Cube Capital
FOUNDER THESIS
ISSUE 05
THE PREPARED FOUNDER PREMIUM · POST 5 OF 5

The Prepared Room

What the founders who achieve the best outcomes did in the twenty-four months nobody saw

> **Thesis:** The Prepared Founder Premium is not a negotiation outcome. It is a preparation outcome, built slowly, over time, before a buyer ever arrives. The founders who achieve it do not walk into rooms and perform. They walk in and confirm.

She is presenting slide fourteen.

The same customer retention chart. The same product roadmap. The same row of logos. She founded this business eleven years ago and she is, today, selling it. The management presentation started forty minutes ago. The two members of the buyer's deal team have been attentive and professional throughout.

They have asked three questions.

The first was about her head of implementation. Not in the way that question appeared in Issue 04, as a probe for a gap the buyer had already found. This head of implementation has been in her role for four years. She was promoted from within. She ran a full implementation cycle independently last quarter while the founder was in Singapore. The buyer's question was a confirmation request, because the teaser had described strong second-tier depth and the deal team wanted to verify it.

The second question was about a customer whose contract was due for renewal the following quarter. The founder answered it with precision, because she knew the answer. She knew it because eighteen months earlier she had transferred that relationship from herself to her head of customer success, deliberately and with documentation, and she had watched it hold through one difficult service period and one pricing negotiation the customer had tried to reopen. The renewal was not a risk. It was a reference.

The third question was about the CFO. Specifically, whether he had produced the financial model in the data room independently or whether the founder had been involved. The answer was that the CFO had built it, presented a prior version to the board in February, and fielded questions at that session without escalation. The buyer made a note.

By the time the meeting ended, the deal team had found nothing they were not shown. The founder had read the buyer's certainty model and answered it before she arrived. In the car afterwards, her co-founder asked how it went.

She said: exactly as planned.

01 WHAT THE SERIES WAS ALWAYS ABOUT

Issue 01 of this series made a single claim: at twenty-four months, you can still change the multiple. At six months, you can only negotiate it. The four issues that followed showed what that gap is made of — the levers buyers actually underwrite, the shadow discounts they apply before any offer is made, the certainty model they complete before the founder enters the room. Every issue was, in different language, an argument for the same thing: that the outcome of a sale is shaped more by the twenty-four months before the process than by anything that happens inside it.

This issue shows what a founder does with that time.

The answer is not what most founders expect. It is not a project. It is not a set of documents. It is a change in how the business operates — one that is only visible to a buyer because it has been running long enough to leave a record.

02 WHAT CHANGES

The first thing a buyer reads in a management presentation is not a slide. It is the room. Specifically, it is the distribution of attention in the room: who speaks when, who defers to whom, whether the founder fills the silences or allows her team to hold them.

In a business that has not prepared, the founder fills almost all of them. She answers the question addressed to the CFO. She adds context to the head of product's answer. She is the most animated person in the room, which is both understandable and expensive, because a trained buyer watching that pattern is not seeing a passionate founder. She is mapping the distance between the business and the person who built it, and pricing it.

In a prepared business, that room looks different. The CFO presents the financial model and fields questions without a glance across the table. The head of sales describes the pipeline with the specificity that comes from genuinely owning it. When the buyer addresses a question to the head of customer success, the head of customer success answers it. The founder is present. She is not the answer.

Most founders believe they have already made this transition. The test is not whether the team can run the business while the founder is in the room. It is whether they can run it while she is not. The founder who has never been absent long enough to find out is carrying a risk she has not yet named.

This shift does not happen in the weeks before a process. It happens over two years of deliberate and sometimes uncomfortable change: relationships transferred and held at arm's length even when it would have been faster to step back in; decisions delegated and allowed to stand even when the founder would have made them differently; a management layer built below the senior team that holds institutional knowledge in documented systems rather than in one person's memory. The org chart that describes this business is not aspirational. It reflects something a buyer can verify, because it has been operating long enough to produce observable patterns.

