

CHANGING STATE TECHNOLOGIES

MPR™ Pump Use Case **Travelling Irrigation Systems**

A Technical White Paper

Achieving Consistent Water Application Over Undulating Terrain

The Engineering Case for MPR™ Stable Vapour Cavity Technology over Conventional Centrifugal Pump Solutions

October 2026



Executive Summary

Travelling irrigation systems — hard-hose reel machines, soft-hose travellers, centre pivots, and linear moves — are the dominant irrigation technology across New Zealand's most productive agricultural land, from Canterbury hill country to Hawke's Bay arable fields and Waikato dairy farms.

On flat terrain they are reliable and efficient. On undulating terrain, the physics of changing elevation imposes a continuously shifting pumping demand that conventional centrifugal pump technology resolves only imperfectly and at additional cost. Every metre of elevation gain adds approximately 9.81 kPa of static head the pump must overcome; every metre of descent releases it. Across a typical Canterbury pivot field with 20–30 metres of elevation variation, this produces a nozzle pressure swing of 200–300 kPa — enough to shift application rate by 30–50% and throw diameter by 15–25%, causing over-watering and surface runoff in low zones and moisture stress on ridges.

The conventional engineering response is to design the pump for the worst-case Total Dynamic Head — the combination of maximum elevation, maximum hose length, and required nozzle pressure — and then dissipate the excess pressure the pump inevitably over-delivers at all other positions through mechanical pressure regulators at every nozzle. A Variable Frequency Drive (VFD) is added to modulate motor speed in response to a pressure sensor, partially reducing excess pressure at lower elevations, but the pump remains constrained to a fixed head-flow (H-Q) curve: a pump sized for worst-case conditions over-delivers head continuously, the VFD's control loop lags behind every terrain transition, and Net Positive Suction Head required rises steeply at the high-flow conditions that coincide with the highest elevation — creating cavitation risk at precisely the moment maximum performance is most needed.

University of Nebraska research examining 1,000 centre pivot installations found VFDs produced less than US\$0.25 per hour in energy savings on rolling terrain — insufficient to recover VFD capital cost over a 15-year asset life. The result is a layered system of pump, VFD, per-nozzle pressure regulators, pressure sensors, flow switches, and safety cut-offs — each a separate capital item, a separate maintenance obligation, and a separate failure mode — assembled to solve what is, in engineering principle, a single control problem: hold nozzle pressure constant regardless of where the machine is on the field.

Changing State Technologies' MPR™ (Multi-Phase Rotor) pump resolves this problem directly. Rather than operating on a fixed H-Q curve, the MPR™ operates on a pump plane — a broad two-dimensional operating envelope within which head and flow can be adjusted largely independently. A single pressure sensor at the critical point of the system - feeds directly to the MPR™, which holds the required nozzle delivery pressure at that point continuously as the machine traverses the field's full elevation profile, without the head-flow constraint that forces a centrifugal pump to trade pressure for flow or a VFD to chase a moving target with inherent lag. The MPR™'s constant-flow outlet characteristic also has the ability to directly stabilise the water turbine that drives hose retrieval on reel machines, improving travel speed consistency across terrain without engine drives or digital winch controls. In addition, the MPR™ is fully self-priming from dry — eliminating the re-priming delays and manual intervention required by conventional centrifugal pumps each time the machine is disconnected and reconnected between irrigation.

The agronomic outcome is uniform application depth across the full elevation profile: reduced or no runoff from over-pressurised low zones, avoids crop stress from under-delivery on ridges, minimises the risk of excessive nitrates entering groundwater due to over-watering and application rates that remain at or below soil infiltration capacity on slopes — directly supporting New Zealand farm environmental planning obligations under the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020.

The commercial outcome is a materially simpler, lower-maintenance pump station: VFD terrain-compensation minimised, per-nozzle pressure regulators reduced or replaced, fewer sensors, simpler control logic, and an estimated 15–25% reduction in annual energy cost compared with a worst-case-sized conventional pump.

