

5 Essential Elements of a High-Performing Affiliate Program

What separates programs that grow from programs that stall, and what it takes to build one.

Complex Sales Cycles

Recurring Revenue

High-LTV Products

B2B & SaaS

Growth-Stage Brands

3x

Revenue growth for a FinTech client in year four. Same client cut CAC 80% versus their in-house team.

\$40M

Subscription revenue added for a cybersecurity software leader in year 12 of its affiliate program.

75%

AI mention rate across LLM responses for a consumer e-commerce brand, with #1 share of voice in ChatGPT and 142% growth in AI referral traffic.

Affiliate marketing is no longer the scrappy, coupon-code-driven channel it once was.

The brands generating real growth from affiliate in 2026 are not running it as a side channel. They are building it with the same discipline they apply to paid search and content.

This paper is for marketing leaders who either don't have an affiliate program yet, who have one that isn't working as hard as it should, or who want to use affiliate as a strategic growth lever, not just a channel for capturing conversions that were already going to happen.

The results are real. A FinTech client grew 3x in their fourth year and cut customer acquisition costs 80% versus their in-house team. A cybersecurity leader added \$40M in subscription revenue. A consumer e-commerce brand secured a 75% mention rate in AI-generated responses, #1 share of voice in ChatGPT for its category, and 142% growth in AI referral traffic.

The five elements below are what separate programs that grow from programs that plateau. None of them happen by accident. They are decisions that get made, or not made, in the first year of building a program.

01 ELEMENT 01

An Investment Mindset

Performance channels still require upfront investment to perform.

For years, affiliate was treated as a pure performance channel. You pay when someone converts. No conversion, no cost. On paper, it looks risk-free. In practice, it produces programs that only capture demand that already existed, at the bottom of the funnel, from partners motivated by easy commissions.

If you want an affiliate channel that drives true incrementality, one that brings conversions but also cost-effective education and awareness, you need to think beyond the last-click CPA. You need to understand the true value of each affiliate.

Affiliate mirrors the marketing funnel. You can find partners doing every type of marketing initiative: content creators building awareness, comparison sites capturing research-phase intent, loyalty programs closing hand-raisers at the bottom. Each partner type serves a different goal, which means each deserves a different measurement framework and commission structure. That full breakdown is in the next section.

The measurement problem makes this harder than it sounds. Last-click attribution actively punishes the partners that create demand. A content affiliate that introduces a buyer to your product in month one gets no credit when they convert in month three after clicking a coupon link. So the program optimizes toward coupon and intent partners: the ones who capture demand, not the ones who created it. The brands breaking this cycle measure affiliate differently, using holdout tests, incrementality lift studies, and attribution models that surface the full customer journey. When you run those, content and awareness partners look significantly better than the last-click view suggests. And unlike paid search, the traffic stops the moment you stop paying. A content placement keeps working. An article in a top-tier publication continues to rank, earn AI citations, and drive traffic for years. You are not buying a click. You are building an asset.

The AI shift has made this measurement gap wider. When a buyer asks ChatGPT which mortgage lender to choose and gets a direct answer, no one clicks. No affiliate link fires. Nothing shows up in a performance dashboard. From a reporting standpoint, it looks like nothing happened. But a brand consideration decision was just made. The content affiliate that shaped that answer did its job. The measurement system just can't see it. That's not a channel problem. It's a tracking infrastructure problem masquerading as one.

Programs that only ever optimize for short-term CPA hit a ceiling. They run out of existing demand to capture. Intent and coupon partners are efficient, but finite. They only work on buyers who were already going to convert. Without ongoing investment in creating new demand, volume plateaus. The economics of lifetime value make this even clearer: customers acquired through content affiliates typically retain longer, buy more, and generate higher lifetime value than customers acquired through coupon or intent partners. The first-transaction ROAS tells one story. The 12-month numbers tell a different one.

Flat fees often get pushback from teams that think only in CPA terms. But paying a flat fee for a brand placement in a top-tier publication is economically identical to what you would spend on sponsored content, native advertising, or social promotion, with one meaningful difference: affiliate infrastructure attaches attribution, conversion tracking, and performance accountability to that placement. The economics look expensive line by line. Across the program, they change the picture. An awareness partner with modest direct ROAS may be quietly improving the conversion rate of every other channel. That halo effect is real. It is almost never counted.

