So in Block form

$$[T_A]_{D_m B_n} = \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag} & \mathbf{0}_{r \times (n-r)} \\ \mathbf{0}_{(m-r) \times r} & \mathbf{0}_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (8.19)$$

with *diag* equal to the  $r \times r$  diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$ .

With respect to the standard bases  $S_n$  and  $S_m$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , the matrix  $[T_A]_{S_m S_n} = A$ .

Let  $Q = [I]_{S_n B_n}$  whose columns are the standard coordinates of the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ .

Let  $P = [I]_{S_m D_m}$  whose columns are the standard coordinates of the vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ .

These are orthogonal matrices and

$$A = [T_A]_{S_m S_n} = [I]_{S_m D_m} [T_A]_{D_m B_n} [I]_{B_n S_n} = P \Sigma Q^{-1} = P \Sigma Q^T. \quad (8.20)$$

This is called the *Singular Values Decomposition* (the SVD) of  $A$ .

Let us find the SVD of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$A^T A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The basis  $B_2 = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 4, \lambda_2 = 0$  has

$$Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\sigma_1 = 2 \text{ and } \mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Extend to the basis  $D_3 = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$  with

$$P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

with

$$\Sigma = [A]_{D_3 B_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$