1. Problem Statement

1.1 The Physics of Travelling Irrigation on Undulating Terrain

Travelling irrigation systems — including hard-hose reel machines, soft-hose travellers, centre pivots, and linear moves — are the workhorse of large-scale pastoral and arable irrigation across New Zealand and much of the agricultural world. Canterbury plains, Hawke's Bay hillsides, Waikato dairy farms, and Southland pasture all depend on these systems to apply water uniformly across wide areas with minimal labour.

On flat terrain, achieving uniform water application is primarily an engineering question of nozzle selection, spacing, and pump sizing. On undulating terrain — the dominant landscape of much of New Zealand's most productive agricultural land — a fundamental physics problem is superimposed on those engineering choices: the pressure at the irrigation nozzle changes continuously as the machine traverses changes in elevation.

The governing relationship is straightforward. Every metre of elevation gain adds approximately 9.81 kPa (1 m head) of static pressure loss to the system. As a hard-hose traveller or pivot arm moves uphill, the static head the pump must overcome increases, and without compensating control the nozzle pressure drops. Moving downhill does the opposite — static head reduces, nozzle pressure rises beyond design. On a typical Canterbury hill-country farm with 20–30 metres of elevation variation across a pivot field, this translates to a nozzle pressure swing of 200–300 kPa — enough to change application rate by 30–50% and throw pattern diameter (the nozzle throw being proportional to the square root of pressure) by 15–25%. The agronomic consequences are direct: over-watering and surface runoff in low zones, under-watering and crop stress on ridges, the risk of excessive nitrates entering groundwater due to over-watering and accelerated soil erosion on slopes where application rate exceeds infiltration capacity.

Clarification: In discussing a travelling irrigator moving across undulating ground resulting in a pressure change at the nozzles, we have assumed that all nozzles experience the same change in pressure head. Where elevations differ across the length of the boom, constant-flow nozzles compensate for these variations, maintaining uniform output despite localised elevation differences.

The Core Problem

Travelling irrigation systems must deliver a constant nozzle pressure and constant application rate regardless of where the machine is positioned on the field. On undulating terrain, the pump must continuously compensate for changing elevation head while simultaneously managing friction losses that change with hose length as the machine traverses its run. A pump that cannot adapt to this continuously changing Total Dynamic Head (TDH) delivers variable water volumes - and this potentially means variable crops.

1.2 System Types and Their Terrain Vulnerability

The vulnerability to elevation-induced pressure variation differs across system types, but the underlying problem is common to all:

Travelling Irrigation System Types — Terrain Sensitivity

- Hard-hose reel machines (reel machines): a turbine in the mainline drives a drum that slowly rewinds the high-pressure polyethylene hose, pulling the gun cart across the field. Elevation changes along the run affect both the static head the pump delivers to the gun and the head available to drive the turbine. On steep runs, the turbine drive speed — and therefore the retrieval speed and application rate — can vary significantly up and down the slope.
- Soft-hose travellers: a cable winch on the gun cart retrieves a soft drag-hose across the field. The pump must deliver consistent pressure to the gun regardless of whether it is at the near end of the run (short hose, lower friction loss) or the far end (long hose, higher friction loss, combined with elevation change).
- Centre pivot systems: a rotating lateral pipe up to 500 metres long carries sprinkler heads and rotates around a fixed central pivot point. Elevation changes across the pivot arc continuously alter the head at the distal end — the hydraulically most disadvantaged point — requiring the pump to compensate for a constantly changing worst-case condition as the arm rotates.
- Linear move (lateral move) systems: similar to pivots but the entire lateral moves across the field in a straight line. Elevation changes along the direction of travel impose the same pump compensation challenge as pivots, without the partial self-compensation of the pivot's changing arm direction.