The brands generating real affiliate growth give the channel a blended ROAS target that reflects what they ask of the rest of their portfolio, the same standard applied to paid search and paid social. That opens up the full partner mix. The program gets more nuanced. It also gets significantly more valuable.

THE ROAS DOUBLE STANDARD

Affiliate marketing averages a 12:1 return on ad spend. Google Ads averages about 3:1. That gap isn't proof of efficiency. It's proof of constraint. To consistently hit 12:1, you can only fund one kind of partner: the kind that shows up at the bottom of the funnel. Coupon sites. Loyalty programs. CPA networks. Partners good at one thing: capturing a conversion that was already going to happen.

If your Google Ads account delivers 3:1 ROAS, that's a win. If your affiliate program comes in at 3:1, the CPAs don't get approved and someone gets told to tighten the model. That pressure doesn't make the program more efficient. It makes it smaller, and it eliminates the partners with the highest incremental value first.

WHAT TO MEASURE INSTEAD

In some verticals, more than 40% of AI citations come from third-party publisher content, not brand-owned websites. That means 40% of the upstream influence on buying decisions isn't showing up in traditional performance reports at all.

The brands building durable programs track more than CPA. They watch branded search growth (are more buyers looking for you by name?), publisher share of voice in the category, AI citation coverage by query type, and content affiliate contribution across multi-touch journeys.

Agencies optimize whatever you measure. Make sure you're measuring for the business you want to build.

Most programs take 6 to 12 months to reach meaningful scale. The investment is in recruitment, onboarding, creative assets, and the management hours required to activate the right partners. That investment compounds. The brands generating the results at year four started making these decisions in year one.

02

ELEMENT 02

The Right Partner Mix

Affiliate reach is only as good as your partner strategy.

Most programs get the mix wrong, not because they don't know better, but because the default path of least resistance is volume. Approve applications, pay on performance, watch the numbers. What accumulates is a program that looks productive but isn't diversified.

The affiliate ecosystem covers every stage of the marketing funnel. Content producers and influencers drive awareness. Comparison sites and review publishers drive consideration. Loyalty and coupon partners close the bottom. Networks and CPA aggregators run email, display, native, and push at scale. SEM affiliates occupy the same non-brand searches as your paid program, but as a third-party source, users trust them more than a brand bidding on its own keyword. That often makes them more effective than non-brand bidding directly, not just a supplement to it. Creators build trust signals that now reach into AI-generated answers.

A well-structured program uses all of them, in the right proportions for your business goals.

Awareness Partners

Highest Incrementality

Partners: Organic SME content, sponsored editorial, influencers, content creators | **Payment model:** Flat fee or hybrid

EXPECTED INCREMENTALITY: HIGHEST

Builds genuine new demand. These partners reach audiences who weren't already looking for you, creating a customer base, not just capturing one that already existed.

WHEN TO USE

- + Your primary goal is incremental sales, reaching customers no other channel is touching
- + You're entering a new market or building a category
- + Competitors dominate SERPs and AI citations while your brand is absent from the conversation
- + You want long-term AI citation visibility; content affiliates are the publishers LLMs learn from

WHEN NOT TO

- Pure volume efficiency is the only goal right now
- Hard short-term CPA target with no flat fee budget

PROS

- + Highest incrementality and LTV of any partner type
- + Halo effect lifts performance across paid search, social, and organic
- + Creates AI-cited content earning ongoing visibility in ChatGPT, Perplexity, and AI Overviews

CONS

- Will not work on pure CPA; requires flat fee or hybrid investment
- Longer time to measurable ROI; 6 to 12 months to fully compound

Research Partners

High Trust, Moderate Incrementality

Partners: Comparison sites, review aggregators, non-brand SEM affiliates | **Payment model:** CPC, CPL, or hybrid

EXPECTED INCREMENTALITY: MODERATE

Captures buyers in active comparison mode. Non-brand SEM affiliates hold shelf space in the same searches as your paid program, but users trust a third-party source more than a brand bidding on its own keyword, often making these partners more effective than direct non-brand bidding.

