



Larry Margulies <mr.larrymargulies@gmail.com>

Clarification and Additional Context for Paragraph 19H of Fourth Notarized Supplemental Filing

Larry Margulies <mr.larrymargulies@gmail.com>

Sun, Sep 13, 2026 at 10:20 AM

To: howella@aventurapolice.com

Cc: apdrecords@aventurapolice.com, AnguloA@aventurapolice.gov

Dear Sir or Madam,

Please associate this email with paragraph 19H of my recently submitted fourth notarized supplemental filing.

I recognize that I have submitted several supplements and corrections. My complaint concerns events spanning many years and several jurisdictions. As I organize and review the record, I have submitted limited supplements when I identify additional evidence, an omitted fact, or wording that requires greater precision. These submissions are intended to make the record more accurate and complete, not to change the essential account in my original complaint.

Paragraph 19H requires one important factual clarification. During the relevant period, Walgreens was holding a prescription medication for my liver. I told Ken W. Shulman about that prescription and asked him only to pay for it. Apart from Walgreens and me, Shulman was, to my knowledge, the only person who knew Walgreens was holding that prescription for me.

I did not ask or authorize Shulman to contact Walgreens on my behalf, access my Walgreens account or customer records, request or obtain my address or other personal information, or act as my representative.

Walgreens specifically contacted me and told me that someone had in fact attempted to access my address. This was not merely my assumption that an access attempt had occurred; Walgreens informed me of the attempted access.

Because Shulman knew about the prescription when no other person connected to my complaint did, I associated the attempted access with him. That association was also informed by the surrounding circumstances already described in my sworn filings, including the parcel sent to my location in China and Martin Yudowitz's later statement that Shulman knew I was in Macao.

I do not currently possess the Walgreens notice or related records, so I am not stating as an established fact that Shulman personally made the attempt. I am providing this clarification so the record accurately states both what Walgreens told me and why I associated the attempted access with Shulman.

This email supplements the factual context of paragraph 19H; it does not replace or alter my notarized filing. Please confirm receipt and associate it with my existing complaint record.

Respectfully,

Larry Margulies