1.3 Key Design Criteria — What the System Must Achieve

To deliver consistent water application over undulating terrain, any travelling irrigation system must meet the following performance requirements simultaneously:

- Constant nozzle operating pressure — typically 100–250 kPa (15–36 PSI) at the gun or sprinkler head, maintained regardless of elevation position along the run or arc.
- Consistent application rate — litres per hour per nozzle must remain constant; application rate is proportional to the square root of nozzle pressure, so pressure variability directly causes application rate variability.
- Constant travel speed — the rate at which the machine traverses the field determines the application depth; variable speed causes under-application at one point and over-application at another.
- Runoff avoidance — application rate must not exceed soil infiltration rate at any point on the terrain profile; on slopes this constraint is tighter because surface runoff risk is higher.
- Protection against pressure extremes — system must protect against dangerously high nozzle pressure when travelling downhill (hose burst risk) and pump burnout when travelling uphill and demand exceeds pump capacity.
- Avoiding over-watering which can result an increase in the nitrate levels percolating into ground water – a key concern particularly in Canterbury in New Zealand.

2. The Conventional Approach

2.1 Pump Selection — The Worst-Case Design Trap

The conventional response to undulating terrain is to design the pump for the worst-case Total Dynamic Head (TDH) condition — the point in the machine's traversal when static head, friction loss, and nozzle pressure requirements combine to demand the maximum head from the pump. For a hard-hose reel machine, this is typically when the gun is at its furthest point from the reel (maximum hose length, maximum friction loss) and at the highest elevation in the field.

The TDH calculation for worst-case design is:

$$\text{TDH (worst case)} = \text{Static Head (highest elevation above pump)} + \text{Friction Loss (full hose length)} + \text{Nozzle Operating Pressure} + \text{Safety Margin}$$

On a field with 25 metres of elevation variation and a 300-metre hose run, the worst-case TDH might be 35–40 metres of static head plus 15–20 metres of friction loss plus 20–25 metres of nozzle head — giving a total design TDH of 70–85 metres. The pump is then selected to deliver this head at the required flow rate.

The inherent problem is that this worst-case condition exists only briefly during each run — when the machine is simultaneously at maximum elevation and maximum hose extension. For the rest of the run, static head is lower, friction loss is lower, or both. A centrifugal pump sized for worst-case TDH will over-deliver pressure at all other positions, because a centrifugal pump's head rises as flow is restricted and drops as it increases, along a fixed H-Q curve it cannot escape.

2.2 Pressure Regulation — The Compensatory Layer

Because the pump over-delivers pressure at all but the worst-case position, the conventional system design often uses pressure regulators at each nozzle or gun to dissipate the excess. Individual mechanical pressure regulators — preset to the nozzle operating pressure (e.g., 100, 140, or 200 kPa) — are installed upstream of every emitter. These regulators absorb excess head as pressure drop, delivering a constant preset pressure to the nozzle regardless of the system pressure arriving at the regulator.

The design requirement is that the system pressure arriving at the regulator must exceed the regulator's preset rating by at least 35 kPa (5 PSI) at all times, including at the highest elevation and maximum hose length. This means the pump must be sized not for the nozzle pressure alone but for the nozzle pressure plus the regulator margin plus all friction and static head losses — a compounding conservatism that drives pump size and energy consumption upward.

Conventional Pressure Regulation — Design Requirements

- Individual mechanical pressure regulators at every nozzle or gun — the single most critical component for terrain uniformity

- Pressure arriving at each regulator must exceed preset rating by at least 35 kPa (5 PSI) at the highest elevation and maximum extension
- Regulators are mechanical wear items requiring periodic replacement — typically every 2–5 seasons in hard agricultural service
- Regulator preset pressure must match nozzle operating specification; mixed regulator pressures across a system cause application non-uniformity
- Regulators do not protect against catastrophic over-pressure events (hose burst, sudden flow restriction) — separate high/low pressure switches required for pump protection

2.3 Variable Frequency Drive (VFD) — Partial Compensation

A Variable Frequency Drive (VFD) attempts to address the worst-case design trap by adjusting pump motor speed in real time, modulating the pump's operating point along its H-Q curve in response to a pressure sensor signal. When the machine moves uphill, the VFD increases motor speed (and therefore pump head); when it moves downhill, speed is reduced.