WHEN TO USE

- + Your goal is to own the consideration phase, being present when buyers compare you against alternatives
- + You want non-brand shelf space held by a source buyers trust more than an ad

WHEN NOT TO

- Your product lacks clear differentiation; research partners amplify comparison, which requires a compelling answer

PROS

- + Non-brand affiliates are treated as non-biased sources, with higher trust and often stronger conversion than direct ads
- + Holds shelf space in non-brand searches and AI citations

CONS

- Lower incremental reach than awareness partners; these buyers were already looking
- Quality varies widely; active curation required

Interest Partners

High Volume, Variable Incrementality

Partners: CPA networks, email affiliates, display, native, push | **Payment model:** CPA, CPL, or CPM hybrid

EXPECTED INCREMENTALITY: LOW TO MODERATE

Volume is the strength here. These partners activate at scale across email, display, native, and push. Incrementality varies significantly depending on audience quality, placement context, and how tightly the program is managed.

WHEN TO USE

- + Your goal is scale, with broad reach across multiple digital touchpoints simultaneously
- + Portfolio CAC optimization is the goal and you need volume

WHEN NOT TO

- Your product has low brand recognition or limited mass-market appeal; CPA networks and email affiliates won't prioritize programs they don't believe can generate high conversion volume
- Brand safety is critical and your team can't run active compliance monitoring at network scale
- Incrementality is the primary metric; network traffic frequently overlaps with existing demand

PROS

- + Highest volume potential of any partner tier
- + Effective for portfolio CAC balancing across a diversified program

CONS

- Requires the most rigorous compliance infrastructure; sub-partner tracking and fraud monitoring are non-negotiable
- Low incrementality if not carefully managed

Intent Partners

Lowest Incrementality

Partners: Coupon sites, cashback, loyalty programs | Payment model: CPA

EXPECTED INCREMENTALITY: LOWEST

Primarily captures customers who were already going to convert. High ROAS looks good on paper, but most of that revenue would have happened without the commission.

WHEN TO USE

- + Your goal is to efficiently close demand that already exists, not to create new demand
- + Short-term volume efficiency is the need, as part of a diversified program, not as the strategy

WHEN NOT TO

- Your product has low brand recognition or niche appeal; coupon and loyalty publishers depend on existing demand and won't invest in programs without it
- They represent more than 40-50% of program traffic; at that point you're harvesting, not growing
- Coupon discounts erode margins or undermine brand positioning

PROS

- + Highest immediate ROAS of any partner type
- + Pure performance model; you only pay on conversion

CONS

- Low incrementality; primarily captures demand that already existed
- Requires the strongest compliance oversight of any partner tier

IN PRACTICE: B2B SAAS JOB MARKETPLACE

19%

CVR from click to action for content affiliates targeting employer audiences, vs. 5% for standard network partners

49%

CVR from affiliate click to paid subscription, once attribution was built to credit the full customer journey

45+

articles and videos launched across content affiliates targeting top category keywords in a single quarter

The proportions matter.

If incrementality is your priority, you cannot have 80% of your sales coming from coupon partners. Those partners are capturing customers who were already going to convert. You are paying a commission for something that would have happened anyway.

If capturing demand and taking share from competitors is more important, more bottom-funnel concentration may make sense. There is no single right answer. There is only the right answer for your business.

B2B SAAS JOB MARKETPLACE

After intentionally shifting concentration away from coupon partners toward content and non-brand SEM affiliates, this program saw a **75% increase in non-brand orders year-over-year** with only a **3% increase in non-brand CAC**. Affiliate grew from **27% to 53% of total conversion volume** in a single year. The mix changed. The results followed.

When the mix tilts too far toward bottom-funnel efficiency, the best partners leave first. Comparison publishers, review sites, "best of" editorial, the affiliates that shape purchase intent, cannot survive a flat CPA model that pays them the same rate as a coupon site, regardless of where in the customer journey they contributed. The math doesn't work for them. So they don't play. They deprioritize your program or opt out entirely. What stays in the program is high volume and low lift: partners designed to harvest demand, not grow it.

One principle applies universally: you don't want to leave any part of the funnel unaddressed. Every channel and tactic that makes sense for your company should have an affiliate equivalent. Don't lose visibility, don't lose demand capture, don't cede any portion of the funnel to a competitor.

The long tail deserves more credit than it typically gets. The top 10 to 20 partners in any program will drive 80% of the volume. That is true in nearly every vertical. But dismissing the long tail is a mistake. One order a week from 100 partners is 400 incremental orders a month. Long-tail partners often build the content that ranks in search, gets cited by AI, and reaches audiences too niche for your top partners to access.