The revenue has changed too. In the prepared business, the cohort data does not tell a story of a recent improvement. It tells a story of a sustained one. The retention rate from three years ago and the retention rate from last quarter are close, which tells a buyer something specific: that the conditions producing historical performance are not weakening. That is a different asset from a business presenting the same headline number with a recent-vintage cohort tracking below the blended rate, which is what Issue 03 described as one of the most consistently expensive silent discounts in the shadow pricing model.

Customer concentration has been addressed the same way: not by landing one large logo in the weeks before the process, but by a sales strategy executed over years that has redistributed revenue across a broader base. The contract book has been standardised through systematic renewals. The IP register is clean.

None of this is visible in a financial model. All of it is visible to a trained buyer in a ninety-minute management presentation.

> ***The business the buyer is examining has not suddenly become different. It has become legible.***

There is one more change that is harder to describe, because it is not a process or a document or a decision right. It is what has happened to the founder herself.

She entered the twenty-four months as the person the business ran through. She exits it as the person the business runs without. That transition is not a loss. It is the most commercially significant thing she will do in her company's life. The founder who has genuinely made it is not anxious in the management presentation. She is not monitoring the room to see which questions to intercept. She has already answered them. She knows what the business produces without her, because she has watched it do exactly that, and the evidence of it is two years old.

03 THE PREPARED ROOM

In acquisition processes I have advised on and observed, a distinction gets made after management presentations that is almost never communicated to the founder. The room was prepared, or it was not.

A prepared room is not a room where everything is perfect. Prepared businesses are not perfect businesses. They are businesses where the evidence is complete, where the founder has already surfaced the issues a buyer was trained to find, where the management team operates with genuine independence, and where the gap between what a buyer can verify and what they must accept on trust has been reduced to a level the certainty model can close.

The buyer who walks into a prepared room experiences something that changes the psychology of the offer. The acceleration is absent. The founder does not rush past her weak areas, because she has addressed them, or has disclosed them proactively in a way that converts a discovered risk into a confirmed and priced one. The CFO answers the financial questions. When a customer reference call is requested, the name given is not the founder's closest relationship. It is the customer managed most consistently by the team.

The questions the deal team built from their preliminary certainty model arrive and find their answers already in place. Not prepared as responses to scrutiny, but assembled before scrutiny began.

This is the shift Issue 04 described: when a buyer stops pricing around uncertainty and starts competing around conviction. The discovery penalty does not find purchase. The earnout provision does not arrive. The retrade in the final week does not occur. The offer that follows is anchored by the buyer's genuine ceiling, not a defensive floor shaped by residual risk.

The prepared founder does not negotiate a better outcome. She builds one.

That is what the twenty-four-month window was always for. At twenty-four months, you can change the multiple. At six months, you can only negotiate it. The founders who achieve the Prepared Founder Premium are the ones who understood that distinction early enough to act on it.

04 NEXXIT

The work described across five issues of this series has a name: Nexxit.

Nexxit is a structured twenty-four month programme for Australian software and technology founders who are preparing for acquisition and want an independent view of where they stand against buyer criteria before a process begins. It is built for founders who are twelve to thirty-six months from a transaction and have decided that understanding what they are building toward is worth more than discovering it during diligence.

It is not a mandate. It does not commit a founder to a sale, or to Cube Capital as a sell-side adviser, or to any particular timeline. What it produces is the thing that changes the room: a business that is legible, at the multiple the evidence supports, before a process begins.

Some founders leave Nexxit with a clear path to a transaction. Others leave with two years of work and a significantly better understanding of what they are building toward. Both are the right outcome.

> If you are considering a transaction in the next two to four years and want to understand what preparation would mean for your outcome, I am happy to have a confidential conversation.

Cube Capital advises founders and boards of Australian software and technology companies on exit preparation and cross-border M&A transactions. The firm works exclusively on the sell side, retained by founders who want an independent view of what their business is worth and what it would take to make it worth more.

Hani Iskander is Founding Partner. He has founded technology companies in Australia and the United States, led the Asia Pacific region for a NASDAQ-listed software company, and spent four decades at the intersection of technology and capital markets.

web: cube.capital email: hello@cube.capital