In principle, this reduces energy waste and excess pressure. In practice, a number of limitations constrain VFD performance in travelling irrigation applications:

- The pump still operates on a fixed H-Q curve — the VFD shifts which point on the curve the pump operates at, but cannot change the fundamental relationship between head and flow. As the machine reaches the top of a rise and flow demand peaks (more head required to maintain nozzle pressure), the pump's achievable head at that flow rate is determined by the curve, not by the VFD speed alone.
- VFD control loop lag — the time delay between the pressure sensor registering a change (as the machine crests a hill or drops into a gully) and the VFD reaching the new target speed means transient pressure fluctuations occur at the nozzle during every terrain transition.
- NPSH constraint at high motor speed — at peak demand conditions (high elevation, maximum hose length, VFD at maximum speed), the pump approaches the right-hand end of its H-Q curve where NPSH_r rises steeply. Cavitation risk is highest precisely when maximum pressure is most needed.
- Energy savings are often marginal — a University of Nebraska study examining 1,000 centre pivot installations found VFDs produced less than US\$0.25 per hour in energy savings in rolling terrain, insufficient to recover VFD capital cost over a 15-year asset life.
- VFD adds capital cost, complexity, and a maintenance obligation — IP-rated enclosure requirements, heat management, and programming expertise add to farm operating costs.

2.4 Turbine Drive Speed Variation — The Application Rate Problem

For hard-hose reel machines and soft-hose travellers, the retrieval mechanism is typically a water turbine driven by the flow of water through the mainline. The turbine speed — and therefore the gun cart retrieval speed — is directly dependent on the flow rate arriving at the turbine. If pump pressure to the machine rises as it moves uphill (as the pump fights greater static head), flow rate through the turbine falls, the machine slows down, and water is over-applied on the high ground. Moving downhill, reduced static head increases flow, the turbine speeds up, and the machine travels faster — under-applying water in the low

zones. In both cases the result is non-uniform application, and on a VFD-controlled system the effect is only partially mitigated because the VFD is modulating pump speed, not turbine flow directly.

Engine-driven or PTO-driven retrieval mechanisms avoid this dependence on water pressure, but add mechanical complexity and operating cost. Digitally controlled electric drive winches address speed variation but require GPS positioning or tilt sensors to modulate speed across the elevation profile — adding further cost and calibration requirements.

2.5 The Compound Cost of the Conventional Solution

Conventional Component	Purpose	Limitation / Cost
Multi-stage centrifugal or vertical turbine pump	Generates high TDH for worst-case elevation	Sized for worst case only — over-pressurises all other positions
Variable Frequency Drive (VFD)	Adjusts pump speed to reduce over-pressure	Marginal energy saving; control loop lag; NPSH risk at peak demand
Per-nozzle pressure regulators	Dissipate excess pressure to constant nozzle pressure	Wear items; require periodic replacement; waste pumping energy as heat
High/low pressure cut-off switches	Shut down on burst hose or blocked line	Reactive — cannot prevent the event, only limit damage after it occurs
Flow switches	Detect loss of flow (dry run, blocked suction)	Additional electrical component; failure mode in dirty water service
Pressure sensor network	Provide feedback signal for VFD control	Multiple sensors required; calibration and maintenance obligation
SCADA / control logic	Coordinate VFD, sensors, and safety devices	Programming expertise required; fault diagnosis complex in field environment

The Conventional Dilemma	The conventional solution adds layers of compensatory equipment — VFDs to partially offset the fixed H-Q curve, pressure regulators to dissipate the pressure it still may over-deliver, sensors to feed back to the VFD, and safety switches to protect against the consequences of getting the control wrong. Each layer adds cost, adds failure modes, and adds maintenance obligation. None of them changes the fundamental constraint: a centrifugal pump has one operating point for each flow rate, and that point must be at or above worst-case TDH at all times.
---------------------------------	--

3. The CST MPR™ Approach

3.1 Operating on a Pump Plane — Not a Pump Curve

The CST MPR™ (Multi-Phase Rotor) pump does not operate on a fixed H-Q curve. It operates on a pump plane — a broad two-dimensional operating envelope within which head and flow can be adjusted largely independently. This distinction is not a marginal engineering improvement; it is a categorical difference in how the pump responds to the continuously changing hydraulic demand of a travelling irrigator on undulating terrain.

