

Statement on principal adverse impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors
art. 4 SFDR

Financial market participant Green Arrow Capital AIFM SARL codice LEI: 636700S8DFQA41ASEZ75

Green Arrow Infrastructure of the Future Fund S.C.A. SICAV-RAIF:

Classe A ISIN LU2183129183

Classe B ISIN LU2183129340

Classe C ISIN LU2183129779

Summary

Green Arrow Infrastructure of the Future Fund S.C.A. SICAV-RAIF ("**Fund**"), considers principal adverse impacts of its investment decisions on sustainability factors. The present statement is the consolidated statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors of the Fund.

This statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors covers the reference period from 1 January to 31 December 2025.

The Fund's investment objective is to develop renewable energy production and distribution projects as well as telecommunication infrastructures, including the launch in 2025 of a data center project based on a pipeline of eight assets in Italy. As expected, the Fund's investment universe concerning sustainability factors showed non-negative impacts. Following the assessment results to EU Taxonomy criteria, the Fund verified that no asset negatively affects biodiversity (PAI 7), however, given that the Fund is still in its investment period, there are potential risks that may arise in the future. Data and information included in this statement refer to fully or partially operational assets, listed as follows:

- Project Radiant
- Project Green Turbine 55
- Project 5G Towers
- Project Roma TPL
- Project Pharetra
- Project Garda Hydro

For EU Taxonomy criteria: 96.4% of the investments are eligible, 99.1% Turnover, 99.7% CapEx, and 99.2% OpEx from environmentally sustainable investments are aligned. 5G

infrastructure is not eligible for the EU Taxonomy, but it generates a positive impact in terms of GHG emissions avoided in comparison with outdated (e.g., 4G) telecommunication technologies and the acceleration of digital transition. Furthermore, the Fund does not detect a negative impact on biodiversity and the GHG emissions are negligible and mostly under Scope 3 since the energy consumption is limited and mainly from renewable sources..

The portfolio of “in-scope investments” – i.e., those considered in the calculation of PAIs – represented 50% of the Company’s Net Asset Value (excluding cash, cash equivalents, derivatives, and non-operational assets under development within GAIF), down from 54% in 2024.

Description of the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

In 2025, the Fund pursued its sustainable investment objective mainly by advancing renewable energy, sustainable mobility and digital infrastructure projects. Several photovoltaic, wind and biomethane initiatives progressed through permitting, engineering and construction phases, laying the groundwork for future increases in installed capacity and avoided GHG emissions. As part of active portfolio management, the Fund completed the disposal of the BIO CH₄ (biogas) project, reallocating capital toward other renewable initiatives. The Next Charge project was repositioned toward local public transport, focusing on electrifying depots for the Roma TPL electric bus fleet, though it delivered no energy through the storage service during the year. Roma TPL itself entered its first operational phase, with electric buses and charging infrastructure delivered and commissioned. The Fund also launched a data center platform, securing exclusive rights to a pipeline of eight Italian assets upon reaching Ready-to-Build status, designed to run on renewable energy. Finally, development of the 5G tower portfolio continued, with no new assets becoming operational in the year but ongoing work supporting the broader digital transition. The Fund is committed to improving the quality of its reporting by taking into account the main negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability; therefore, as soon as new investment projects become partially or fully operational, the related data referring to adverse sustainability indicators will be included in this statement:

Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies						
Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact 2025	Impact 2024	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
CLIMATE AND OTHER ENVIRONMENT-RELATED INDICATORS						
Greenhouse gas emissions	1. GHG emissions	Scope 1 GHG emissions	0 tCO ₂	0 tCO ₂	Scope 2 GHG emissions decreased by 56% between 2024 and 2025, primarily driven by the disposal of Project BIO CH ₄ in 2025,	No specific actions have been implemented during the reference period. GHG emissions are limited to suppliers' operations and thus unavoidable; in any case, GAIF monitors its suppliers to make them adopt responsible
		Scope 2 GHG emissions	157.9 tCO ₂	358.4 tCO ₂		
		Scope 3 GHG emissions	4,591.9 tCO ₂	2,748.5 tCO ₂		
		Total GHG emissions	4,748.9 tCO ₂	3,106.9 tCO ₂		

					which accounted for approximately 57% of Scope 2 emissions reported in the prior year. Scope 3 emissions rose by 67%, mainly driven by Project Roma TPL and Project 5G Towers (approximately 91% of total Scope 3 GHG emissions).	measures to mitigate their environmental impact. The Fund is in its investment period; thus, total assets will increase and the balance between “in development” and “operational” projects will also change.
	2. Carbon footprint	Carbon footprint	11.5 tCO ₂ per million EUR invested	8.5 tCO ₂ per million EUR invested	The carbon footprint increased by 36% from 2024 to 2025, primarily due to Project 5G Towers and the inclusion of the Roma TPL project within the reporting perimeter.	
	3. GHG intensity of investee companies	GHG intensity of investee companies	187.4 tCO ₂ per million EUR of revenue	1,175.1 tCO ₂ per million EUR of revenue	The 84% decrease in GHG intensity is attributable to the exclusion of NextCharge from	