IN PRACTICE: B2B JOB MARKETPLACE

52%

lift in total brand presence year-over-year through content affiliate placements on major editorial publications

30%

increase in captured search shelf space as content partners ranked organically on top-tier publications

9%

reduction in competitor SERP presence as the brand's content affiliate footprint expanded

Concentration risk runs in both directions. High dependence on a handful of partners makes a program fragile. One policy change, one partner departure, one algorithm shift, and the volume disappears. Diversification is not just strategic. It is protective.

What this requires in practice is an affiliate team that understands your business goals deeply enough to build a partner strategy around them. The mix is not an accident. It is a set of decisions made at the start and revisited every quarter.

03 ELEMENT 03

Compliance as a Core Capability

Compliance is not a back-office function. For many brands, it is a program-ending risk.

This is the element most programs underestimate, until something goes wrong. In regulated verticals, the stakes are higher. But compliance failure in any vertical is expensive: reversed commissions, brand damage, fraudulent traffic, and partners who should not be anywhere near your brand.

The basics include FTC disclosure requirements, which have tightened and continue to evolve. Every affiliate publishing content with affiliate links must disclose the relationship clearly, on blogs, social posts, videos, and email. GDPR, CCPA, and CASL create additional obligations depending on where your customers are. Auditing top partners monthly for disclosure compliance is not optional. It is the floor.

Brand safety goes further. Before a partner is approved, someone needs to verify the site doesn't carry misleading claims, isn't trafficking in incentivized or fraudulent installs, and represents your brand appropriately. During the relationship, quarterly audits of content placements catch drift that happens gradually. A partner that looked clean at onboarding may be creating problems six months later.

Fraud is a live risk in any performance channel. Cookie stuffing, bot traffic, ad hijacking, and unauthorized trademark-plus bidding require active monitoring. The answer is platform-level fraud detection, weekly traffic anomaly reviews, and a clear escalation process when violations surface. Monitoring needs to be systematic, not reactive.

AI has added a new layer to the compliance problem.

When affiliate content gets indexed and cited by AI systems, including ChatGPT, Perplexity, and Google's AI Overviews, inaccurate or outdated information doesn't just mislead individual users. It gets surfaced repeatedly, with authority, to high-intent buyers.

In fintech, a rate claim accurate six months ago can be cited by an LLM today as current fact. Affiliate content published at scale usually has no mechanism for staying current. LLMs have no mechanism for flagging staleness. The result is outdated financial product information circulating in AI-assisted discovery, served to high-intent buyers with the authority of a citation. And because LLMs cite their sources, that affiliate article is no longer just a compliance violation. It's a referenced document that regulators can trace back to your program. A fabricated or outdated claim doesn't just mislead a consumer. It triggers a regulator. The compliance surface area for affiliate programs now extends into every AI system indexing your publishers' content. This is not a future problem. For brands in regulated categories, it is happening now.

LQ Digital monitors what AI systems are saying about client brands as part of every affiliate engagement. LQ Vision, our proprietary LLM monitoring tool, identifies which affiliate publications are influencing AI responses and surfaces points of risk before they become incidents. Affiliate agreements need to reflect this reality: prohibit unsubstantiated or outdated claims, require content updates when product details change, and build accountability provisions tied to compliance violations.

Contracts matter. Program terms should specify disclosure obligations, traffic quality standards, and clear penalties for fraud or non-compliance. Sub-partner tracking, requiring CPA networks to pass granular sub-partner IDs, is what makes targeted optimization possible without removing entire partners for the actions of a few bad actors within a network.

LQ brings more than 50 years of combined compliance experience across its affiliate team. In regulated verticals, an established operational compliance program is not a differentiator. It is the baseline requirement for any program that scales without breaking.

04 ELEMENT 04

AI-Powered Discoverability & Influenceable Media

The affiliates you recruit today need to be found by AI tomorrow.

Five years ago, this element didn't exist. Today, it may be the most consequential one.

AI search engines, including ChatGPT, Perplexity, and Google's AI Overviews, are changing how people find information. Users increasingly get direct answers to their questions without clicking through to a website. Traffic from traditional search is declining. Publishers who built their model around SEO-driven clicks are dealing with a structural shift, not a temporary dip.