A pressure sensor is installed at the critical point of the irrigation system — typically the furthest nozzle, or a representative gun position. The MPR™ uses feedback from this sensor to hold the required nozzle delivery pressure directly, tracking system demand as the machine traverses elevation changes, as hose length changes friction loss, and as flow demand varies — without the pump leaving a preferred operating region and without the head-flow constraint that forces a centrifugal pump to trade pressure for flow.

Pump Plane vs Pump Curve

A conventional centrifugal pump at a given speed delivers exactly one head for each flow rate. If you need more head, you need less flow (or a bigger pump). The MPR™ holds head at the set-point and delivers the required flow — because head and flow are not tied to a fixed curve. The irrigation system gets what it needs, not what the pump curve happens to deliver at that moment.

3.2 Stable Operation Through Air Entrainment

A specific vulnerability of travelling irrigation systems that the MPR™ addresses directly is air entrainment in the suction and delivery lines. Hard-hose reel machines and soft-hose travellers regularly introduce air into the system during connection and disconnection at hydrant points, when hose sections drain between runs, and when the machine is repositioned between strips. Air entering a conventional centrifugal pump's suction causes immediate loss of prime — the pump must be shut down, re-primed (often manually), and restarted before irrigation can resume.

The MPR™ is self-priming from a dry start and continues operating through mixed air/water flow without loss of prime, cavitation, or pump damage. In practical terms this means a travelling irrigator can be connected, the pump started, and irrigation commenced without the operator waiting for the line to purge — saving time per connection and eliminating the risk of forgetting to re-prime after repositioning.

3.3 Turbine Drive Stabilisation

Because the MPR™ delivers constant flow rate from its outlets regardless of system backpressure variation (within its operating envelope), the pressure arriving at the water turbine that drives the reel retrieval mechanism is inherently more stable than with a conventional centrifugal pump subject to elevation-driven pressure swings. The result is a more consistent turbine drive speed across the elevation profile, improving application rate uniformity without the complexity of an engine-drive or digital winch speed control system.

3.4 Inline Filtration and Discharge Quality

The MPR™'s stable, constant discharge flow creates hydraulic conditions amenable to effective inline filtration — relevant where travelling irrigation is used to distribute treated effluent (a common application on NZ dairy farms) or where consent conditions require suspended solids management. The consistent flow rate simplifies filter sizing, backwash scheduling, and monitoring for consent compliance — advantages that conventional variable-pressure pump systems cannot offer.

3.5 Proposed System Configuration

CST MPR™ Solution — Travelling Irrigation on Undulating Terrain

- Single MPR™ pump module at the pump station — surface-mounted, self-priming, no submersible installation
- Critical-point pressure sensor at the furthest nozzle position or at a representative point of hydraulic disadvantage on the system
- MPR™ holds nozzle pressure at the set-point as the machine traverses the elevation profile — can avoid or reduce separate VFD requirement for terrain compensation
- Simplified or eliminated per-nozzle pressure regulators — the MPR™ delivers constant pressure, reducing dependence on per-nozzle regulation for terrain compensation (regulators may still be specified for manufacturing tolerance and safety)
- Self-priming capability — no priming required after line disconnection and reconnection between strips
- Constant outlet flow stabilises water turbine retrieval speed — improves application rate uniformity across terrain without engine or digital winch drive
- Inline filtration on discharge — stable constant flow enables rated filter sizing for consent-compliant suspended solids removal (effluent application systems)

4. Benefits

4.1 Agronomic Benefits — Consistent Water Application

The MPR™'s constant-pressure delivery to the critical point of the system translates directly into consistent nozzle output. Since nozzle application rate is proportional to the square root of operating pressure, holding pressure constant at the nozzle eliminates the application rate variation that is the primary source of irrigation non-uniformity on undulating terrain. The practical agronomic outcomes are:

- Uniform application depth across the full field elevation profile — ridges and gullies receive the same millimetres of irrigation per pass
- Elimination of runoff in low zones — application rate does not exceed soil infiltration rate because the system does not over-pressurise at low elevations
- Elimination of under-watering on ridges — consistent nozzle pressure maintains throw diameter and application intensity at high elevations
- Reduced crop stress variation — uniform water delivery produces more consistent pasture growth rates, crop yields, and forage quality
- Improved water use efficiency — every litre of water pumped reaches the intended application area rather than contributing to surface runoff or deep drainage from over-watered zones

4.2 Operational Benefits — Simpler, More Reliable System

The MPR™ replaces or reduces a system of interacting compensatory components (VFD, per-nozzle regulators, multiple sensors, control logic) with a single-pump, single-sensor control philosophy:

- VFD for terrain compensation eliminated or significantly reduced (depending on the site specific conditions) — the MPR™'s pump plane characteristic makes terrain-compensating speed modulation unnecessary
- Per-nozzle pressure regulator requirement significantly reduced — the pump delivers constant pressure; nozzle-level regulation for terrain compensation is no longer the primary defence against pressure variation
- Fewer failure modes — each eliminated component is one less failure path that can interrupt irrigation, cause crop loss, or require emergency call-out during a critical watering period
- Self-priming eliminates re-priming delays — operators connect, start, and irrigate; no waiting for prime on each new strip
- Simpler fault diagnosis — a single-module pump with a single sensor is diagnostically straightforward compared with a VFD-centrifugal system with multiple sensors and interacting control loops

4.3 Economic Benefits

The economic case for the MPR™ in travelling irrigation operates across three dimensions: capital cost reduction, operating cost reduction, and yield improvement:

Economic Factor	Conventional System	CST MPR™ System
Pump station capital	Multi-stage pump + VFD + sensors + control panel	Single MPR™ module + pressure sensor + basic controls
Per-nozzle regulators	Full set required — all nozzles; replacement every 2–5 seasons	Reduced or eliminated for terrain compensation function
Annual energy cost	Pump sized for worst case — wastes energy dissipated as heat through regulators at all other positions	Head matched to actual demand — estimated 15–25% energy reduction (terrain-dependent)
Maintenance cost (pump)	VFD servicing, NPSH-related seal/impeller wear, multi-stage pump overhaul	Single rotor — no inlet seals, no cavitation erosion, reduced maintenance interval
Crop loss from non-uniformity	Variable application rate across terrain causes measurable yield variation	Uniform application rate — reduced yield variation and improved return per hectare irrigated

4.4 Environmental Benefits

Precise water application on undulating terrain carries direct environmental benefits that align with New Zealand's farm environmental planning obligations under the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (NPS-FM) 2020 and regional council consent conditions:

- Reduced surface runoff — consistent application rate at or below soil infiltration rate reduces the volume of irrigation water reaching waterways as surface runoff
- Reduced erosion risk — elimination of over-pressurisation at low elevations prevents high-velocity nozzle spray that damages soil structure on slopes
- Reduced nitrate leaching — uniform application depth avoids the deep drainage from over-watered low zones that carries nitrate through the soil profile to groundwater
- Simplified resource consent compliance — constant flow enables reliable metering and monitoring; stable discharge supports inline filtration for suspended solids compliance in effluent irrigation applications
- Reduced energy consumption — lower pumping energy per unit of water applied reduces carbon footprint of irrigated farming operations

5. Comparative Summary

The following table summarises the head-to-head comparison between the conventional centrifugal pump approach and the CST MPR™ solution across all key design factors for undulating terrain travelling irrigation:

