

Headspace CO₂ Decline in Modified Atmosphere Packaging

Implications for Shelf Life and Microbial Control

In high-moisture or high-fat products, a drop in headspace CO₂ after packing is expected due to the high solubility of CO₂ in both water and fat phases. More importantly, the antimicrobial effect of modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) is largely associated with the **dissolved CO₂ in the aqueous phase of the food**, rather than the percentage remaining in the headspace.

As a result, a reduction in headspace CO₂ from 30% to 15–18% within the first few days of storage does not necessarily indicate a proportional loss of microbial protection or that the product has become unsafe.

It is important to recognise that CO₂ is not a “kill step.” Within MAP systems, CO₂ primarily acts as a **microbial growth inhibitor**, not a sterilising agent. Product safety and shelf life are therefore determined by the overall system, including initial microbial load, hygiene practices, temperature control, pH, water activity (aw), salt content, packaging barrier properties, gas-to-product ratio, residual oxygen levels, and the target spoilage or pathogenic microorganisms. Temperature plays a particularly critical role, influencing both microbial growth rates and CO₂ solubility (U.S. FDA, 2013).

A decline in headspace CO₂ does not, in isolation, indicate increased risk. The greater concern arises when packaging systems are designed with the assumption that a fixed headspace CO₂ concentration (e.g. 30%) is the sole mechanism of preservation throughout shelf life. This oversimplification can lead to incorrect conclusions about product safety.

There is no universal “minimum acceptable” CO₂ level applicable across all MAP foods. Acceptable limits are product-specific and must be determined based on formulation, processing conditions, packaging system, and storage environment. Regulatory guidance supports the use of **product-specific shelf-life validation and, where required, challenge testing**, particularly for chilled foods stored under reduced oxygen conditions (NSW Food Authority, 2015).

To appropriately validate shelf life under conditions of CO₂ decline, the following should be assessed:

- Gas composition profile over shelf life
- Microbiological performance over shelf life
- Realistic temperature and distribution conditions (including abuse scenarios)
- Product characteristics (pH, water activity, salt and fat content)
- Interaction between spoilage organisms and potential pathogens

The acceptable lower CO₂ limit must therefore be established for each individual product through structured shelf-life studies and, where appropriate, microbiological challenge testing (*NSW Food Authority, 2015*).

The Impact of Excess CO₂ in MAP Systems

Effective MAP design is not about maximising CO₂, but about selecting the appropriate balance—ensuring microbial stability while maintaining product quality and presentation throughout shelf life.

It is a common assumption that declining headspace CO₂ levels can be offset by increasing the initial CO₂ concentration. In practice, this approach is often misguided.

Carbon dioxide is highly soluble in both aqueous and lipid phases, and increasing its concentration increases the rate and extent of absorption into the product (Church & Parsons, 1995; Brody et al., 2001).

While higher initial CO₂ levels may slow the apparent decline in headspace concentration, they also accelerate CO₂ dissolution, increasing the likelihood of quality defects such as:

- Package collapse (panelling)
- Sensory changes, including acidification or off flavours
- Texture degradation and increased drip loss

(Church & Parsons, 1995; Sivertsvik et al., 2002)

Furthermore, the antimicrobial effectiveness of CO₂ is linked to the concentration dissolved within the product rather than the headspace alone (Farber, 1991; Devlieghere et al., 2001). Once an effective inhibitory level has been achieved, further increases in CO₂ provide **diminishing returns in microbial control**, while increasing the risk of product quality deterioration (Sivertsvik et al., 2002).

Effective MAP design therefore requires balancing gas composition with product characteristics, rather than attempting to compensate for natural CO₂ migration through excessive initial concentrations.

References

- **Brody, A.L., Strupinsky, E.R. & Kline, L.R.** (2001). Active Packaging for Food Applications. CRC Press.
- **Church, N. & Parsons, A.** (1995). Modified atmosphere packaging technology: a review. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 67(2), pp.143–152.
- **Devlieghere, F., Debevere, J. & Van Impe, J.** (2001). Concentration of carbon dioxide in the water-phase as a parameter to model the effect of a modified atmosphere on micro-organisms. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 71(2–3), pp.197–210.
- **Farber, J.M.** (1991). Microbiological aspects of modified-atmosphere packaging technology. *Journal of Food Protection*, 54(1), pp.58–70.
- **Sivertsvik, M., Jeksrud, W.K. & Rosnes, J.T.** (2002). Modified atmosphere packaging of fish and fishery products: significance of microbial growth, activities and safety. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 37(2), pp.107–127.
- **U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)** (2013). Evaluation and Definition of Potentially Hazardous Foods.
- **NSW Food Authority** (2015). Shelf-Life Testing of Ready-to-Eat Foods.

IMPORTANT NOTE

This document is provided for general technical information purposes only. While the information presented is based on established scientific literature and industry guidance, it is not intended to constitute specific product recommendations or a substitute for formal validation.

Food safety, shelf life, and MAP design are product-specific and must be assessed based on individual formulations, processing conditions, and distribution environments. Customers are strongly advised to review the referenced materials and undertake appropriate shelf-life studies and/or microbiological challenge testing, in consultation with qualified food safety professionals, to validate the suitability of any MAP system for their application.