LQ DIGITAL RESEARCH: SAME QUERY, DIFFERENT WINNERS

LQ Digital's proprietary LQ Vision platform analyzed thousands of AI Overview citations run against the same organic queries. The two channels are increasingly running on different logic, and surfacing different winners:

- 4 in 10** brands that appear in organic search don't appear in AI Overviews for the same query
- 46%** of all AI Overview citations come from sources not present in that query's organic results at all
- 3 in 10** brands cited in AI Overviews have little or no organic visibility, a wide-open lane for challengers
- 4.3x** YouTube appears 4.3x more in AI citations than in organic; Reddit appears 3.9x more in organic than AI

Source: [LQ Digital: Same Query, Different Winners \(Q3 2026\)](#)

This changes what a high-value affiliate partner looks like.

Zero-click search hasn't killed content affiliate. It's changed what value looks like. Content publishers are seeing fewer direct clicks than they did three years ago, but they're being cited in AI answers at a growing rate. The LLM systems your buyers use to form shortlists pull from exactly the kind of editorial content these publishers produce. Traffic as a metric is declining. Influence as a metric is rising. Programs that still evaluate content affiliates on click-through data alone are using the wrong ruler. A brand cited in AI answers hundreds of times this month may show almost no direct click conversions from that exposure. But many of those buyers will arrive later through branded search, with the brand decision already made.

For years, publisher recruitment focused on traffic volume, domain authority, and conversion rates. Those metrics haven't disappeared, but a new one is emerging: AI citation visibility. Which publishers are being cited in AI-generated responses? Which sources does ChatGPT pull when someone asks about your category? Which review sites does Perplexity surface when a high-intent buyer is evaluating your product?

The affiliate content that AI systems cite tends to come from publishers with strong E-E-A-T signals, authentic community presence (Reddit is a significant trust signal for LLMs), video and how-to content on platforms like YouTube, and third-party editorial authority on niche topics. These are the same publishers who drive meaningful purchase influence. AI citation and affiliate value are increasingly the same thing.

CLIENT RESULT: CONSUMER E-COMMERCE

- #1** Share of voice in ChatGPT for their product category
- 75%** Mention rate across LLM-generated responses
- 142%** Growth in AI referral traffic, Q1 2026 vs. Q4 2025

A leading consumer e-commerce brand in a high-competition gifting category. None of this came from organic search improvements. It came from securing placements with the publishers AI systems already cite, identified through LQ Vision before competitors found them.

CLIENT RESULT: B2B HR SOFTWARE

- 92%** AEO mention rate across influenceable affiliate partners
- +30%** Lift in captured search shelf space
- 9%** Reduction in competitors' SERP presence

A B2B HR software leader expanding beyond paid search. LQ Vision identified 50+ high-value content partners already shaping the category conversation. Placements were built to rank organically and earn AI citations at the same time, pulling SERP presence away from direct competitors.

SIMILARWEB: THE DOWNSTREAM IMPACT OF AI VISIBILITY (2026)

Being recommended in AI makes users 2.5x more likely to visit your site, even without a direct click from the AI response.

- AI-influenced visitors view nearly twice as many pages and spend twice as long on site compared to standard visitors; they arrive with high intent and a brand already in mind
- Over 56% of AI-influenced traffic arrives via branded search, not AI referrals, meaning the conversion happens downstream and current analytics has no visibility into it
- When AI recommends a competitor instead, that 2.5x lift goes to them; being left out of the answer isn't neutral, it's actively ceding traffic
- Brands in finance, travel, and beauty all showed the same pattern: AI recommendation translates directly into measurable downstream site behavior

Source: [SimilarWeb: Being Recommended in AI Makes Users 2.5x More Likely to Visit Your Site](#)

This changes how you build a program. Recruiting publishers purely on last-click conversion data misses the affiliates who are building the content AI will cite for years. Paying all content affiliates on a pure CPA eliminates the flat-fee and hybrid arrangements that make serious editorial content partnerships possible.