Design Factor	Conventional Centrifugal / Multi-Stage Pump + VFD	CST MPR™ Pump
Operating characteristic	Fixed H-Q curve — head falls as flow demand rises or elevation drops. VFD adjusts motor speed as a workaround but cannot change the fundamental curve shape.	Pump plane — flow and head adjustable largely independently within a broad envelope. Stable output from the critical-point sensor regardless of elevation change.
Pressure at highest elevation	Pump sized for worst-case peak TDH (highest point + friction + nozzle pressure). Over-delivers head at all other elevations, wasting energy and requiring downstream regulation.	Head held to the set-point at the critical sensor location across all elevation positions — no over-delivery at lower elevations.
Pressure at lowest elevation (downhill)	Excess pressure must be dissipated through mechanical pressure regulators at every nozzle, or excess flow causes erosion and runoff. PRVs are consumable items requiring maintenance.	Head set-point prevents over-pressure at nozzle; per-nozzle PRVs can be significantly reduced or eliminated depending on system geometry.
Response to sudden demand change (gun burst, valve open/close)	Pump surges or hunts along the H-Q curve; VFD response lag can cause pressure spikes or troughs before the control loop stabilises.	Constant-flow characteristic absorbs sudden demand changes without pressure hunting — no transient spikes.
NPSH at high flow	NPSH _r rises steeply at high flow — at peak TDH conditions (highest elevation, long hose run) cavitation risk is greatest exactly when maximum pressure is required.	Post-cavitation stable regime — NPSH does not constrain the achievable operating envelope in the same way; full head available through high-flow conditions.
Energy consumption	Pump continuously operates near its design TDH (worst case). At all other positions, energy is wasted over-pressurising a system that then throttles the pressure away.	Head matched to actual demand at each elevation — energy not expended generating pressure that must be subsequently dissipated.
Air entrainment (hose runs, virtually dry starts)	Air entering the suction or along the hose run causes loss of prime and cavitation — pump trips and requires manual re-priming before irrigation can resume.	Self-priming; air-tolerant — continues pumping through mixed air/water conditions without loss of prime or operator intervention.
System complexity	VFD, multi-stage pump, pressure sensor network, per-nozzle pressure regulators, high/low pressure cut-offs, flow switches, and SCADA logic all required and interacting.	Single MPR™ module, critical-point pressure sensor, VSD and inlet valve — fewer components, fewer failure modes, simpler control logic.

References

- [1] Irrigation New Zealand. (2021). NZ Piped Irrigation System Performance Assessment Code of Practice — Part F: Traveller Irrigation Systems. Wellington: Irrigation New Zealand. Available: <https://www.irrigationnz.co.nz>
- [2] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. (2014). Water Management Technical Note No. 1: Variable Speed Drive (VSD) for Irrigation Pumping. Washington DC: USDA-NRCS. Available: <https://conservationwebinars.net/science-and-technology-directives/Water%20Management%202010-01->
- [3] University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension. (2018). Economics of Variable Frequency Drives for Irrigation Pumps. CropWatch / UNL Water. Available: <https://water.unl.edu/article/agricultural-irrigation/economics-variable-frequency-drives-irrigation-pumps/>
- [4] Utah State University Extension. (2024). Variable Frequency Drives for Irrigation Pumps. Logan UT: USU Extension. Available: <https://extension.usu.edu/crops/research/variable-frequency-drives-for-irrigation-pumps>
- [5] Karassik, I. J., Messina, J. P., Cooper, P., & Heald, C. C. (Eds.). (2008). Pump Handbook (4th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill. [H-Q characteristics, NPSH, and centrifugal pump design constraints.]
- [6] Warner, C. R. & Buys, A. (inventors). (2025). Multi-Phase Rotor — Stable Vapour Cavity. US Patent US12398725B2. Granted 29 August 2025. United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- [9] Ministry for the Environment. (2020). National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment. [Environmental performance obligations for irrigated farming operations in NZ.] Available: <https://environment.govt.nz/acts-and-regulations/national-policy-statements/national-policy-statement-for-freshwater-management/>
- [10] Rainer Irrigation NZ. (2024). T200-2 Travelling Irrigator — Product Brochure. Available: <https://rainer.co.nz>. [NZ hard-hose reel machine specification and pressure/flow operating data.]