

Evaluate an AI evidence claim

Write unknown when evidence is missing. Use public or deidentified information only. This worksheet does not validate a clinical tool or replace local review.

1. Decision and tool version

What exact task, product version, care setting and population are you evaluating? Who acts on the output?

2. Study and comparison

Citation / DOI, study date, design, sample size, exclusions, follow-up, comparator and funding or conflicts.

3. Measured benefit

Record absolute results in both groups, denominators and confidence intervals. Separate model accuracy, workflow change and patient health outcomes.

4. Harms and missing outcomes

False positives, missed cases, unnecessary interventions, burden and adverse events. What was not measured?

Evaluate an AI evidence claim

Write unknown when evidence is missing. Use public or deidentified information only. This worksheet does not validate a clinical tool or replace local review.

5. Applicability to your setting

Compare ages, language, disability, equipment, prevalence, care pathway and staffing. Which groups are missing or underrepresented?

6. Strength of the conclusion

What does the design support? What would be an overclaim? Record uncertainty, missing data, subgroup limits and independent replication.

7. Evidence needed before a pilot

Name the unanswered question, proposed comparator, outcome, minimum acceptable result and responsible evaluator.

8. Decision and reassessment

Explore / request evidence / limited pilot / decline. Decision owner, rationale, conditions and next review date. This is not a purchase recommendation.
