

DRAWN EVIDENCE™

NEWSROOM · CASE ARCHIVE

CASE ARCHIVE

AVENTURA / FLORIDA
ORIGINAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

Case Archive Guide

AGENCY	Aventura Police Department
SOURCE DOCUMENT	Criminal Complaint
COMPLAINANT	Larry Margulies (formerly Lawrence Yudowitz)
NAMED RESPONDENTS	Evelyn Yudowitz; Ken W. Shulman (identified in the source as a potential co-conspirator)
DOCUMENT YEAR	2026
CASE / REPORT NUMBER	Not yet assigned in the source record reviewed
PRIMARY REQUESTED ACTION	Issuance of a case number, assignment of a detective, and initiation of a formal Florida investigation

SOURCE-CONTROL NOTE

This Case Archive Guide is a Drawn Evidence™ publication layer. It does not replace, rewrite, or restate the controlling source document. The source complaint distinguishes personal knowledge from witness/document statements and identifies conclusions about intent, identity, coordination, or liability as matters submitted for independent investigation.

DOCUMENT ORIENTATION

This Case Archive Guide accompanies the Aventura criminal complaint in the Drawn Evidence™ Newsroom.

The complaint is a 2026 submission to the Aventura Police Department. It asks for a case number, assignment of a detective, and investigation of the Florida-connected conduct set out in the filing. It names Evelyn Yudowitz and Ken W. Shulman. It asks investigators to obtain records, interview witnesses, and test the cross-jurisdiction connections through independent evidence.

RECORD	SOURCE	NEXT STEP
What the complaint states from personal knowledge, and what it submits as conclusion.	A document, statement, exhibit, or other material identified in the file.	A request for law enforcement to test through records, interviews, process, or corroboration.

WHY THIS RECORD IS PUBLISHED

- ◆ To show how a complex narrative is organized into a jurisdiction-specific complaint structure.
- ◆ To distinguish firsthand knowledge, documentary material, witness information, interpretation, and requested investigative action.
- ◆ To preserve later corrections as supplements rather than silently altering the original record.
- ◆ To show how the case file develops through a primary complaint, corrections, supplemental evidence, and indexed exhibits.

PUBLICATION STATUS

ORIGINAL SOURCE	Preserve unchanged. Do not rewrite the source PDF.
ARCHIVE GUIDE	This branded guide may be updated without changing the underlying complaint.
NOTARIZATION	The signed/sealed source copy is presented as received. The notarizing officer did not enter the date in the date field at the top of the notarization block; this archive does not alter or complete it.
PRIVATE CORRESPONDENCE	Not automatically public. Review separately for relevance, privacy, redaction, and publication purpose.

READER STANDARD

Build a case another person can understand, examine, test, and continue.

CASE-DOCUMENT SEQUENCE

Use this order when the Aventura / Florida archive is presented to readers. The underlying files remain separate source documents; this page establishes reading order.

ORDER	DOCUMENT	ROLE IN THE RECORD
01	Original Criminal Complaint	Primary complaint. Establishes the original claims, the claimed Florida nexus, the evidentiary references, and the investigative requests.
02	Supplemental Sworn Affidavit — Corrections & Clarifications	Preserves corrections and qualifications without replacing the original complaint. Dated 14 August 2026 in the source reviewed.
03	Further Supplemental — S1 to S7	Adds the organized publication/SMS chronology and source-control explanation while preserving the original exhibit numbering.
04	Third Supplemental — T1 to T3	Adds later-organized trust-related email material, public-source identity/association records, and prior-documentation chronology.
05	Supporting Exhibit Binder	Holds the underlying exhibits. Surface individual exhibits selectively when an archive entry or article requires them.

ARCHIVE RULES

KEEP THE SOURCE UNTOUCHED.

The branded guide is an introduction, not a substitute for the original filing.

SHOW STATUS PRECISELY.

Use “alleged,” “reported,” “documented,” “sworn,” “notarized,” “filed,” or “confirmed” only when the source record supports that exact status.

PRESERVE CORRECTIONS.

Later supplements should be linked to the original complaint so readers can see how the record evolved.

DO NOT COUNT DUPLICATES AS NEW EVIDENCE.

Combined files and repeated attachments are source repositories, not separate corroborating sources.

SEPARATE CORRESPONDENCE REVIEW.

Email and agency correspondence should be selected, redacted, and contextualized before public display.

ASSEMBLY NOTE

In this archive, the criminal complaint PDF is provided as the controlling source document. Later supplements remain separate files and should be read in the sequence above so readers can follow changes to the record over time.