					the calculation, which in 2024 accounted for nearly the entirety of the fund's GHG intensity, following a targeted repositioning aimed at building operational and strategic synergies with the Project Roma TPL.	
	4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector	0%	0%	As per the investment strategy, the Fund will not invest in the fossil fuel sector in any case.	
	5. Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy sources	0%	0%	All the electricity consumed is sourced from renewable sources or is produced on-site. Since all the energy produced is renewable, the ratio of non-renewable to renewable energy (both incoming	All energy consumption derives from autoproduction or certified renewable sources. The goal of the Fund is to maintain this trend for upcoming expansions and new assets.

					and outgoing) is zero.	
	6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	Energy consumption in GWh per million EUR of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector	0.09 GWh per million EUR of revenue	1.92 GWh per million EUR of revenue	The significant decrease in energy intensity is primarily attributable to the exclusion of the NextCharge project from the reporting perimeter, following a strategic refocus in April 2025 towards local public transport infrastructure, aligned with Project Roma TPL. Unlike the other operational assets, NextCharge does not focus on renewable energy production and is comparatively more energy-intensive	All activities in high-impact climate sectors relate to renewable energy production and distribution, and biofuels. As regards datacenter investments, these are not yet operational and additional information will be provided in the coming reporting periods as operational data becomes available.
Biodiversity	7. Activities negatively affecting	Share of investments in investee companies with	0%	0%		As per the EU Taxonomy assessment results,

	biodiversity-sensitive areas	sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas				investments located in or near biodiversity-sensitive areas do not negatively affect those areas. GAIF screens potential investments, evaluating, among several factors, the possible negative effects on biodiversity; EU Taxonomy assessment is also conducted for all assets periodically.
Water	8. Emissions to water	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	0	0	The investment activities of GAIF do not lead to emissions into water.	
Waste	9. Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	0	0	The investment activities of GAIF do not generate any hazardous or radioactive waste.	
INDICATORS FOR SOCIAL AND EMPLOYEE, RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, ANTI-CORRUPTION AND ANTI-BRIBERY MATTERS						
Social and employee matters	10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD	0%	0%	GAIF and its SPVs have not been involved in any violations of such principles.	The responsible investment policy of the managing entity (Green Arrow Capital SGR or "GAC") and the Fund documentation set out proper

	and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises				measures to identify applicability and observations of such principles. In addition, the managing entity participates in the UN Global Compact.
	11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance /complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	N/A	N/A	Since each investment is managed by SPVs established by GAIF itself, these indicators would be misleading or meaningless.	
	12. Unadjusted gender pay gap	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	N/A	N/A		
	13. Board gender diversity	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members	N/A	N/A		
	14. Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines,	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the	0%	0%	As per GAC ESG Responsible Investment Policy	

	cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons)	manufacture or selling of controversial weapons			and GAIF investment policy and strategy, such activities are excluded ex-ante in the investment universe definition.	
Indicators applicable to investments in sovereigns and supranationals						
Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact 2025	Impact 2024	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Environmental	15. GHG intensity	GHG intensity of investee countries	N/A	N/A	The investment strategy of the Company does not encompass investments in sovereign and supranational securities.	
Social	16. Investee countries subject to social violations	Number of investee countries subject to social violations (absolute number and relative number divided by all investee countries), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law	N/A	N/A		
Indicators applicable to investments in real estate assets						
Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact 2025	Impact 2024	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period

Fossil fuel emissions	17. Exposure to fossil fuels through real estate assets	Share of investments in real estate assets involved in the extraction, storage, transport or manufacture of fossil fuels	N/A	N/A	The investment strategy of the Company does not encompass investments in real estate.	
Energy efficiency	18. Exposure to energy-inefficient real estate assets	Share of investments in energy-inefficient real estate assets	N/A	N/A		
Other indicators for principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors						
Water, waste and material emissions	19. Exposure to areas of high water stress	Share of investments in investee companies with sites located in areas of high water stress without a water management policy	69%	56%	This indicator also includes assets under development. While these assets do not yet generate emissions that affect mandatory PAIs, their defined geographical location contributes to the overall calculation of water risk exposure.	Italy and Spain (geographical focus of the Fund) are among European locations with the highest water stress, however water usage is limited (e.g., for solar and biomethane) or zero (5G towers) and hydroelectric plants follow all applicable standards and regulations.
Human Rights	20. Number of identified cases of severe human	Number of cases of severe human rights	0	0	No cases of severe human	The Fund is committed to minimizing such risk by

	rights issues and incidents	issues and incidents connected to investee companies on a weighted average basis			rights issues and incidents have been detected.	supervising suppliers' business conduct, health & safety measures and standards.
--	-----------------------------	--	--	--	---	--