LQ DIGITAL RESEARCH: BUYERS ASK AI FIRST (2026)

Not all AI citations are created equal. LQ Digital's analysis of AI Overview citation sources found that a meaningful share of what AI surfaces can actually be influenced through publisher strategy:

- 18.7%** of all AI search citations come from influenceable publishers, including affiliate, sponsored content, and social channels your team can directly shape
- 24%** of Google AI Overview citations are influenceable, the highest rate of any AI search engine
- #1** Affiliate is the largest single influenceable citation category across AI search engines, ahead of social and paid media

Source: [LQ Digital: Buyers Ask AI First \(2026\)](#)

VERTICAL SPOTLIGHT: FINANCE

Finance is one of the most research-heavy, trust-dependent buying categories. No one casually applies for a financial product without doing their homework first. That extended research journey creates multiple touchpoints where affiliate influences the outcome, which is exactly why this vertical has historically been so productive for well-run affiliate programs.

Using LQ Vision, we analyzed AI citation patterns across finance brands and found that influenceable media, the share of AI-cited content that can actually be shaped through affiliate and publisher strategy, consistently exceeds 40% in this vertical. Across most categories, that number sits between 10% and 15%.

That gap represents a structural first-mover advantage for brands willing to build it now. The affiliate publishers your buyers consult before they ever click an ad are the same publishers AI systems are citing. In finance, those are the same publishers your affiliate program should be funding.

GEO, Generative Experience Optimization, is the emerging practice of building content and publisher strategies specifically designed to influence AI-generated answers. For affiliate programs, GEO means prioritizing partnerships with publishers who rank in AI Overviews, build high-trust content ecosystems, and have established citation patterns in the LLMs your buyers are using.

Tracking where your brand appears in AI-generated responses is not a vanity exercise. It is a channel intelligence function. The brands that know their AI footprint are making better publisher recruitment decisions, identifying risk before it compounds, and building programs that will hold their value as search continues to change.

05

ELEMENT 05

The Right Platform Foundation

Once your strategy is clear, you need the infrastructure to support your goals.

The platform conversation matters, but it should come after you know what you're building. Too many programs start with "which platform should we use?" before answering "what does this program need to do?" Infrastructure decisions made in the wrong order are hard to unwind.

The major platforms have matured considerably. Impact, CJ Affiliate, Everflow, and PartnerStack each have a legitimate use case. Choosing the right one depends on your go-to-market, your partner mix, your compliance requirements, and where the program is in its lifecycle.

Impact

Best for Scale

STRENGTHS

- + 90%+ of major publishers already onboarded, fastest recruitment
- + Best-in-class fraud detection and contract management
- + Gold standard compliance infrastructure
- + Most robust payment processing and attribution reporting

CONSIDERATIONS

- Most expensive platform in the category
- Charges additional fees to add conversion events or modify tracking
- Requires committed annual run-rates; pricing scales steeply

Everflow

Best for Early Programs

STRENGTHS

- + Most cost-effective option on the market
- + Fastest deployment; go live quickly
- + 6-month contract commitment vs. annual on most platforms, with fees based on monthly commission volume rather than a pre-committed annual spend, giving new programs more flexibility
- + Add conversion events and tracking changes without extra fees
- + Strong reporting and API capabilities

CONSIDERATIONS

- Contracts not always treated as legally binding by all partners
- Payment processing and fraud tooling lighter than Impact

CJ Affiliate

Best for Publisher Breadth

STRENGTHS

- + Largest publisher marketplace and widest partner diversity
- + Strong for programs where publisher access is the primary priority

CONSIDERATIONS

- Fee structure can create surprises at scale; some fees are not transparent upfront
- Platform complexity requires experienced management to navigate well

PartnerStack

Best for B2B SaaS

STRENGTHS

- + Purpose-built for B2B SaaS and content referral programs
- + Clean partner management interface
- + Strong for editorial and referral-style acquisition

CONSIDERATIONS

- Less effective for traditional affiliate and performance models
- Smaller overall publisher pool
- Mid-tier cost without the network depth to justify it for most programs

Platform agnosticism matters. The best infrastructure choice is the one that matches your program's stage and goals, not the platform with the most compelling sales pitch.



BUILT FOR BRANDS THAT COMPETE ON PERFORMANCE

LQ Digital builds and manages affiliate programs for brands with complex sales cycles, recurring revenue models, and competitive acquisition environments.

Our team brings deep compliance expertise for regulated verticals and tracks AI citation visibility as part of every affiliate engagement through LQ Vision, our proprietary LLM monitoring tool.

[Talk to LQ Digital](#)

© 2025 LQ Digital. All rights reserved. lqdigital.com