Description of policies to identify and prioritise principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

The identification and prioritisation of principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors of GAIF investments follows three main drivers:

- The Principal Adverse Sustainability Impact Statement of the managing entity (approved by the Board of Managers of the General Partner on July 14, 2023) and its ESG Responsible Investment Policy (last update by GAC Board of Directors in December 2023);
- The ESG Pre-screening tool, which allows the Fund Manager to consider potential risks and opportunities of target investments. This tool differentiates materiality and scope accordingly to the nature of the asset/sector (e.g., wind, biomethane, etc.);
- Expertise from the engineering team that supports GAIF investment team activities.

This approach allows GAIF to tailor the monitoring of ESG data and the development roadmaps based on asset-specific factors. Furthermore, each asset is evaluated against EU Taxonomy criteria and the results of such assessments integrate the Fund's decision-making process for future operations and valuation of principal adverse impacts. The investment universe and management approach lead to limited or no negative impacts, however, the pre-screening tool is aimed at identifying any potential risk of negative impact. During the ownership and development phase of projects, ESG data is collected directly at the SPV level and centralized at the Fund level to ensure effective and appropriate data management. For the EU Taxonomy assessment, the Fund relies on an independent third-party expert to evaluate eligibility and alignment metrics together with potential room for improvements in the context of DNSH, minimum safeguards and PAI. The effectiveness of such an approach is further underlined by the high level of asset eligibility (96.4%) and alignment (99.1% Turnover, 99.7% CapEx, 99.2% OpEx) to the EU Taxonomy.

Engagement policies

Engagement activities/programs are not applicable in GAIF context as the Fund operates through SPVs that manage specific infrastructure projects/investments.

The managing entity is a signatory of UN Principles for Responsible Investment since 2020 and a participant to the UN Global Compact since 2021, thus the Fund is managed and operates according to those principles.

As per the GAC Principal Adverse Sustainability Impact Statement, the following principles are taken into account where meaningful and applicable:

- OECD Principles of Corporate Governance and Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises;
- UN Convention on Corruption;
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights;
- ILO conventions on labour standards;

- Universal Declaration of Human Rights;
- Children's Rights and Business Principles.

Due to Fund investment strategy and assets nature, these principles and guidelines are often not applicable except for suppliers to a lesser extent. Anti-corruption measures, labour standards and human rights protection are safeguarded through the due diligence process for the selection and the development of projects, as well as by the ESG Responsible Investment Policy of GAC.

Historical comparison

During the reference period, changes in principal adverse impact indicators were predominantly influenced by modifications to the reporting perimeter, portfolio composition, and the operational status of underlying assets.

Total GHG emissions increased from 3,106.9 tCO₂ in the prior year to 4,748.9 tCO₂. This variation is primarily attributable to both Project 5G Towers and the inclusion of Project Roma TPL, which contribute predominantly to Scope 3 emissions and represent approximately 91% of total emissions for the period. The increase was partially offset by the disposal of Project BIO CH4, resulting in a reduction of Scope 2 emissions from 358.4 tCO₂ to 157.9 tCO₂ (-56%). Scope 1 emissions remained equal to zero.

Scope 3 emissions increased from 2,748.5 tCO₂ to 4,591.1 tCO₂ (+67%). Such variation reflects portfolio adjustments rather than changes in underlying operational emission profiles. Scope 3 emissions continue to represent the substantial majority of total reported emissions.

GHG intensity decreased materially, from 1,175.1 tCO₂ per €M revenue to 187.4 tCO₂ per €M revenue. This change is largely explained by the exclusion of NextCharge from the reporting perimeter, which had significantly influenced prior-year intensity metrics. Similarly, energy consumption intensity decreased from 1.92 GWh per €M revenue to 0.09 GWh per €M revenue, primarily reflecting perimeter effects.

The carbon footprint increased from 8.5 to 11.5 tCO₂ per €M invested. This variation is mainly attributable to the inclusion and partial operational phase of Project Roma TPL, whose revenue contribution during the reporting period did not yet fully offset the associated emissions within the calculation framework and to Project 5G Towers.

First published date: 30th June 2023

Date of annual update as required by regulation: 30th June 2024

Date of annual update as required by regulation: 30th June 2025

Date of annual update as required by regulation: 30th June 2026

Date of update: 27th July 2026